

Medical Coverage Policy | Circulating Tumor DNA and Circulating Tumor Cells for Cancer Management (Liquid Biopsy)



EFFECTIVE DATE: 12|01|2016
POLICY LAST UPDATED: 07|17|2018

OVERVIEW

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) and circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in peripheral blood, referred to as “liquid biopsy,” potentially offer a noninvasive alternative to tissue biopsy for therapeutic decisions and clinical prognosis in patients with cancer.

This policy is applicable to Commercial Products only. For Blue CHIP for Medicare, see related policy section.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Commercial Products

The use of circulating tumor DNA and/or circulating tumor cells is considered not medically necessary for all indications as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups/contracts. Please refer to the appropriate section of the Benefit Booklet or Subscriber Agreement for services not medically necessary.

BACKGROUND

Liquid biopsy refers to analysis of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) or circulating tumor cells (CTCs) as a method of noninvasively characterizing tumors and tumor genome from the peripheral blood.

Circulating Tumor DNA

Normal and tumor cells release small fragments of DNA into the blood, which is referred to as cell-free DNA (cfDNA). cfDNA from nonmalignant cells is released by apoptosis. Most cell-free tumor DNA is derived from apoptotic and/or necrotic tumor cells, either from the primary tumor, metastases, or CTCs. Unlike apoptosis, necrosis is considered a pathologic process, and generates larger DNA fragments due to an incomplete and random digestion of genomic DNA. The length or integrity of the circulating DNA can potentially distinguish between apoptotic and necrotic origin. ctDNA can be used for genomic characterization of the tumor.

Circulating Tumor Cells

Intact CTCs are released from a primary tumor and/or a metastatic site into the bloodstream. The half-life of a CTC in the bloodstream is short (1-2 hours), and CTCs are cleared through extravasation into secondary organs. Most assays detect CTCs through the use of surface epithelial markers such as EpcAM and cytokeratins. The primary reason for in detecting CTCs is prognostic, through quantification of circulating levels.

Technologies for Detecting ctDNA and CTCs

Detection of ctDNA is challenging because ctDNA is diluted by nonmalignant circulating DNA and usually represents a small fraction (<1%) of total cfDNA. Therefore, more sensitive methods than the standard sequencing approaches (e.g., Sanger sequencing) are needed.

Highly sensitive and specific methods have been developed to detect ctDNA, for both single-nucleotide mutations (e.g. BEAMing [which combines emulsion polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with magnetic beads and flow cytometry] and digital PCR) and copy-number changes. Digital genomic technologies allow for enumeration of rare mutant variants in complex mixtures of DNA.

Approaches to detecting ctDNA can be considered targeted, which includes the analysis of known genetic mutations from the primary tumor in a small set of frequently occurring driver mutations, which can impact therapy decisions (e.g., *EGFR* and *ALK* in non-small-cell lung cancer), or untargeted without knowledge of specific mutations present in the primary tumor, and include array comparative genomic hybridization, next-generation sequencing, and whole exome and genome sequencing.

CTC assays usually start with an enrichment step that increases the concentration of CTCs, either on the basis of biologic properties (expression of protein markers) or physical properties (size, density, electric charge). CTCs can then be detected using immunologic, molecular, or functional assays.

For individuals who have advanced cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to select targeted treatment, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess ctDNA, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether variant analysis of ctDNA can replace variant analysis of tissue. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have advanced cancer who receive testing of CTCs to select targeted treatment, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of CTCs can replace variant analysis of tissue. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to monitor treatment response, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess ctDNA, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of ctDNA should be used to monitor treatment response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have cancer who receive testing of CTCs to monitor treatment response, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial, observational studies, and systematic reviews of observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. The available

randomized controlled trial found no effect on overall survival when patients with persistently increased CTC levels after first-line chemotherapy were switched to an alternative cytotoxic therapy. Other studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of CTCs should be used to monitor treatment response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have received curative treatment for cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to predict risk of relapse, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess ctDNA, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of ctDNA should be used to predict relapse response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have received curative treatment for cancer who receive testing of CTCs to predict risk of relapse, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of CTCs should be used to predict relapse response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who are asymptomatic and at high risk for cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to screen for cancer, no evidence was identified. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and test validity. Published data on clinical validity and clinical utility are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who are asymptomatic and at high risk for cancer who receive testing of CTCs to screen for cancer, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and test validity. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes. Therefore, this service is considered not medically necessary for Commercial products.

CODING

Commercial Products

The following codes are considered not medically necessary:

- 86152** Cell enumeration using immunologic selection and identification in fluid specimen (eg, circulating tumor cells in blood)
- 86153** Cell enumeration using immunologic selection and identification in fluid specimen (eg, circulating tumor cells in blood); physician interpretation and report, when required

RELATED POLICIES

BlueCHiP for Medicare National and Local Coverage Determinations

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, Sep 2018

Provider Update, December 2017

Provider Update, November 2016

REFERENCES

1. Alix-Panabieres C, Pantel K. Clinical applications of circulating tumor cells and circulating tumor DNA as liquid biopsy. *Cancer Discov.* May 2016;6(5):479-491. PMID 26969689
2. Merker JD, Oxnard GR, Compton C, et al. Circulating tumor DNA analysis in patients with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology and College of American Pathologists Joint Review. *J Clin Oncol.* Mar 5 2018;Jco2017768671. PMID 29504847
3. Ascierto PA, Minor D, Ribas A, et al. Phase II trial (BREAK-2) of the BRAF inhibitor dabrafenib (GSK2118436) in patients with metastatic melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* Sep 10 2013;31(26):3205-3211. PMID 23918947
4. Baselga J, Im SA, Iwata H, et al. Buparlisib plus fulvestrant versus placebo plus fulvestrant in postmenopausal, hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer (BELLE-2): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* Jul 2017;18(7):904-916. PMID 28576675
5. Schiavon G, Hrebien S, Garcia-Murillas I, et al. Analysis of ESR1 mutation in circulating tumor DNA demonstrates evolution during therapy for metastatic breast cancer. *Sci Transl Med.* Nov 11 2015;7(313):313ra182. PMID 26560360
6. Vidal J, Muínelo L, Dalmases A, et al. Plasma ctDNA RAS mutation analysis for the diagnosis and treatment monitoring of metastatic colorectal cancer patients. *Ann Oncol.* Jun 1 2017;28(6):1325-1332. PMID 28419195
7. Babayan A, Hannemann J, Spotter J, et al. Heterogeneity of estrogen receptor expression in circulating tumor cells from metastatic breast cancer patients. *PLoS One.* Sep 2013;8(9):e75038. PMID 24058649
8. Liu Y, Liu Q, Wang T, et al. Circulating tumor cells in HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer patients: a valuable prognostic and predictive biomarker. *BMC Cancer.* Apr 23 2013;13:202. PMID 23617715
9. Fehm T, Muller V, Aktas B, et al. HER2 status of circulating tumor cells in patients with metastatic breast cancer: a prospective, multicenter trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* Nov 2010;124(2):403-412. PMID 20859679
10. Riethdorf S, Muller V, Zhang L, et al. Detection and HER2 expression of circulating tumor cells: prospective monitoring in breast cancer patients treated in the neoadjuvant GeparQuattro trial. *Clin Cancer Res.* May 1 2010;16(9):2634-2645. PMID 20406831
11. Ignatiadis M, Rothe F, Chaboteaux C, et al. HER2-positive circulating tumor cells in breast cancer. *PLoS One.* Jan 10 2011;6(1):e15624. PMID 21264346
12. Mazel M, Jacot W, Pantel K, et al. Frequent expression of PD-L1 on circulating breast cancer cells. *Mol Oncol.* Nov 2015;9(9):1773-1782. PMID 26093818
13. Lv Q, Gong L, Zhang T, et al. Prognostic value of circulating tumor cells in metastatic breast cancer: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Transl Oncol.* Mar 2016;18(3):322-330. PMID 26260915
14. Wang CH, Chang CJ, Yeh KY, et al. The prognostic value of HER2-positive circulating tumor cells in breast cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Breast Cancer.* Aug 2017;17(5):341-349. PMID 28347604
15. Zhang L, Riethdorf S, Wu G, et al. Meta-analysis of the prognostic value of circulating tumor cells in breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* Oct 15 2012;18(20):5701-5710. PMID 22908097
16. Huang X, Gao P, Song Y, et al. Relationship between circulating tumor cells and tumor response in colorectal cancer patients treated with chemotherapy: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer.* Dec 18 2014;14:976. PMID 25519477
17. Groot Koerkamp B, Rahbari NN, Buchler MW, et al. Circulating tumor cells and prognosis of patients with resectable colorectal liver metastases or widespread metastatic colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Ann Surg Oncol.* Jul 2013;20(7):2156-2165. PMID 23456317
18. Fan JL, Yang YF, Yuan CH, et al. Circulating Tumor Cells for Predicting the Prognostic of Patients with Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Meta Analysis. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* Sep 2015;37(2):629-640. PMID 26344495
19. Ma X, Xiao Z, Li X, et al. Prognostic role of circulating tumor cells and disseminated tumor cells in patients with prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Tumour Biol.* Jun 2014;35(6):5551-5560. PMID 24563278
20. Wang FB, Yang XQ, Yang S, et al. A higher number of circulating tumor cells (CTC) in peripheral blood indicates poor prognosis in prostate cancer patients--a meta-analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* Feb 2011;12(10):2629-2635. PMID 22320965

21. Rink M, Chun FK, Dahlem R, et al. Prognostic role and HER2 expression of circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood of patients prior to radical cystectomy: a prospective study. *Eur Urol.* Apr 2012;61(4):810-817. PMID 22277196
22. Gazzaniga P, de Berardinis E, Raimondi C, et al. Circulating tumor cells detection has independent prognostic impact in high-risk non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer.* Oct 15 2014;135(8):1978-1982. PMID 24599551
23. Schulze K, Gasch C, Stauffer K, et al. Presence of EpCAM-positive circulating tumor cells as biomarker for systemic disease strongly correlates to survival in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Cancer.* Nov 2013;133(9):2165-2171. PMID 23616258
24. Vashist YK, Effenberger KE, Vettorazzi E, et al. Disseminated tumor cells in bone marrow and the natural course of resected esophageal cancer. *Ann Surg.* Jun 2012;255(6):1105-1112. PMID 22580852
25. Msaouel P, Koutsilieris M. Diagnostic value of circulating tumor cell detection in bladder and urothelial cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer.* Aug 4 2011;11:336. PMID 21816094

[CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS](#)

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

