

EFFECTIVE DATE: 10|01|2016
POLICY LAST UPDATED: 10|01|2019

OVERVIEW

In radiofrequency ablation (RFA), a probe is inserted into the center of a tumor and the noninsulated electrodes, which are shaped like prongs, are projected into the tumor; heat is then generated locally by a high-frequency, alternating current that flows from the electrodes. The local heat treats the tissue adjacent to the probe, resulting in a 3 cm to 5.5 cm sphere of dead tissue. The cells killed by RFA are not removed, but are gradually replaced by fibrosis and scar tissue. If there is local recurrence, it occurs at the edge and, in some cases, may be retreated. RFA may be performed percutaneously, laparoscopically, or as an open procedure.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

RFA to treat an isolated peripheral non-small-cell lung cancer lesion that is no more than 3 cm in size when the following criteria is met:

- Surgical resection or radiation treatment with curative intent is considered appropriate based on stage of disease, however, medical comorbidity renders the individual unfit for those interventions;
- Tumor is located at least 1 cm from the trachea, main bronchi, esophagus, aorta, aortic arch branches, pulmonary artery and the heart;
- No more than 3 tumors per lung should be ablated;
- Tumors should be amenable to complete ablation;
- Twelve months should elapse before a repeat ablation is considered;

RFA to treat malignant nonpulmonary tumor(s) metastatic to the lung that are no more than 3 cm in size when the following criteria is met:

- In order to preserve lung function when surgical resection or radiation treatment is likely to substantially worsen pulmonary status; or
- The patient is not considered a surgical candidate; and
- There is no evidence of extrapulmonary metastases; and
- The tumor is located at least 1 cm from the trachea, main bronchi, esophagus, aorta, aortic arch branches, pulmonary artery and the heart;

RFA as a palliative treatment for pain is covered when the following criteria is met:

- In patients with osteolytic bone metastases who have failed or are poor candidates for standard treatments such as radiation or opioids;

RFA as a treatment for osteoid osteomas is covered when the following criteria is met:

- The osteoid osteoma cannot be managed successfully with medical treatment;

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products:

Prior authorization is recommended and obtained via the online tool for participating providers. See the Related Policies section.

POLICY STATEMENT

Radiofrequency ablation of tumors is covered for patients who meet the medical criteria listed above; all other indications outside the liver, including, but not limited to tumors of the breast, head and neck, thyroid, pancreas, adrenal gland, ovary and pelvic/abdominal metastases of unspecified origin are considered not covered for BlueCHiP for Medicare and not medically necessary for Commercial Products due to lack of peer-reviewed literature which supports improved health outcomes.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for the applicable surgery benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

RFA is being evaluated to treat various tumors, including inoperable tumors, or to treat patients ineligible for surgery due to age, presence of co-morbidities, or poor general health. Goals of RFA may include (1) controlling local tumor growth and preventing recurrence; (2) palliating symptoms; and (3) extending survival duration for patients with certain tumors. The effective volume of RFA depends on the frequency and duration of applied current, local tissue characteristics, and probe configuration (e.g., single vs. multiple tips). RFA can be performed as an open surgical procedure, laparoscopically, or percutaneously, with ultrasound or computed tomography (CT) guidance.

Potential complications associated with RFA include those caused by heat damage to normal tissue adjacent to the tumor (e.g., intestinal damage during RFA of kidney), structural damage along the probe track (e.g., pneumothorax as a consequence of procedures on the lung), or secondary tumors if cells seed during probe removal.

RFA was initially developed to treat inoperable tumors of the liver. Recently, reports have been published on use of RFA to treat renal cell carcinomas, breast tumors, pulmonary cancers (including primary and metastatic lung tumors), bone, and other tumors. For some of these, RFA is being investigated as an alternative to surgery for operable tumors. Well-established local or systemic treatment alternatives are available for each of these malignancies. The hypothesized advantages of RFA for these cancers include improved local control and those common to any minimally invasive procedure (e.g., preserving normal organ tissue, decreasing morbidity, decreasing length of hospitalization).

Osteoid Osteomas

Osteomas are the most common type of benign bone tumor, comprising 10% to 20% of benign and 2% to 3% of all bone tumors. They are typically seen in children and young adults, with most diagnosed in patients between 5 and 20 years of age. Osteomas are most common in the lower extremity (usually the long bones, mainly the femur) and less common in the spine. These tumors typically have a characteristic clinical presentation and radiologic appearance, with pain, usually continuous and worse at night, and usually relieved by aspirin or other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). The natural history of the osteoid osteoma varies based on its location, and although they rarely exceed 1.5 cm, may produce bone widening and deformation, limb length inequality, or angular deviations when near a growth plate. When located in the spine, these lesions may lead to painful scoliosis or torticollis. Sometimes they heal spontaneously after 3 to 7 years.

Palliation for Bone Metastases

After lung and liver, bone is the third most common metastatic site and is relatively frequent among patients with primary malignancies of the breast, prostate, and lung. Bone metastases often cause osteolysis (bone breakdown), resulting in pain, fractures, decreased mobility, and reduced quality of life. External beam irradiation often is the initial palliative therapy for osteolytic bone metastases. However, pain from bone metastases is refractory to radiotherapy in 20% to 30% of patients, while recurrent pain at previously irradiated sites may be ineligible for additional radiation due to risks of normal tissue damage. Other

alternatives include hormonal therapy, radiopharmaceuticals such as strontium 89, and bisphosphonates. Less often, surgery or chemotherapy may be used for palliation, and intractable pain may require opioid medications. RFA has been investigated as another alternative for palliating pain from bone metastases.

Primary Pulmonary Tumors

Surgery is the current treatment of choice in patients with stage 1 primary non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC; stage 1 includes 1a: T1N0M0 and 1b: T2N0M0). Approximately 20% of patients present with stage 1 disease, although this number is expected to increase as a result of screening programs, advances in imaging modalities, and widespread use of CT scans for other indications. Postsurgical recurrence rates of stage 1 NSCLC have been reported as between 20% and 30%, with most occurring at distant sites; locoregional recurrences occur in approximately 12%. Large differences in survival outcome are observed after surgery in stage 1 patients, with 5-year overall survival (OS) rates, ranging from 77% for small T1 tumors to 35% for large T2 tumors. Untreated, stage 1 NSCLC has a 5-year OS rate of 6% to 14%.

Patients with early stage NSCLC who are not surgical candidates may be candidates for radiation treatment with curative intent. In the 2 largest retrospective radiotherapy series, patients with inoperable disease treated with definitive radiotherapy achieved 5-year survival rates of 10% and 27%. In both studies, patients with T1N0 tumors had better 5-year survival rates of 60% and 32%, respectively. Stereotactic whole body radiotherapy (SBRT) has gained more widespread use, as it is a high-precision mode of therapy that allows for delivery of very high doses of radiation. Two- to 3-year local control rates of stage 1 NSCLC with SBRT have ranged from 80% to 95%. SBRT has been investigated in patients unfit to undergo surgery, with survival rates similar to surgical outcomes. RFA also is being investigated in patients with small primary lung cancers or lung metastases who are deemed medically inoperable.

Breast Tumors

The treatment of small breast cancers from total mastectomy toward increasingly more conservative treatment options such as lumpectomy, with more acceptable cosmetic outcomes and preservation of the breast. The selection of surgical approach balances the patient's desire for breast conservation and the need for tumor-free margins in resected tissue. Minimally invasive nonsurgical techniques such as RFA are appealing if they can produce local control and survival equivalent to breast-conserving surgical alternatives. Nonsurgical ablative techniques pose difficulties such as the inability to determine tumor size, complete tumor cell killing, and local recurrence. Additionally, RFA can cause burning of the skin or damage to muscle, possibly limiting use in patients with tumors near the skin or chest wall.

For individuals who have breast tumors who receive RFA, the evidence includes observational studies and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Evidence has reported varied and incomplete ablation rates with concerns about postablation tumor cell viability. Long-term improvements in health outcomes have not been demonstrated. Additionally, available studies do not permit comparisons with conventional breast-conserving procedures. Further studies, with long-term follow-up, should focus on whether RFA of the breast for small tumors can provide local control and survival rates comparable with conventional breast-conserving treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Benign Thyroid Tumors

Surgical resection is the primary treatment choice for medically unresponsive, symptomatic benign thyroid tumors and thyroid carcinomas. However, techniques for ablation of thyroid tumors (e.g., RFA, microwave ablation) are being investigated.

For individuals who have benign thyroid tumors who receive RFA, the evidence includes RCTs, prospective studies, case series, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review that included 4 RCTs and 5 observational studies found significant reductions in nodule size and withdrawal from

methimazole following treatment with RFA when compared with a variety of local treatment. Reports of complications vary. The most frequent major complication in a large multicenter series of specialty centers was voice change. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Head and Neck Cancer

In patients with head and neck cancer with recurrent disease, surgical salvage attempts are poor in terms of local control, survival, and quality of life, and these recurrent tumors are often untreatable with standard salvage therapies. Palliative chemotherapy or comfort measures may be offered. The safety and efficacy of RFA has been investigated as an option for palliative treatment in these situations.

Treatment options include medical management with NSAIDs, surgical excision (wide/en bloc excision or curetting), or the use of CT- or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-guided minimally invasive procedures including core drill excision, laser photocoagulation, or RFA. For many years, complete surgical excision was the classic treatment of osteomas, usually performed in patients with pain, despite medical management. Complete surgical excision has several disadvantages. A substantial incision may be necessary and removal of a considerable amount of bone (especially in the neck of the femur) increases the need for bone grafting and/or internal fixation (which often necessitates a second procedure to remove the metal work). Other possible risks include avascular necrosis of the femoral head and postoperative pathologic fracture. In addition, surgical excision leads to a lengthier period of convalescence and postoperative immobilization. Anatomically inaccessible tumors may not be completely resectable and may recur. RFA of osteoid osteoma is done with a needle puncture, so no incision or sutures are needed, and patients may immediately walk on the treated extremity and return to daily activities as soon as the anesthetic effect wears off. The risk of recurrence with RFA of an osteoma is 5% to 10%, and recurrent tumors can be retreated with RFA. In general, RFA is not performed in many spinal osteomas because of possible thermal-related nerve damage.

For individuals who have miscellaneous tumors (eg, head and neck, thyroid cancer, pancreas) who receive RFA, the evidence includes a few case series and retrospective comparative studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. There is a limited evidence base for these tumor types. Reporting on outcomes or comparisons with other treatments is limited. These studies do not permit conclusions on the health benefits of RFA. The evidence is insufficient to determine the impact of the technology on health outcomes.

CODING

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

The following codes are considered medically necessary:

- 20982** Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more bone tumors (eg, metastasis) including adjacent soft tissue when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, including imaging guidance when performed; radiofrequency
- 32998** Ablation therapy for reduction or eradication of 1 or more pulmonary tumor(s) including pleura or chest wall when involved by tumor extension, percutaneous, radiofrequency, unilateral

There are no specific CPT codes for indications including, but not limited to tumors of the breast, head and neck, thyroid, pancreas, adrenal gland, ovary and pelvic/abdominal metastases of unspecified origin therefore the appropriate unlisted CPT code should be used.

RELATED POLICIES

Preauthorization via Web-Based Tool for Procedures

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, December 2019

Provider Update, February 2019

Provider Update, December 2017

Provider Update, April 2016

REFERENCES

1. Rosenthal DI. Radiofrequency treatment. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2006; 37(3):475-84, viii.
2. Haasbeek CJ, Senan S, Smit EF et al. Critical review of nonsurgical treatment options for stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncologist* 2008; 13(3):309-19.
3. Physician Data Query (PDQ). Non-small cell lung cancer treatment (PDQ®). 2008. Available online at <http://www.cancer.gov/cancertopics/pdq/treatment/non-small-cell-lung/HealthProfessional>. Last accessed September 12, 2013.
4. Lanza E, Thouvenin Y, Viala P, et al. Osteoid osteoma treated by percutaneous thermal ablation: when do we fail? A systematic review and guidelines for future reporting. *Cardiovasc Intervention Radiol*. Dec 2014;37(6):1530-1539. PMID 24337349
5. Kojima H, Tanigawa N, Kariya S et al. Clinical assessment of percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for painful metastatic bone tumors. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2006; 29(6):1022-6.
6. Rimondi E, Mavrogenis AF, Rossi G et al. Radiofrequency ablation for non-spinal osteoid osteomas in 557 patients. *Eur Radiol* 2012; 22(1):181-8.
7. Rosenthal DI, Hornicek FJ, Torriani M et al. Osteoid osteoma: percutaneous treatment with radiofrequency energy. *Radiology* 2003; 229(1):171-5.
8. Ratko TA, Vats V, Brock J et al. *Local Nonsurgical Therapies for Stage I and Symptomatic Obstructive Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer*. Rockville (MD); Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, June 2013.
9. Schlijper RC, Grutters JP, Houben R et al. What to choose as radical local treatment for lung metastases from colo-rectal cancer: Surgery or radiofrequency ablation? *Cancer Treat Rev* 2013.
10. Chan VO, McDermott S, Malone DE et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation of lung tumors: evaluation of the literature using evidence-based techniques. *J Thorac Imaging* 2011; 26(1):18-26.
11. Bilal H, Mahmood S, Rajashanker B et al. Is radiofrequency ablation more effective than stereotactic ablative radiotherapy in patients with early stage medically inoperable non-small cell lung cancer? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2012; 15(2):258-65.
12. Zhu JC, Yan TD, Glenn D et al. Radiofrequency ablation of lung tumors: feasibility and safety. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009; 87 (4):1023-8.
13. Pennathur A, Abbas G, Gooding WE et al. Image-guided radiofrequency ablation of lung neoplasm in 100 consecutive patients by a thoracic surgical service. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009; 88(5):1601-6; discussion 07-8.
14. Beland MD, Wasser EJ, Mayo-Smith WW et al. Primary non-small cell lung cancer: review of frequency, location, and time of recurrence after radiofrequency ablation. *Radiology* 2010; 254(1):301-7.
15. Lanuti M, Sharma A, Digumarthy SR et al. Radiofrequency ablation for treatment of medically inoperable stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009; 137(1):160-6
16. Yamakado K, Inoue Y, Takao M et al. Long-term results of radiofrequency ablation in colorectal lung metastases: single center experience. *Oncol Rep* 2009; 22(4):885-91.
17. Soga N, Yamakado K, Gohara H et al. Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for unresectable pulmonary metastases from renal cell carcinoma. *BJU Int* 2009; 104(6):790-4
18. Howington JA, Blum MG, Chang AC et al. Treatment of stage I and II non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2013; 143(5 Suppl):e278S-313S
19. Donington J, Ferguson M, Mazzone P et al. American College of Chest Physicians and Society of Thoracic Surgeons consensus statement for evaluation and management for high-risk patients with stage I non-small cell lung cancer. *Chest* 2012; 142(6):1620-35
20. National Comprehensive Cancer Network Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Non-small cell lung cancer. v.2.2013. Available online at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf. Last accessed September 12, 2013.
21. National Comprehensive Cancer Network Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Colon Cancer. v.1.2014. Available online at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf. Last

- accessed September 12, 2013. National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for primary and secondary lung cancers. December 2010. Available online at: <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/IPG372>. Last accessed September 12, 2013.
22. National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Computed tomography-guided thermocoagulation of osteoidosteoma. 2004; <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/IPG053guidance.pdf>. Accessed August 12, 2014.
 23. Deandrea M, Limone P, Basso E, et al. US-guided percutaneous radiofrequency thermal ablation for the treatment of solid benign hyperfunctioning or compressive thyroid nodules. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology*. May 2008;34(5):784-791. PMID18207307
 24. Cantore M, Girelli R, Mambrini A, et al. Combined modality treatment for patients with locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Br J Surg*. Aug 2012;99(8):1083-1088. PMID 22648697
 25. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Ultrasound-guided percutaneous radiofrequency ablation for benign thyroid nodules [IPG562]. 2016; Recommendations. Accessed August 23, 2017

[CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS](#)

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

