

EFFECTIVE DATE: 11|01|2019
POLICY LAST UPDATED: 04|02|2019

OVERVIEW

Sacroiliac joint (SIJ) arthrography using fluoroscopic guidance with an injection of an anesthetic has been explored as a diagnostic test for SIJ pain. Duplication of the patient's pain pattern with the injection of contrast medium suggests a sacroiliac etiology, as does relief of chronic back pain with injection of local anesthetic. Treatment of SIJ pain with corticosteroids, radiofrequency ablation (RFA), stabilization, or minimally invasive arthrodesis has also been explored.

This policy addresses the diagnostic and therapeutic use of corticosteroid injections and minimally invasive methods (radiofrequency ablation, sacroiliac joint fixation/fusion) for the diagnosis and treatment of sacroiliac joint pain.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

Minimally-invasive surgical (MIS) fusion of the sacroiliac (SI) joint is considered medically necessary when ALL of the following criteria are met:

- Have moderate to severe pain with functional impairment and pain persists despite a minimum six months of intensive non-operative treatment that must include medication optimization, activity modification, bracing, and active therapeutic exercise targeted at the lumbar spine, pelvis, SIJ and hip including a home exercise program
- Patient's report of typically unilateral pain that is caudal to the lumbar spine (L5 vertebrae), localized over the posterior SIJ, and consistent with SIJ pain
- A thorough physical examination demonstrating localized tenderness with palpation over the sacral sulcus (Fortin's point, i.e. at the insertion of the long dorsal ligament inferior to the posterior superior iliac spine or PSIS) in the absence of tenderness of similar severity elsewhere (e.g. greater trochanter, lumbar spine, coccyx) and that other obvious sources for their pain do not exist
- Positive response to a cluster of 3 provocative tests (e.g. thigh thrust test, compression test, Gaenslen's test, distraction test, Patrick's sign, posterior provocation test).
- Absence of generalized pain behavior (e.g. somatoform disorder) or generalized pain disorders (e.g. fibromyalgia)
- Diagnostic imaging studies that include ALL of the following:
 - Imaging (plain radiographs and a CT or MRI) of the SI joint that excludes the presence of destructive lesions (e.g. tumor, infection), fracture, traumatic SIJ instability, or inflammatory arthropathy that would not be properly addressed by percutaneous SIJ fusion
 - Imaging of the pelvis (AP plain radiograph) to rule out concomitant hip pathology
 - Imaging of the lumbar spine (CT or MRI) to rule out neural compression or other degenerative condition that can be causing low back or buttock pain
- At least 75 percent reduction of pain for the expected duration of two anesthetics (on separate visits each with a different duration of action), and the ability to perform previously painful maneuvers, following an image-guided, contrast-enhanced intra-articular SIJ injection.
- A trial of at least one therapeutic intra-articular SIJ injection (i.e. corticosteroid injection).

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Prior authorization is required for BlueCHiP for Medicare and recommended for Commercial Products.

POLICY STATEMENT

BlueCHiP for Medicare

Minimally-invasive surgical (MIS) fusion of the sacroiliac (SI) joint is considered medically necessary when the medical criteria above has been met.

Injection of anesthetic for diagnosing sacroiliac joint pain and injection of corticosteroid for the treatment of sacroiliac joint pain is covered.

Radiofrequency denervation of the sacroiliac joint is not covered as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Commercial Products

Minimally-invasive surgical (MIS) fusion of the sacroiliac (SI) joint is considered medically necessary when the medical criteria above has been met.

Injection of anesthetic for diagnosing sacroiliac joint pain and injection of corticosteroid for the treatment of sacroiliac joint pain is covered.

Radiofrequency denervation of the sacroiliac joint is not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate section of the Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage, or Subscriber Agreement for applicable surgery and not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Sacroiliac Joint Pain

Similar to other structures in the spine, it is assumed the sacroiliac joint (SIJ) may be a source of low back pain. In fact, before 1928, the SIJ was thought to be the most common cause of sciatica. In 1928, the role of the intervertebral disc was elucidated, and from that point forward, the SIJ received less research attention.

Diagnosis

Research into SIJ pain has been plagued by lack of a criterion standard to measure its prevalence and against which various clinical examinations can be validated. For example, SIJ pain is typically without any consistent, demonstrable radiographic or laboratory features and most commonly exists in the setting of morphologically normal joints. Clinical tests for SIJ pain may include various movement tests, palpation to detect tenderness, and pain descriptions by the patient. Further confounding study of the SIJ is that multiple structures, (eg, posterior facet joints, lumbar discs) may refer pain to the area surrounding the SIJ.

Because of inconsistent information obtained from history and physical examination, some have proposed the use of image-guided anesthetic injection into the SIJ for the diagnosis of SIJ pain. Treatments being investigated for SIJ pain include prolotherapy (see evidence review 2.01.26), corticosteroid injection, radiofrequency ablation, stabilization, and arthrodesis. Some procedures have been referred to as SIJ fusion but may be more appropriately called fixation (this is because there is little to no bridging bone on radiographs). Devices for SIJ fixation/fusion that promote bone ingrowth to fixate the implants include a triangular implant (iFuse Implant System) and cylindrical threaded devices (Rialto, SImmetry, Silex, SambaScrew, SI-LOK). Some devices also have a slot in the middle where autologous or allogeneic bone can be inserted. This added bone is intended to promote fusion of the SIJ.

A number of radiofrequency generators and probes have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. In 2005, the SInergy® (Halyard; formerly Kimberly-Clark), a water-cooled single-use probe, was cleared by the FDA, listing the Baylis Pain Management Probe as a predicate device. The intended use is in conjunction with a radiofrequency generator to create radiofrequency lesions in nervous tissue.

A number of percutaneous or minimally invasive fixation/fusion devices have been cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process. They include the iFuse® Implant System (SI Bone), the Rialto™ SI Joint Fusion System (Medtronic), SIJ-Fuse (Spine Frontier), the SIMmetry® Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (Zyga Technologies), Silex™ Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (XTANT Medical), SambaScrew® (Orthofix), and the SI-LOK® Sacroiliac Joint Fixation System (Globus Medical).

For individuals who have SIJ pain who receive RFA, the evidence includes 4 small RCT's using different radiofrequency applications and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. For RFA with a cooled probe, the 2 small RCT's reported short-term benefits, but these are insufficient to determine the overall effect on health outcomes. The RCT on palisade RFA of the SIJ did not include a sham control. Another sham-controlled randomized trial showed no benefit of RFA. Further high-quality controlled trials are needed to compare this procedure in defined populations with sham control and alternative treatments. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

CODING

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

The following CPT code is considered medically necessary when the criteria above has been met:

27279 Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous or minimally invasive (indirect visualization), with image guidance, includes obtaining bone graft when performed, and placement of transfixing device

The following CPT codes are covered:

27096 Injection procedure for sacroiliac joint, anesthetic/steroid, with image guidance (fluoroscopy or CT) including arthrography when performed

G0260 Injection procedure for sacroiliac joint; provision of anesthetic, steroid and/or other therapeutic agent, with or without arthrography

There is no specific CPT code for radiofrequency ablation of the sacroiliac joint. The appropriate Unlisted code should be used.

RELATED POLICIES

Not applicable

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, September 2019

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Minimally-invasive Surgical (MIS) Fusion of the Sacroiliac (SI) Joint (L36406)
2. Dreyfuss P, Michaelsen M, Pauza K, et al. The value of medical history and physical examination in diagnosing sacroiliac joint pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Nov 15 1996;21(22):2594-2602. PMID 8961447
3. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician*. Apr 2013;16(2 Suppl):S49-283. PMID 23615883
4. Manchikanti L, Datta S, Derby R, et al. A critical review of the American Pain Society clinical practice guidelines for interventional techniques: part 1. Diagnostic interventions. *Pain Physician*. May-Jun 2010;13(3):E141-174. PMID 20495596

5. Manchikanti L, Datta S, Gupta S, et al. A critical review of the American Pain Society clinical practice guidelines for interventional techniques: part 2. Therapeutic interventions. *Pain Physician*. Jul-Aug 2010;13(4):E215-264. PMID 20648212
6. Rupert MP, Lee M, Manchikanti L, et al. Evaluation of sacroiliac joint interventions: a systematic appraisal of the literature. *Pain Physician*. Mar-Apr 2009;12(2):399-418. PMID 19305487
7. Chou R, Atlas SJ, Stanos SP, et al. Nonsurgical interventional therapies for low back pain: a review of the evidence for an American Pain Society clinical practice guideline. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. May 1 2009;34(10):1078-1093. PMID 19363456
8. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. May 1 2009;34(10):1066-1077. PMID 19363457
9. Hansen H, Manchikanti L, Simopoulos TT, et al. A systematic evaluation of the therapeutic effectiveness of sacroiliac joint interventions. *Pain Physician*. May-Jun 2012;15(3):E247-278. PMID 22622913
10. Visser LH, Woudenberg NP, de Bont J, et al. Treatment of the sacroiliac joint in patients with leg pain: a randomized-controlled trial. *Eur Spine J*. Oct 2013;22(10):2310-2317. PMID 23720124
11. Kim WM, Lee HG, Jeong CW, et al. A randomized controlled trial of intra-articular prolotherapy versus steroid injection for sacroiliac joint pain. *J Altern Complement Med*. Dec 2010;16(12):1285-1290. PMID 21138388
12. Kennedy DJ, Engel A, Kreiner DS, et al. Fluoroscopically guided diagnostic and therapeutic intra-articular sacroiliac joint injections: a systematic review. *Pain Med*. Aug 2015;16(8):1500-1518. PMID 26178855
13. Sun HH, Zhuang SY, Hong X, et al. The efficacy and safety of using cooled radiofrequency in treating chronic sacroiliac joint pain: A PRISMA-compliant meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Feb 2018;97(6):e9809. PMID 29419679
14. Aydin SM, Gharibo CG, Mehnert M, et al. The role of radiofrequency ablation for sacroiliac joint pain: a meta-analysis. *PM R*. Sep 2010;2(9):842-851. PMID 20869684
15. Juch JNS, Maas ET, Ostelo R, et al. Effect of radiofrequency denervation on pain intensity among patients with chronic low back pain: The Mint Randomized Clinical Trials. *JAMA*. Jul 04 2017;318(1):68-81. PMID 28672319
16. van Tilburg CW, Schuurmans FA, Stronks DL, et al. Randomized sham-controlled double-blind multicenter clinical trial to ascertain the effect of percutaneous radiofrequency treatment for sacroiliac joint pain: three-month results. *Clin J Pain*. Nov 2016;32(11):921-926. PMID 26889616
17. Zheng Y, Gu M, Shi D, et al. Tomography-guided palisade sacroiliac joint radiofrequency neurotomy versus celecoxib for ankylosing spondylitis: a open-label, randomized, and controlled trial. *Rheumatol Int*. Sep 2014;34(9):1195-1202. PMID 24518967
18. Patel N, Gross A, Brown L, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy of lateral branch neurotomy for chronic sacroiliac joint pain. *Pain Med*. Mar 2012;13(3):383-398. PMID 22299761
19. Patel N. Twelve-month follow-up of a randomized trial assessing cooled radiofrequency denervation as a treatment for sacroiliac region pain. *Pain Pract*. Feb 2016;16(2):154-167. PMID 25565322
20. Cohen SP, Hurley RW, Buckenmaier CC, 3rd, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled study evaluating lateral branch radiofrequency denervation for sacroiliac joint pain. *Anesthesiology*. Aug 2008;109(2):279-288. PMID 18648237
21. Whang P, Cher D, Polly D, et al. Sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular titanium implants vs. non-surgical management: six-month outcomes from a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Int J Spine Surg*. Mar 2015;9:6. PMID 25785242
22. Polly DW, Cher DJ, Wine KD, et al. Randomized controlled trial of minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular titanium implants vs nonsurgical management for sacroiliac joint dysfunction: 12-month outcomes. *Neurosurgery*. Nov 2015;77(5):674-691. PMID 26291338

23. Polly DW, Swofford J, Whang PG, et al. Two-year outcomes from a randomized controlled trial of minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion vs non-surgical management for sacroiliac joint dysfunction. *Int J Spine Surg.* Sep 2016;10:28. PMID 27652199
24. Darr E, Meyer SC, Whang PG, et al. Long-term prospective outcomes after minimally invasive transiliac sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular titanium implants. *Med Devices (Auckl).* 2018;11:113-121. PMID 29674852
25. Stuesson B, Kools D, Pflugmacher R, et al. Six-month outcomes from a randomized controlled trial of minimally invasive SI joint fusion with triangular titanium implants vs conservative management. *Eur Spine J.* Mar 2017;26(3):708-719. PMID 27179664
26. Dengler J, Stuesson B, Kools D, et al. Referred leg pain originating from the sacroiliac joint: 6-month outcomes from the prospective randomized controlled iMIA trial. *Acta Neurochir (Wien).* Nov 2016;158(11):2219-2224. PMID 27629371
27. Dengler JD, Kools D, Pflugmacher R, et al. 1-Year results of a randomized controlled trial of conservative management vs. minimally invasive surgical treatment for sacroiliac joint pain. *Pain Physician.* Sep 2017;20(6):537-550. PMID 28934785
28. Duhon BS, Cher DJ, Wine KD, et al. Triangular titanium implants for minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion: a prospective study. *Global Spine J.* May 2016;6(3):257-269. PMID 27099817
29. Duhon BS, Bitan F, Lockstadt H, et al. Triangular titanium implants for minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion: 2-year follow-up from a prospective multicenter trial. *Int J Spine Surg.* May 2016;10:13. PMID 27162715
30. Sachs D, Kovalsky D, Redmond A, et al. Durable intermediate-to long-term outcomes after minimally invasive transiliac sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular titanium implants. *Med Devices (Auckl).* Jul 2016;9:213-222. PMID 27471413
31. Vanaclouha V, Herrera JM, Saiz-Sapena N, et al. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion, Radiofrequency Denervation, and Conservative Management for Sacroiliac Joint Pain: 6-Year Comparative Case Series. *Neurosurgery.* Jan 1 2018;82(1):48-55. PMID 28431026
32. Spain K, Holt T. Surgical revision after sacroiliac joint fixation or fusion. *Int J Spine Surg.* Apr 2017;11:5. PMID 28377863
33. Rudolf L. Sacroiliac joint arthrodesis-MIS technique with titanium implants: report of the first 50 patients and outcomes. *Open Orthop J.* 2012;6:495-502. PMID 23284593
34. Rudolf L, Capobianco R. Five-year clinical and radiographic outcomes after minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular implants. *Open Orthop J.* 2014;8:375-383. PMID 25352932
35. Schoell K, Buser Z, Jakoi A, et al. Postoperative complications in patients undergoing minimally invasive sacroiliac fusion. *Spine J.* Nov 2016;16(11):1324-1332. PMID 27349627
36. Cher DJ, Reckling WC, Capobianco RA. Implant survivorship analysis after minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using the iFuse Implant System(R). *Med Devices (Auckl).* Dec 2015;8:485-492. PMID 26648762
37. Rappoport LH, Luna IY, Joshua G. Minimally Invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using a novel hydroxyapatite-coated screw: preliminary 1-year clinical and radiographic results of a 2-year prospective study. *World Neurosurg.* May 2017;101:493-497. PMID 28216399
38. Araghi A, Woodruff R, Colle K, et al. Pain and opioid use outcomes following minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion with decortication and bone grafting: The Evolusion Clinical Trial. *Open Orthop J.* Feb 2017;11:1440-1448. PMID 29387289
39. Cross WW, Delbridge A, Hales D, et al. Minimally Invasive sacroiliac joint fusion: 2-year radiographic and clinical outcomes with a principles-based SIJ fusion system. *Open Orthop J.* Feb 2018;12:7-16. PMID 29430266
40. North American Spine Society (NASS). NASS coverage policy recommendations: Percutaneous sacroiliac joint fusion. 2015; <https://www.spine.org/PolicyPractice/CoverageRecommendations/AboutCoverageRecommendations>. Accessed November 8, 2018.
41. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management, American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: an updated

report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. *Anesthesiology*. Apr 2010;112(4):810-833. PMID 20124882

42. Lorio MP, Rashbaum R. ISASS policy statement - minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion. *Int J Spine Surg*. Feb 2014;8. PMID 25694942
43. Lorio MP. ISASS policy statement -- Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion (July 2016). 2016; <http://www.isass.org/public-policy/isass-policy-statement-minimally-invasive-sacroiliac-joint-fusion-july-2016/> Accessed October 29, 2018.
44. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion surgery for chronic sacroiliac pain [IPG578]. 2017; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg578>. Accessed October 29, 2018.

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

