

Medical Coverage Policy | Transesophageal Endoscopic Treatments for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease



EFFECTIVE DATE: 10|01|2015

POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 05|20|2026

OVERVIEW

Transesophageal endoscopic therapies are being developed for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). A variety of procedures are being evaluated, including transesophageal (or transoral) incisionless fundoplication (TIF), application of radiofrequency (RF) energy, and injection/implantation of prosthetic devices or bulking agents.

Note: This policy does not pertain to treatment for Barrett's Esophagus, which is covered.

This policy is applicable to Commercial Products only. For Medicare Advantage Plans, see Related Policies section below.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Commercial Products

The following procedures for the treatment gastroesophageal reflux disease are not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes:

- Transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF) (eg, EsophyX; MUSE, GERDX)
- Transesophageal radiofrequency to create submucosal thermal lesions of the gastroesophageal junction (i.e., Stretta® procedure)
- Endoscopic submucosal implantation of a prosthesis or injection of a bulking agent (e.g., polymethylmethacrylate beads, zirconium oxide spheres)

Implantation of inert polymers (Enteryx™) is considered a **contract exclusion** as it was withdrawn from the market following serious complications.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups/contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Subscriber Agreement for the applicable services that are not medically necessary and contract exclusions.

BACKGROUND

Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a common disorder characterized by heartburn and other symptoms related to reflux of stomach acid into the esophagus. Nearly all individuals experience such symptoms at some point in their lives; a smaller number have chronic symptoms and are at risk for complications of GERD. The prevalence of GERD has been estimated to be 20% in the United States.

Pathophysiology

The pathophysiology of GERD involves excessive exposure to stomach acid, which occurs for several reasons. There can be an incompetent barrier between the esophagus and stomach, either due to dysfunction of the lower esophageal sphincter or incompetence of the diaphragm. Another mechanism is an abnormally slow clearance of stomach acid. In this situation, delayed clearance leads to an increased reservoir of stomach acid and a greater tendency to reflux.

In addition to troubling symptoms, some patients will have a more serious disease, which results in complications such as erosive esophagitis, dysphagia, Barrett esophagus, and esophageal carcinoma. Pulmonary complications may result from aspiration of stomach acid into the lungs and can include asthma, pulmonary fibrosis, and bronchitis, or symptoms of chronic hoarseness, cough, and sore throat.

Treatment

Guidelines on the management of GERD emphasize initial medical management. Weight loss, smoking cessation, head of the bed elevation, and elimination of food triggers are all recommended in recent practice guidelines. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) have been shown to be the most effective medical treatment. In a Cochrane systematic review, van Pinxteren et al (2010) reported that PPIs demonstrated superiority to H₂-receptor antagonists and prokinetics in both network meta-analyses and direct comparisons.

Surgical Treatment

The most common surgical procedure used for GERD remains laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication; however, the utilization of this procedure steadily declined between 2009 and 2013 with the advancement of novel nonmedical (endoscopic and surgical) techniques. Fundoplication involves wrapping a portion of the gastric fundus around the distal esophagus to increase lower esophageal sphincter pressure. If a hiatal hernia is present, the procedure also restores the position of the lower esophageal sphincter to the correct location. Laparoscopic fundoplication was introduced in 1991 and has been rapidly adopted because it avoids complications associated with an open procedure.

Although fundoplication results in a high proportion of patients reporting symptom relief, complications can occur, and sometimes require conversion to an open procedure. Patients who have relief of symptoms of GERD after fundoplication may have dysphagia or gas-bloat syndrome (excessive gastrointestinal gas).

Other Treatment Options

Due in part to the high prevalence of GERD, there has been interest in creating a minimally invasive transesophageal therapeutic alternative to open or laparoscopic fundoplication or chronic medical therapy. This type of procedure may be considered natural orifice transluminal surgery. Three types of procedures have been investigated.

1. Transesophageal endoscopic gastroplasty (gastroplication, transoral incisionless fundoplication) can be performed as an outpatient procedure. During this procedure, the fundus of the stomach is folded and then held in place with staples or fasteners that are deployed by the device. The endoscopic procedure is designed to recreate a valve and barrier to reflux.
2. Radiofrequency energy has been used to produce submucosal thermal lesions at the gastroesophageal junction (this technique has also been referred to as the Stretta procedure). Specifically, radiofrequency energy is applied through 4 electrodes inserted into the esophageal wall at multiple sites both above and below the squamocolumnar junction. The mechanism of action of the thermal lesions is not precisely known but may be related to the ablation of the nerve pathways responsible for sphincter relaxation or may induce a tissue-tightening effect related to heat-induced collagen contraction and fibrosis.
3. Submucosal injection or implantation of a prosthetic or bulking agent to enhance the volume of the lower esophageal sphincter has also been investigated. One bulking agent, pyrolytic carbon-coated zirconium oxidespheres (Durasphere), has been evaluated. The Gatekeeper™ Reflux Repair System (Medtronic) used a soft, pliable, expandable prosthesis made of a polyacrylonitrile-based hydrogel. The prosthesis was implanted into the esophageal submucosa, and with time, the prosthesis absorbed water and expanded, creating bulk in the region of implantation. However, the only identified RCT was terminated early due to lack of efficacy, and it was voluntarily withdrawn by the manufacturer. Endoscopic submucosal implantation of polymethylmethacrylate beads into the lower esophageal folds has also been investigated.

Regulatory Status

The EsophyX® (EndoGastric Solutions) is a transesophageal (or transoral) incisionless fundoplication (TIF) device that was originally cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process in 2007 and has subsequently undergone 2 evolutions: Generation 2=EsophyX2 iterations (E2-Plus, HD) and Generation 3=Z iterations (EZ/ZR, Z+). Some of the key Regulatory Status changes are summarized herein. In 2007, EsophyX® (EndoGastric Solutions) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for full-thickness plication. In 2016, EsophyX® Z Device with Serosa Fuse Fasteners was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process (K160960) for use in transoral tissue approximation, full-thickness plication, ligation in the gastrointestinal tract, narrowing the gastroesophageal junction, and reduction of hiatal hernias of 2 cm or less in patients with symptomatic chronic GERD. In June 2017, EsophyX2 HD and the third generation EsophyX Z Devices with SerosaFuse fasteners and accessories were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process (K171307) for expanded indications, including patients who require and respond to pharmacologic therapy and patients with hiatal hernias larger than 2 cm when a laparoscopic hiatal hernia repair reduces a hernia to 2 cm or less. An additional FDA 510(k) clearance (K172811) occurred in October 2017 for new product specification iterations of EsophyX2 HD and EsophyX Z Devices. This clearance allows for "a moderate increase in the upper limit of the temporary Tissue Mold clamping pressure occurring during each fastener deployment." A 2024 FDA 510(k) clearance (K240879) updated instructions for use and other device labeling.

The Medigus SRS Endoscopic Stapling System (MUSE, Medigus) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process in 2012 (K120299) and 2014 (K132151). MUSE is intended for endoscopic placement of surgical staples in the soft tissue of the esophagus and stomach to create anterior partial fundoplication for the treatment of symptomatic chronic GERD in patients who require and respond to pharmacologic therapy.

The GERDX-System (K233240) was cleared through the 510(k) process in 2024 (K233240). The device is intended for endoscopic full-thickness plication for chronic GERD in individuals who require and respond to pharmacological therapy. The manufacturer website includes a description for use in presence of a hiatal hernia up to 3 cm in size. The device is clinically, biologically, and technologically identical to the NDO Surgical Endoscopic Plication System (K071553) which was approved by the FDA in 2003 and has since been removed from the market due to risk of complications. Technological details of the GERDX-System have been improved from the predicate device to improve safety.

In 2000, the CSM Stretta® System was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for general use in the electrosurgical coagulation of tissue and was specifically intended for use in the treatment of GERD. In 2010, Mederi Therapeutics began manufacturing the Stretta® device. Mederi was acquired by Respiratory Technology Corporation in 2018.

Durasphere® is a bulking agent approved for the treatment of urinary and fecal incontinence. Use of this product for esophageal reflux would be considered off-label use. The website of Carbon Medical Technologies states that the Durasphere® GR product is "intended to treat problems associated with GERD" but is considered an investigational device in the U.S.

For individuals who have GERD and a hiatal hernia of 2 cm or less that is not controlled by PPIs who receive TIF (eg, EsophyX), the evidence includes 2 RCTs comparing TIF with PPI therapy, nonrandomized studies comparing TIF with fundoplication, and case series with longer-term follow-up. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, QOL, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The highest quality RCT (RESPECT) was sham-controlled and compared TIF with PPI therapy while the other RCT (TEMPO) compared TIF with maximum PPI therapy. Both trials found a significant benefit of TIF on the primary outcome measure in about 65% of patients. The sham-controlled trial reported improvement in 45% of the sham-controlled group and no benefit on secondary subjective outcome measures. The nonblinded RCT found significant improvements in subjective measures but no difference in objective outcome measures compared with PPI therapy. Together, these trial results would suggest a strong placebo

effect of the surgery and a modest benefit of TIF in patients whose symptoms were not controlled by PPIs. For these patients, the most appropriate comparator would be laparoscopic fundoplication. Studies comparing TIF with fundoplication have limitations that include earlier TIF procedures and unbalanced groups at baseline and are inadequate to determine relative efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have GERD and a hiatal hernia of 3 cm or less that is controlled by PPIs who receive TIF (eg, EsophyX), the evidence includes 2 RCTs and observational studies with longer-term follow-up. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, QOL, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. A sham-controlled trial found that the time to resume PPI therapy was longer following TIF and the remission rate was higher, indicating that TIF is more effective than no therapy. The nonblinded RCT found a benefit of TIF compared with continued PPI therapy for subjective measures, but not for the objective measures of pH normalization and esophagitis. In addition, a single-center, double-blind RCT in individuals with GERD (using GERDX) and a hiatal hernia of 3 cm or less found improved subjective outcomes to 12 months, but objective measures such as esophageal pH control were not significantly improved. These results raise questions about a possible placebo effect for the procedure. Also, observational studies have indicated a loss of treatment effectiveness over time. Adverse events associated with the procedure (eg, perforation) may be severe. At present, the available evidence does not support the use of this intervention in patients whose symptoms are adequately controlled by medical therapy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have GERD who receive endoscopic radiofrequency energy (eg, Stretta), the evidence includes 2 meta-analyses, a network meta-analysis, 6 small RCTs, 2 nonrandomized comparative studies, and observational studies with longer-term follow-up. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, QOL, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCTs reported some improvements in symptoms and QOL following treatment with radiofrequency energy compared with sham controls. However, objective measures of GERD and a meta-analysis of 4 RCTs found no significant improvements in outcomes, raising questions about the mechanism of the symptom relief. Symptom relief and clinical success is reported to be lower than after fundoplication, and reoperations and other severe adverse events greater. Larger RCTs with longer follow-up, preferably compared with fundoplication, are needed to define the risks and benefits of this procedure better. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have GERD who receive esophageal bulking agents, the evidence includes case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, QOL, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. High-quality data from large RCTs are needed to compare bulking procedures with both sham controls and with the currently accepted treatments for GERD (ie, drug therapy, laparoscopic fundoplication). Well-designed trials should use standardized outcome measures to examine whether subjective improvement (eg, discontinuation of medication therapy, GERD-HRQL scores) is supported by objective improvement (eg, esophageal acid exposure). The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

CODING

Commercial Products

The following CPT code(s) is not medically necessary:

43257 Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, with delivery of thermal energy to the muscle of the lower esophageal sphincter and/or gastric cardia

The following CPT code(s) are not medically necessary when filed with ICD-10 Diagnosis K21.00-K21.9:

43201 Esophagoscopy, flexible, transoral; with directed submucosal injection(s), any substance

43210 Esophagogastroduodenoscopy, flexible, transoral; with esophagogastric fundoplasty, partial or complete, includes duodenoscopy when performed

- 43212 Esophagoscopy, flexible, transoral; with placement of endoscopic stent (includes pre- and post-dilation and guide wire passage, when performed)
- 43236 Esophagogastroduodenoscopy, flexible, transoral; with directed submucosal injection(s), any substance
- 43266 Esophagogastroduodenoscopy, flexible, transoral; with placement of endoscopic stent (includes pre- and dilation and guide wire passage, when performed)

RELATED POLICIES

Medicare Advantage Plans National and Local Coverage Determinations

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, July 2026
 Provider Update, April 2025
 Provider Update, March 2024
 Provider Update, February/December 2022
 Provider Update, February 2021

REFERENCES

1. Shaqran TM, Ismaeel MM, Alnuaman AA, et al. Epidemiology, Causes, and Management of Gastro-esophageal Reflux Disease: A Systematic Review. *Cureus*. Oct 2023; 15(10): e47420. PMID 38022211
2. Katz PO, Gerson LB, Vela MF. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Mar 2013; 108(3): 308-28; quiz 329. PMID 23419381
3. van Pinxteren B, Sigterman KE, Bonis P, et al. Short-term treatment with proton pump inhibitors, H2-receptor antagonists and prokinetics for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease-like symptoms and endoscopy negative reflux disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Nov 10 2010; (11): CD002095. PMID 21069670
4. Khan F, Maradey-Romero C, Ganocy S, et al. Utilisation of surgical fundoplication for patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in the USA has declined rapidly between 2009 and 2013. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Jun 2016; 43(11): 1124-31. PMID 27060607
5. Ihde GM. The evolution of TIF: transoral incisionless fundoplication. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2020; 13: 1756284820924206. PMID 32499834
6. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Summary: EsophyX (K160960). 2016; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/K160960.pdf. Accessed October 18, 2026.
7. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). EsophyX Summary K171307. 2017; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/K171307.pdf. Accessed October 19, 2025.
8. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). EsophyX Summary K172811. 2017; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/K172811.pdf. Accessed October 17, 2025.
9. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Summary: EsophyX Z+ (K240879). 2024; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf24/K240879.pdf. Accessed October 14, 2025.
10. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Summary: GERDX (K233240). 2024; www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf23/K233240.pdf. Accessed October 13, 2025.
11. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Transesophageal Endoscopic Treatments for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. TEC Assessment. 2003;Volume 18:Tab 20. PMID
12. McCarty TR, Itidiare M, Njei B, et al. Efficacy of transoral incisionless fundoplication for refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Endoscopy*. Jul 2018; 50(7): 708-725. PMID 29625507
13. Richter JE, Kumar A, Lipka S, et al. Efficacy of Laparoscopic Nissen Fundoplication vs Transoral Incisionless Fundoplication or Proton Pump Inhibitors in Patients With Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. Apr 2018; 154(5): 1298-1308.e7. PMID 29305934
14. Testoni S, Hassan C, Mazzoleni G, et al. Long-term outcomes of transoral incisionless fundoplication for gastro-esophageal reflux disease: systematic-review and meta-analysis. *Endosc Int Open*. Feb 2021; 9(2): E239-E246. PMID 33553587

15. Rausa E, Ferrari D, Kelly ME, et al. Efficacy of laparoscopic Toupet fundoplication compared to endoscopic and surgical procedures for GERD treatment: a randomized trials network meta-analysis. *Langenbecks Arch Surg.* Jan 21 2023; 408(1): 52. PMID 36680602
16. Haseeb M, Brown JRG, Hayat U, et al. Impact of second-generation transoral incisionless fundoplication on atypical GERD symptoms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc.* Mar 2023; 97(3): 394-406.e2. PMID 36402203
17. Hunter JG, Kahrilas PJ, Bell RC, et al. Efficacy of transoral fundoplication vs omeprazole for treatment of regurgitation in a randomized controlled trial. *Gastroenterology.* Feb 2015; 148(2): 324-333.e5. PMID 25448925
18. Trad KS, Barnes WE, Simoni G, et al. Transoral incisionless fundoplication effective in eliminating GERD symptoms in partial responders to proton pump inhibitor therapy at 6 months: the TEMPO Randomized Clinical Trial. *Surg Innov.* Feb 2015; 22(1): 26-40. PMID 24756976
19. Trad KS, Fox MA, Simoni G, et al. Transoral fundoplication offers durable symptom control for chronic GERD: 3-year report from the TEMPO randomized trial with a crossover arm. *Surg Endosc.* Jun 2017; 31(6): 2498-2508. PMID 27655380
20. Trad KS, Barnes WE, Prevou ER, et al. The TEMPO Trial at 5 Years: Transoral Fundoplication (TIF 2.0) Is Safe, Durable, and Cost-effective. *Surg Innov.* Apr 2018; 25(2): 149-157. PMID 29405886
21. Håkansson B, Montgomery M, Cadiere GB, et al. Randomised clinical trial: transoral incisionless fundoplication vs. sham intervention to control chronic GERD. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* Dec 2015; 42(11-12): 1261-70. PMID 26463242
22. Witteman BP, Conchillo JM, Rinsma NF, et al. Randomized controlled trial of transoral incisionless fundoplication vs. proton pump inhibitors for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Apr 2015; 110(4): 531-42. PMID 25823768
23. Toomey P, Teta A, Patel K, et al. Transoral incisionless fundoplication: is it as safe and efficacious as a Nissen or Toupet fundoplication?. *Am Surg.* Sep 2014; 80(9): 860-7. PMID 25197871
24. Frazzoni M, Conigliaro R, Manta R, et al. Reflux parameters as modified by EsophyX or laparoscopic fundoplication in refractory GERD. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* Jul 2011; 34(1): 67-75. PMID 21539587
25. Bell RCW, Freeman K, Heidrick R, et al. Transoral incisionless fundoplication demonstrates durability at up to 9 years. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* 2021; 14: 17562848211004827. PMID 33948113
26. Canto MI, Diehl DL, Parker B, et al. Outcomes of transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF 2.0): a prospective multicenter cohort study in academic and community gastroenterology and surgery practices (with video). *Gastrointest Endosc.* Jan 2025; 101(1): 90-102.e1. PMID 39293690
27. Kalapala R, Karyampudi A, Nabi Z, et al. Endoscopic full-thickness plication for the treatment of PPI-dependent GERD: results from a randomised, sham controlled trial. *Gut.* Apr 2022; 71(4): 686-694. PMID 33849942
28. Stefanidis G, Viazis N, Kotsikoros N, et al. Long-term benefit of transoral incisionless fundoplication using the esophyx device for the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease responsive to medical therapy. *Dis Esophagus.* Feb 01 2017; 30(3): 1-8. PMID 27868281
29. Testoni PA, Testoni S, Mazzoleni G, et al. Long-term efficacy of transoral incisionless fundoplication with Esophyx (Tif 2.0) and factors affecting outcomes in GERD patients followed for up to 6 years: a prospective single-center study. *Surg Endosc.* Sep 2015; 29(9): 2770-80. PMID 25480624
30. Testoni PA, Testoni S, Distefano G, et al. Transoral incisionless fundoplication with EsophyX for gastroesophageal reflux disease: clinical efficacy is maintained up to 10 years. *Endosc Int Open.* May 2019; 7(5): E647-E654. PMID 31058207
31. Testoni SGG, Cilona MB, Mazzoleni G, et al. Transoral incisionless fundoplication with Medigus ultrasonic surgical endostapler (MUSE) for the treatment of gastro-esophageal reflux disease: outcomes up to 3 years. *Surg Endosc.* Jul 2022; 36(7): 5023-5031. PMID 34799745
32. Huang X, Chen S, Zhao H, et al. Efficacy of transoral incisionless fundoplication (TIF) for the treatment of GERD: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc.* Mar 2017; 31(3): 1032-1044. PMID 27495332
33. Lipka S, Kumar A, Richter JE. No evidence for efficacy of radiofrequency ablation for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* Jun 2015; 13(6): 1058-67.e1. PMID 25459556

34. Arts J, Bisschops R, Blondeau K, et al. A double-blind sham-controlled study of the effect of radiofrequency energy on symptoms and distensibility of the gastro-esophageal junction in GERD. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Feb 2012; 107(2): 222-30. PMID 22108449
35. Aziz AM, El-Khayat HR, Sadek A, et al. A prospective randomized trial of sham, single-dose Stretta, and double-dose Stretta for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Surg Endosc*. Apr 2010; 24(4): 818-25. PMID 19730952
36. Corley DA, Katz P, Wo JM, et al. Improvement of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms after radiofrequency energy: a randomized, sham-controlled trial. *Gastroenterology*. Sep 2003; 125(3): 668-76. PMID 12949712
37. Coron E, Sebillé V, Cadiot G, et al. Clinical trial: Radiofrequency energy delivery in proton pump inhibitor-dependent gastro-oesophageal reflux disease patients. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Nov 01 2008; 28(9): 1147-58. PMID 18616516
38. Fass R, Cahn F, Scotti DJ, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled and prospective cohort efficacy studies of endoscopic radiofrequency for treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Surg Endosc*. Dec 2017; 31(12): 4865-4882. PMID 28233093
39. Xie P, Yan J, Ye L, et al. Efficacy of different endoscopic treatments in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc*. Apr 2021; 35(4): 1500-1510. PMID 33650003
40. Kalapala R, Shah H, Nabi Z, et al. Treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease using radiofrequency ablation (Stretta procedure): An interim analysis of a randomized trial. *Indian J Gastroenterol*. Sep 2017; 36(5): 337-342. PMID 29030802
41. Zerbib F, Sacher-Huvelin S, Coron E, et al. Randomised clinical trial: oesophageal radiofrequency energy delivery versus sham for PPI-refractory heartburn. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Aug 2020; 52(4): 637-645. PMID 32656869
42. Liang WT, Yan C, Wang ZG, et al. Early and Midterm Outcome After Laparoscopic Fundoplication and a Minimally Invasive Endoscopic Procedure in Patients with Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Prospective Observational Study. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. Aug 2015; 25(8): 657-61. PMID 26258269
43. Ma L, Li T, Liu G, et al. Stretta radiofrequency treatment vs Toupet fundoplication for gastroesophageal reflux disease: a comparative study. *BMC Gastroenterol*. May 27 2020; 20(1): 162. PMID 32460696
44. Liang WT, Wang ZG, Wang F, et al. Long-term outcomes of patients with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease following a minimally invasive endoscopic procedure: a prospective observational study. *BMC Gastroenterol*. Oct 10 2014; 14: 178. PMID 25304252
45. Noar M, Squires P, Noar E, et al. Long-term maintenance effect of radiofrequency energy delivery for refractory GERD: a decade later. *Surg Endosc*. Aug 2014; 28(8): 2323-33. PMID 24562599
46. Ganz RA, Fallon E, Wittchow T, et al. A new injectable agent for the treatment of GERD: results of the Durasphere pilot trial. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Feb 2009; 69(2): 318-23. PMID 19185691
47. Feretis C, Benakis P, Dimopoulos C, et al. Endoscopic implantation of Plexiglas (PMMA) microspheres for the treatment of GERD. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Apr 2001; 53(4): 423-6. PMID 11275880
48. Katz PO, Dunbar KB, Schnoll-Sussman FH, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jan 01 2022; 117(1): 27-56. PMID 34807007
49. Gawron AJ, Bell R, Abu Dayyeh BK, et al. Surgical and endoscopic management options for patients with GERD based on proton pump inhibitor symptom response: recommendations from an expert U.S. panel. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Jul 2020; 92(1): 78-87.e2. PMID 32007519
50. Yadlapati R, Gyawali CP, Pandolfino JE, et al. AGA Clinical Practice Update on the Personalized Approach to the Evaluation and Management of GERD: Expert Review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. May 2022; 20(5): 984-994.e1. PMID 35123084
51. Desai M, Ruan W, Thosani NC, et al. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy guideline on the diagnosis and management of GERD: summary and recommendations. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Feb 2025; 101(2): 267-284. PMID 39692638
52. American Society of General Surgeons (ASGS). Coverage of Transoral fundoplication. 2011; <https://theasgs.org/position-statements/coverage-of-transoral-fundoplication-2/>. Accessed October 17, 2025.

53. Slater BJ, Collings A, Dirks R, et al. Multi-society consensus conference and guideline on the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). *Surg Endosc*. Feb 2023; 37(2): 781-806. PMID 36529851
54. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Endoscopic radiofrequency ablation for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease [IPG461]. 2013; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg461>. Accessed October 20, 2025.
55. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Endoluminal gastroplication for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease [IPG404]. 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg404>. Accessed October 27, 2025.

[CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS](#)

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

