OVERVIEW
Laboratory tests have been developed that detect the expression of different genes in pigmented lesions or melanoma tumor tissue. Test results may help providers and patients decide whether to biopsy suspicious pigmented lesions, aid in diagnosis of lesions with indeterminate histopathologic findings or determine whether to perform sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients diagnosed with stage I or II cutaneous melanoma.

MEDICAL CRITERIA
Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION
BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products
There is no specific CPT code for these services and an Unlisted CPT code should be used (See Coding Section for details). All Unlisted genetic testing CPT codes require prior authorization to determine what service is being rendered or if the service is covered or not medically necessary. See the Related Policies section.

Prior authorization is required for BlueCHiP for Medicare and recommended for Commercial Products and is obtained via the online tool for participating providers. See the Related Policies section.

POLICY STATEMENT
BlueCHiP for Medicare
Gene expression testing in the evaluation of patients with suspicious pigmented lesions is not covered as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Gene expression testing in the evaluation of patients with melanocytic lesions with indeterminate histopathologic features is not covered as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Gene expression testing in the evaluation of patients with cutaneous melanoma is not covered for all indications as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Commercial Products
Gene expression testing in the evaluation of patients with suspicious pigmented lesions is considered not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Gene expression testing in the evaluation of patients with melanocytic lesions with indeterminate histopathologic features is considered not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Gene expression testing in the evaluation of patients with cutaneous melanoma is considered not medically necessary for all indications as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

COVERAGE
Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.
BACKGROUND
CUTANEOUS MELANOMA
Cutaneous melanoma accounts for more than 90% of cases of melanoma. For many decades, melanoma incidence was rapidly increasing in the United States. However, recent estimates have suggested the rise may be slowing. In 2018, more than 90,000 new cases of melanoma are expected to be diagnosed and more than 9000 people are expected to die of melanoma.

Risk Factors
Exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation is a major risk factor for melanoma. Most melanomas occur on sunexposed skin, particularly those areas most susceptible to sunburn. Likewise, features that are associated with an individual’s sensitivity to sunlight, such as light skin pigmentation, red or blond hair, blue or green eyes, freckling tendency, and poor tanning ability are well-known risk factors for melanoma. There is also a strong association between high total body nevus counts and melanoma.

Several genes appear to contribute to melanoma predisposition such as tumor suppressor gene CDKN2A, melanocortin-1 receptor (MC1R) gene, and BAP1 variants. Individuals with either familial or sporadic melanoma have a 2 to 3 times increased risk of developing a subsequent primary melanoma. Several occupational exposures and lifestyle factors, such as body mass index and smoking, have been evaluated as possible risk factors for melanoma.

Diagnosis
Primary care physicians evaluate suspicious pigmented lesions to determine who should be referred to dermatology. Factors considered include both a patient’s risk for melanoma as well as visual examination of the lesion. The visual examination assesses whether the lesion has features suggestive of melanoma. Criteria for features suggestive of melanoma have been developed. One checklist is the ABCDE checklist:

- Asymmetry;
- Border irregularities;
- Color variegation;
- Diameter ≥6 mm;
- Evolution.

Another criteria commonly used is the “ugly duckling” sign. An ugly duckling is a nevus that is obviously different from others in a given patient. Primary care physicians generally have a low threshold for referral to dermatology.

Melanoma is difficult to diagnose based on visual examination and the criterion standard for diagnosis is histopathology. There is a low threshold for excisional biopsy of suspicious lesions for histopathologic examination due to the procedure’s ease and low risk as well as the high probability of missing melanoma. However, the yield of biopsy is fairly low. The number of biopsies performed to yield one melanoma diagnosis has been estimated to be about 15 for U.S. dermatologists. Therefore a test that could accurately identify those lesions not needing biopsy (ie, a rule-out test for biopsy) could be clinically useful.

Treatment and Surveillance
Many treatment and surveillance decisions are determined by a patient’s prognostic stage group based the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) tumor, node, metastasis (TNM) staging system. The prognostic groups are as follows: stage I, T1a through T2a primary melanomas without evidence of regional or distant metastases; stage II, T2b through T4b primary melanomas without evidence of lymphatic disease or distant metastases; stage III: pathologically documented involvement of regional lymph nodes or in transit or satellite metastases (N1 to N3); stage IV: distant metastases.

Patients may also undergo sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) to gain more definitive information about the status of the regional nodes.
Wide local excision is the definite surgical treatment of melanoma. Following surgery, patients with AJCC stage I or II (node-negative) melanoma do not generally receive adjuvant therapy. Patients with higher risk melanoma receive adjuvant immunotherapy or targeted therapy. Ipilimumab has been shown to prolong recurrence-free survival by approximately 25% compared with placebo at a median of 5.3 years in patients with resected, stage III disease. Nivolumab has been shown to further prolong survival compared with ipilimumab by approximately 35% at 18 months. For patients who are BRAF V600 variant-positive with stage III melanoma, the combination of dabrafenib plus trametinib has been estimated to prolong relapse-free survival by approximately 50% over 3 years.

Patients with stage I and II disease should undergo an annual routine physical and dermatologic examination. However, follow-up strategies and intervals have not been standardized or tested, and there is no consensus. These patients typically do not receive surveillance imaging. Patients with stage III melanoma may be managed with more frequent follow-up and imaging surveillance following therapy.

**Gene Expression Profiling**

Gene expression profiling measures the activity of thousands of genes simultaneously and creates a snapshot of cellular function. Data for gene expression profiles are generated by several molecular technologies including DNA microarrays that measures activity relative to previously identified genes and RNA-Seq that directly sequences and quantifies RNA molecules. Clinical applications of gene expression profiling include disease diagnosis, disease classification, prediction of drug response, and prognosis.

**REGULATORY STATUS**

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. The Pigmented Lesion Assay, myPath Melanoma, and DecisionDx-Melanoma tests are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

For individuals with suspicious pigmented lesions (based on ABCDE and/or ugly duckling criteria) being considered for biopsy who receive gene expression profiling with the DermTech Pigmented Lesion Assay to determine which lesions should proceed to biopsy, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and resource utilization. The Pigmented Lesion Assay has 1 clinical validity study with many methodologic and reporting limitations. Therefore, performance characteristics are not well-characterized. Also, the test has not been compared with dermoscopy, another tool frequently used to make biopsy decisions. No direct evidence of clinical utility was identified. Given that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate test performance, no inferences can be made about clinical utility through a chain of evidence. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have melanocytic lesions with indeterminate histopathologic features who receive gene expression profiling with the myPath Melanoma test added to histopathology to aid in the diagnosis of melanoma, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, change in disease status, treatment-related morbidity. The myPath test has 1 clinical validity study, which includes long-term follow-up to establish the clinical diagnosis as the reference standard. However, it is not clear if the study population included lesions that were indeterminate following histopathology and the study had other methodologic and reporting limitations. Therefore, performance characteristics are not well-characterized. No direct evidence of clinical utility was identified. Given that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate test performance, no inferences can be made about clinical utility through a chain of evidence. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.
For individuals with American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) stage I or II cutaneous melanoma who receive gene expression profiling with the DecisionDx-Melanoma test to determine whether to perform sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB), selection for active surveillance or adjuvant chemotherapy, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, change in disease status, resource utilization and treatment-related morbidity. The DecisionDx-Melanoma test has 2 independent clinical validity studies that have reported 5-year recurrence-free survival (RFS) in AJCC stage I or II patients. Gerami et al (2015) reported RFS rates of 98% in DecisionDx class 1 (low risk) without confidence intervals (CIs), in AJCC stage I or II patients. Zager et al (2017) reported RFS rates of 96% (95% CI, 94% to 99%) for DecisionDx class 1 in patients with AJCC stage I disease; they also reported RFS rates of 74% (95% CI, 60% to 91%) for DecisionDx class 1 in patients with AJCC stage II disease. Although CIs were not available for the first study, RFS does not appear to be well-characterized as evidenced by the variation in estimates across studies. Zager et al (2017) also reported that in 56 patients who were DecisionDx class 1 (low risk) but SLNB-positive, 22 recurrences (39%) occurred over 5 years. If the DecisionDx test were used as a triage for SLNB, these patients would not undergo SLNB and would likely not receive adjuvant therapy, which has shown to be effective at prolonging time to recurrence in node-positive patients. In the setting where DecisionDx is used to select patients for active surveillance, there is no direct evidence that changes to the frequency and methods for surveillance are clinical appropriate. No direct evidence of clinical utility was identified. Given that the evidence is insufficient to demonstrate test performance, no inferences can be made about clinical utility through a chain of evidence. There is also not an explicated, evidence-based management pathway for the use of the test. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

CODING

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

There are currently no specific codes for the panel tests which are applicable to this policy. CPT code 81401 represents only 2 of the genes that are included in the DermTech PLA test. 81401 does not include any of the remaining genes in the test, so this code does not represent “DermTech PLA”, “MyPath Melanoma” or “DecisionDx UM Melanoma”. Providers should instead file with one of the following unlisted codes:

- 81479 Unlisted molecular pathology procedure
- 81599 Unlisted multianalyte assay with algorithmic analysis
- 84999 Unlisted chemistry procedure
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Genetic Testing Services
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This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.