OVERVIEW
Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) and circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in peripheral blood, referred to as “liquid biopsy,” have several potential uses for guiding therapeutic decisions in patients with cancer or being screened for cancer.

This policy is applicable to Commercial Products only. For Blue CHiP for Medicare, see related policy section.

MEDICAL CRITERIA
Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION
Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT
Commercial Products
The use of circulating tumor DNA and/or circulating tumor cells is considered not medically necessary for all indications as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

COVERAGE
Benefits may vary between groups/contracts. Please refer to the appropriate section of the Benefit Booklet or Subscriber Agreement for services not medically necessary.

BACKGROUND
Liquid biopsy refers to analysis of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) or circulating tumor cells (CTCs) as a method of noninvasively characterizing tumors and tumor genome from the peripheral blood.

Circulating Tumor DNA
Normal and tumor cells release small fragments of DNA into the blood, which is referred to as cell-free DNA (cfDNA). Cell-free DNA from nonmalignant cells is released by apoptosis. Most cell-free tumor DNA is derived from apoptotic and/or necrotic tumor cells, either from the primary tumor, metastases, or CTCs. Unlike apoptosis, necrosis is considered a pathologic process, and generates larger DNA fragments due to an incomplete and random digestion of genomic DNA. The length or integrity of the circulating DNA can potentially distinguish between apoptotic and necrotic origin. Circulating tumor DNA can be used for genomic characterization of the tumor.

Circulating Tumor Cells
Intact CTCs are released from a primary tumor and/or a metastatic site into the bloodstream. The half-life of a CTC in the bloodstream is short (1-2 hours), and CTCs are cleared through extravasation into secondary organs. Most assays detect CTCs through the use of surface epithelial markers such as EpCAM and cytokeratins. The primary reason for detecting CTCs is prognostic, through quantification of circulating levels.
Detecting ctDNA and CTCs
Detection of ctDNA is challenging because ctDNA is diluted by nonmalignant circulating DNA and usually represents a small fraction (<1%) of total cell free DNA. Therefore, more sensitive methods than the standard sequencing approaches (e.g., Sanger sequencing) are needed.

Highly sensitive and specific methods have been developed to detect ctDNA, for both single nucleotide mutations e.g. BEAMing [which combines emulsion polymerase chain reaction with magnetic beads and flow cytometry] and digital polymerase chain reaction and copy-number changes. Digital genomic technologies allow for enumeration of rare mutant variants in complex mixtures of DNA.

Approaches to detecting ctDNA can be considered targeted, which includes the analysis of known genetic mutations from the primary tumor in a small set of frequently occurring driver mutations, which can impact therapy decisions (e.g., EGFR and ALK in non-small-cell lung cancer), or untargeted without knowledge of specific mutations present in the primary tumor, and include array comparative genomic hybridization, next-generation sequencing, and whole exome and genome sequencing.

CTC assays usually start with an enrichment step that increases the concentration of CTCs, either on the basis of biologic properties (expression of protein markers) or physical properties (size, density, electric charge). CTCs can then be detected using immunologic, molecular, or functional assays.

For individuals who have advanced cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to select targeted treatment, the evidence includes observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess ctDNA, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether variant analysis of ctDNA can replace variant analysis of tissue. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have advanced cancer who receive testing of CTCs to select targeted treatment, the evidence includes observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of CTCs can replace variant analysis of tissue. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to monitor treatment response, the evidence includes observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess ctDNA, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of ctDNA should be used to monitor treatment response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have cancer who receive testing of CTCs to monitor treatment response, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial, observational studies, and systematic reviews of observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. The available
randomized controlled trial found no effect on overall survival when patients with persistently increased CTC levels after first-line chemotherapy were switched to an alternative cytotoxic therapy. Other studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of CTCs should be used to monitor treatment response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have received curative treatment for cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to predict risk of relapse, the evidence includes observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess ctDNA, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of ctDNA should be used to predict relapse response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have received curative treatment for cancer who receive testing of CTCs to predict risk of relapse, the evidence includes observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, morbid events, and medication use. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The uncertainties concerning clinical validity and clinical utility preclude conclusions about whether the use of CTCs should be used to predict relapse response. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who are asymptomatic and at high risk for cancer who receive testing of ctDNA to screen for cancer, no evidence was identified. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and test validity. Published data on clinical validity and clinical utility are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who are asymptomatic and at high risk for cancer who receive testing of CTCs to screen for cancer, the evidence includes observational studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test accuracy, and test validity. Given the breadth of methodologies available to assess CTCs, the clinical validity of each commercially available test must be established independently, and these data are lacking. Published studies reporting clinical outcomes and/or clinical utility are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes. Therefore, this service is considered not medically necessary for Commercial products.

**CODING**

**Commercial Products**
The following codes are considered not medically necessary:

- **86152** Cell enumeration using immunologic selection and identification in fluid specimen
  (eg, circulating tumor cells in blood)
- **86153** Cell enumeration using immunologic selection and identification in fluid specimen
  (eg, circulating tumor cells in blood); physician interpretation and report, when required
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