

**Medical Coverage Policy |** Measurement of Exhaled Nitric Oxide and Exhaled Breath Condensate in the Diagnosis and Management of Respiratory Disorders



**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 03|03|2015  
**POLICY LAST UPDATED:** 01|07|2020

## OVERVIEW

Evaluation of exhaled nitric oxide (NO) and exhaled breath condensate (EBC) are proposed as techniques to diagnose and monitor asthma and other respiratory conditions. There is a commercially available device for measuring NO in expired breath and various laboratory techniques for evaluating components of EBC.

## MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

## PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

## POLICY STATEMENT

### BlueCHiP for Medicare

Measurement of exhaled nitric oxide and exhaled breath condensate is not covered in the diagnosis and management of asthma and other respiratory disorders including, but not limited to, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

### Commercial Products

Measurement of exhaled nitric oxide and exhaled breath condensate is considered not medically necessary in the diagnosis and management of asthma and other respiratory disorders including, but not limited to, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and chronic cough as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

## COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

## BACKGROUND

### ASTHMA

Asthma is characterized by airway inflammation that leads to airway obstruction and hyperresponsiveness, which in turn lead to characteristic clinical symptoms including wheezing, shortness of breath, cough, and chest tightness.

### Management

Guidelines for the management of persistent asthma stress the importance of long-term suppression of inflammation using steroids, leukotriene inhibitors, or other anti-inflammatory drugs. Existing techniques for monitoring the status of underlying inflammation have focused on bronchoscopy, with lavage and biopsy, or analysis by induced sputum. Given the cumbersome nature of these techniques, the ongoing assessment of asthma focuses not on the status of the underlying chronic inflammation, but rather on regular assessments of respiratory parameters such as forced expiratory volume in 1 second and peak flow. Therefore, there has been interest in noninvasive techniques to assess the underlying pathogenic chronic inflammation as reflected by measurements of inflammatory mediators.

### **Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide**

One proposed strategy is the measurement of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO). Nitric oxide (NO) is an important endogenous messenger and inflammatory mediator that is widespread in the human body, with functions including the regulation of peripheral blood flow, platelet function, immune reactions, neurotransmission, and the mediation of inflammation. Patients with asthma have been found to have high levels of FeNO, which decreases with treatment with corticosteroids. In biologic tissues, NO is unstable, limiting measurement. However, in the gas phase, NO is fairly stable, permitting its measurement in exhaled air. FeNO is typically measured during single breath exhalations. First, the subject inspires NO-free air via a mouthpiece until total lung capacity is achieved, followed immediately by exhalation through the mouthpiece into the measuring device. Devices measuring FeNO are commercially available in the United States. According to a 2009 joint statement by the American Thoracic Society and European Respiratory Society, there is consensus that the fractional concentration of FeNO is best measured at an exhaled rate of 50 mL per second maintained within 10% for more than 6 seconds at an oral pressure between 5 and 20 cm H<sub>2</sub>O. Results are expressed as the NO concentration in parts per billion, based on the mean of 2 or 3 values.

### **Exhaled Breath Condensate**

Exhaled breath condensate (EBC) consists of exhaled air passed through a condensing or cooling apparatus, resulting in an accumulation of fluid. Although EBC is primarily derived from water vapor, it also contains aerosol particles or respiratory fluid droplets, which in turn contain various nonvolatile inflammatory mediators, such as cytokines, leukotrienes, oxidants, antioxidants, and other markers of oxidative stress. There are a variety of laboratory techniques to measure the components of EBC, including such simple techniques as pH measurement and the more sophisticated gas chromatography/mass spectrometry or high-performance liquid chromatography, depending on the component of interest.

### **Clinical Uses of FeNO and EBC**

Measurement of FeNO has been associated with an eosinophilic asthma phenotype. Eosinophilic asthma is a subtype of severe asthma associated with sputum and serum eosinophilia, along with later-onset asthma. Until recently, most asthma management strategies did not depend on the recognition or diagnosis of a particular subtype. However, anti-interleukin 5 inhibitors have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of severe asthma with an eosinophilic phenotype. An anti-interleukin 4 and 13 monoclonal antibody has also been shown to improve uncontrolled asthma.

Measurement of NO and EBC has been investigated in the diagnosis and management of asthma. Potential management uses include assessing response to anti-inflammatory treatment, monitoring compliance with treatment, and predicting exacerbations. Aside from asthma, they have also been proposed in the management of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cystic fibrosis, allergic rhinitis, pulmonary hypertension, and primary ciliary dyskinesia.

For individuals who have suspected asthma who receive measurement of fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FeNO) for diagnosis, the evidence includes multiple retrospective and prospective studies of diagnostic accuracy, along with systematic reviews of those studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. There are multiple reports on the sensitivity and specificity of FeNO in asthma diagnosis; however, most studies are in the setting of patients with asthma symptoms without previous testing (or with unclear previous testing), which is unlikely to be how the test is used in a U.S. setting. The available evidence is limited by variability in FeNO cutoff levels used to diagnose asthma, lack of data on performance characteristics in diagnostic challenging settings, and lack of data on the incremental value of adding FeNO to existing diagnostic algorithms from studies with concurrent controls. Evidence reported through clinical input suggests a possible adjunctive role when conventional testing may be limited, particularly where diagnosis with standard clinical diagnostic testing (eg, routine spirometry) may be limited such as in pediatric patients. However, the published evidence does not show whether FeNO testing in such patients would be clinically feasible and clinically valid to be clinically useful. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have asthma who receive medication management directed by FeNO, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies, multiple randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews of those trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. The available randomized controlled trials evaluating the use of FeNO tests to guide step-up/step-down therapy in patients have not consistently found improvement in health outcomes. Two Cochrane reviews from 2016, one on adults and the other on children, found FeNO-guided asthma management to guide step-up/step-down therapy reduced the number of individuals who had more than 1 exacerbation in children but not in adults compared with guidelines-driven therapy, but had no impact on day-to-day symptoms or hospitalizations. Evidence reported through clinical input suggests a possible adjunctive role for FeNO testing particularly for individuals who may have limited awareness of worsening symptoms or when there is suspected nonadherence to medication. However, the published evidence does not examine this subgroup to demonstrate that use of FeNO testing in such patients may be clinically useful to inform treatment decisions by reducing or avoiding unnecessary asthma therapy, or by indicating when step-up therapy is warranted. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have suspected eosinophilic asthma who receive a measurement of FeNO to select a therapy, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies and subgroup analyses of RCTs and observational studies. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. For the use of FeNO to identify eosinophilic asthma for the purpose of selecting patients for therapy with anti-IL-5 therapy or an anti-IL-4 and -13 monoclonal antibody, subgroup analyses of RCTs are available. The evidence that points toward an interaction between baseline FeNO and treatment for the outcome of response suggests that there may be a quantitative but not necessarily a qualitative interaction between baseline FeNO and anti-IL-4 treatment (dupilumab), ie, it is unclear if baseline FeNO can identify a group for whom there is no benefit from dupilumab. Similarly, a 48-week multicenter prospective observational study with over 700 participants found that asthma exacerbations were reduced with omalizumab over a 12-month treatment period irrespective of baseline FeNO. Limitations of the published evidence preclude determining the effects of the technology on net health outcome. Evidence reported through clinical input suggests a possible adjunctive role for FeNO testing when it may be particularly difficult to confirm the presence of eosinophils using more invasive methods such as induced sputum or bronchiolar lavage. However, the published evidence does not show whether the adjunctive use of FeNO testing provides significant improvement in net health outcome when conventional testing for the presence of eosinophils is limited or infeasible. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have suspected or confirmed respiratory disorders other than asthma who receive a measurement of FeNO, the evidence includes a crossover trial and observational studies. The relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. The available evidence assessing the use of FeNO for respiratory disorders other than asthma is limited by heterogeneity in the conditions evaluated and uncertainty about how the test fits in defined clinical management pathways. The evidence provided by clinical input was not supportive of the use of FeNO testing for respiratory disorders other than asthma to improve the net health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have suspected or confirmed respiratory disorders who receive a measurement of EBC, the evidence includes observational studies reporting on the association between various EBC components and disease severity. The relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, and functional outcomes. There is considerable variability in the particular EBC components measured and criteria for standardized measurements. Also, there is limited evidence on the use of EBC for determining asthma severity, diagnosing other respiratory conditions, or guiding treatment decisions for asthma or other respiratory conditions. The available published evidence does not support conclusions on the utility of EBC for any indication. The evidence provided by clinical input was not supportive of the use of

EBC as a test to improve the net health outcome. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effect of the technology on health outcomes.

### **CODING**

The following CPT codes are not covered for BlueCHiP for Medicare and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

- 83987** pH; exhaled breath condensate
- 95012** Nitric oxide expired gas determination

### **RELATED POLICIES**

None

### **PUBLISHED**

- Provider Update, March 2020
- Provider Update, April 2019
- Provider Update, January 2019
- Provider Update, January 2018
- Provider Update, January 2017
- Provider Update, May 2015

### **REFERENCES**

1. Reddel HK, Taylor DR, Bateman ED, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: asthma control and exacerbations: standardizing endpoints for clinical asthma trials and clinical practice. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* Jul 1 2009;180(1):59-99. PMID 19535666
2. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL, et al. International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J.* Feb 2014;43(2):343-373. PMID 24337046
3. Wenzel S, Castro M, Corren J, et al. Dupilumab efficacy and safety in adults with uncontrolled persistent asthma despite use of medium-to-high-dose inhaled corticosteroids plus a long-acting beta2 agonist: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled pivotal phase 2b dose-ranging trial. *Lancet.* Jul 02 2016;388(10039):31-44. PMID 27130691
4. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma (EPR-3) 2007; <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/guidelines-for-diagnosis-management-of-asthma>. Accessed May 23, 2018.
5. Bossuyt PM, Irwig L, Craig J, et al. Comparative accuracy: assessing new tests against existing diagnostic pathways. *Bmj.* May 6 2006;332(7549):1089-1092. PMID 16675820
6. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Asthma: diagnosis, monitoring and chronic asthma management [NG80]. 2017; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng80>. Accessed May 9, 2018.
7. Harnan SE, Essat M, Gomersall T, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide in the diagnosis of asthma in adults: a systematic review. *Clin Exp Allergy.* Mar 2017;47(3):410-429. PMID 27906490
8. Karrasch S, Linde K, Rucker G, et al. Accuracy of FENO for diagnosing asthma: a systematic review. *Thorax.* Feb 2017;72(2):109-116. PMID 27388487
9. Wang Z, Pianosi P, Keogh K, et al. The Clinical Utility of Fractional Exhaled Nitric Oxide (FeNO) in Asthma Management (Comparative Effectiveness Review No. 197). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2017.
10. Wang Z, Pianosi PT, Keogh KA, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of fractional exhaled nitric oxide testing in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Mayo Clin Proc.* Feb 2018;93(2):191-198. PMID 29275031
11. Engel J, van Kampen V, Lotz A, et al. An increase of fractional exhaled nitric oxide after specific inhalation challenge is highly predictive of occupational asthma. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health.* May 30 2018. PMID 29850946

12. Kim K, Cho HJ, Yoon JW, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide and mannitol test to predict exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *Pediatr Int*. Aug 2018;60(8):691-696. PMID 29786927
13. Guo Z, Wang Y, Xing G, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of fractional exhaled nitric oxide in asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J Asthma*. May 2016;53(4):404-412. PMID 26796787
14. Bateman ED, Bousquet J, Keech ML, et al. The correlation between asthma control and health status: the GOAL study. *Eur Respir J*. Jan 2007;29(1):56-62. PMID 17050557
15. Busse WW, Morgan WJ, Taggart V, et al. Asthma outcomes workshop: overview. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. Mar 2012;129(3 Suppl):S1-8. PMID 22386504
16. Fuhlbrigge A, Peden D, Apter AJ, et al. Asthma outcomes: exacerbations. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. Mar 2012;129(3 Suppl):S34-48. PMID 22386508
17. Cloutier MM, Schatz M, Castro M, et al. Asthma outcomes: composite scores of asthma control. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. Mar 2012;129(3 Suppl):S24-33. PMID 22386507
18. Juniper EF, Svensson K, Mork AC, et al. Measurement properties and interpretation of three shortened versions of the Asthma Control Questionnaire. *Respir Med*. May 2005;99(5):553-558. PMID 15823451
19. Schatz M, Zeiger RS, Zhang F, et al. Development and preliminary validation of the Asthma Intensity Manifestations Score (AIMS) derived from Asthma Control Test, FEV(1), fractional exhaled nitric oxide, and step therapy assessments. *J Asthma*. Mar 2012;49(2):172-177. PMID 22304003
20. Petsky HL, Kew KM, Turner C, et al. Exhaled nitric oxide levels to guide treatment for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Sep 01 2016;9:CD011440. PMID 27580628
21. Petsky HL, Kew KM, Chang AB. Exhaled nitric oxide levels to guide treatment for children with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Nov 09 2016;11:CD011439. PMID 27825189
22. Petsky HL, Cates CJ, Kew KM, et al. Tailoring asthma treatment on eosinophilic markers (exhaled nitric oxide or sputum eosinophils): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax*. Jun 1 2018. PMID 29858277
23. Szeffler SJ, Mitchell H, Sorkness CA, et al. Management of asthma based on exhaled nitric oxide in addition to guideline-based treatment for inner-city adolescents and young adults: a randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9643):1065-1072. PMID 18805335
24. Calhoun WJ, Ameredes BT, King TS, et al. Comparison of physician-, biomarker-, and symptom-based strategies for adjustment of inhaled corticosteroid therapy in adults with asthma: the BASALT randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. Sep 12 2012;308(10):987-997. PMID 22968888
25. Hashimoto S, Brinke AT, Roldaan AC, et al. Internet-based tapering of oral corticosteroids in severe asthma: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *Thorax*. Jun 2011;66(6):514-520. PMID 21474498

[CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS](#)

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

