

Medical Coverage Policy | Synthetic Cartilage Implants for Joint Pain



EFFECTIVE DATE: 11 | 01 | 2019

POLICY LAST UPDATED: 07 | 20 | 2022

OVERVIEW

Articular cartilage damage, either from a focal lesion or diffuse osteoarthritis (OA), can result in disabling pain. Cartilage is a hydrogel, comprised mostly of water with collagen and glycosaminoglycans, that does not typically heal on its own. There is a need for improved treatment options. In 2016, a synthetic polyvinyl alcohol hydrogel disc received marketing approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of degenerative or posttraumatic arthritis in the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint. If proven successful for the treatment of the MTP joint, off-label use is likely.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans

Synthetic cartilage implants are considered not covered for the treatment of articular cartilage damage as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes

Commercial Products

Synthetic cartilage implants are considered not medically necessary for the treatment of articular cartilage damage as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Articular Cartilage Damage

Articular cartilage damage may present as focal lesions or as more diffuse osteoarthritis. Cartilage is a biological hydrogel that is comprised mostly of water with collagen and glycosaminoglycans and does not typically heal on its own. Osteoarthritis or focal articular cartilage lesions can be associated with substantial pain, loss of function, and disability. Osteoarthritis is most frequently observed in the knees, hips, interphalangeal joints, first carpometacarpal joints, first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint, and apophyseal (facet) joints of the lower cervical and lower lumbar spine. Osteoarthritis less commonly affects the elbow, wrist, shoulder, and ankle. Knee osteoarthritis is the most common cause of lower-limb disability in adults over age 50, however, osteoarthritis of the MTP joint with loss of motion (hallux rigidus) can also be severely disabling due to pain in the “toe-off” position of gait. An epidemiologic study found that osteoarthritis of the first MTP joint may be present in as many as 1 in 40 people over the age of 50.

Treatment

Treatment may include debridement, abrasion techniques, osteochondral autografting, and autologous chondrocyte implantation. Debridement involves the removal of the synovial membrane, osteophytes, loose articular debris, and diseased cartilage and is capable of producing symptomatic relief. Subchondral abrasion

techniques attempt to restore the articular surface by inducing the growth of fibrocartilage into the chondral defect. Diffuse osteoarthritis of the knee, hip, shoulder or ankle may be treated with joint replacement.

Early-stage osteoarthritis of the first MTP joint is typically treated with conservative management, including pain medication and change in footwear. Failure of conservative management in patients with advanced osteoarthritis of the MTP joint may be treated surgically. Cheliectomy (removal of bone osteophytes) and interpositional spacers with autograft or allograft have been used as temporary measures to relieve pain.

Although partial or total joint replacement have been explored for MTP osteoarthritis, complications from bone loss, loosening, wear debris, implant fragmentation, and transfer metatarsalgia are not uncommon. Also, since the conversion of a failed joint replacement to arthrodesis has greater complications and worse functional results than a primary arthrodesis (joint fusion), MTP arthrodesis is considered the most reliable and primary surgical option. Arthrodesis can lead to a pain-free foot, but the loss of mobility in the MTP joint alters gait, may restrict participation in running and other sports, and limits footwear options, leading to patient dissatisfaction. Transfer of stress and arthritis in an adjacent joint may also develop over time.

Because of the limitations of MTP arthrodesis, alternative treatments that preserve joint motion are being explored. Synthetic cartilage implants have been investigated as a means to reduce pain and improve function in patients with hallux rigidus. Some materials such as silastic were found to fragment with use. Other causes of poor performance are the same as those observed with metal and ceramic joint replacement materials and include dislocation, particle wear, osteolysis, and loosening.

Synthetic polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) hydrogels have water content and biomechanical properties similar to cartilage and they are biocompatible. Polyvinyl alcohol hydrogels have been used in a variety of medical products including soft contact lens, artificial tears, hydrophilic nerve guides, and tissue adhesion barriers. This material is being evaluated for cartilage replacement due to the rubber elastic properties and, depending on the manufacturing process, high tensile strength and compressibility.

The Cartiva implant is an 8- to 10 mm PVA disc that is implanted with a slight protrusion to act as a spacer for the first MTP joint. It comes with dedicated reusable instrumentation, which includes a drill bit, introducer, and placer.

For individuals who have early-stage first MTP joint OA who receive a synthetic cartilage implant, the evidence is lacking. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The pivotal study was performed in patients with Coughlin stage 2, 3, or 4 hallux rigidus. No evidence was identified in patients with stage 0 to early-stage 2 hallux rigidus. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have advanced first MTP joint OA who receive a synthetic cartilage implant, the evidence includes a pivotal non-inferiority trial. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Arthrodesis is the established treatment for advanced arthritis of the great toe, although the lack of mobility can negatively impact sports and choice of footwear, and is not a preferred option of patients. Implants have the potential to reduce pain and maintain mobility in the first MTP joint but have in the past been compromised by fragmentation, dislocation, particle wear, osteolysis, and loosening. A polyvinyl alcohol hydrogel implant has shown properties similar to articular cartilage in vitro and was approved by the U.S. FDA in 2016 for the treatment of painful degenerative or post-traumatic arthritis in the MTP joint. Results at 2 years from the pivotal non-inferiority trial showed pain scores that were slightly worse compared to patients treated with arthrodesis and similar outcomes between the groups for ADL and sports. In a non-inferiority trial, some benefit should be observed to justify the non-inferiority margin. However, the benefit of Cartiva with respect to increased range of motion does not appear to translate to improved ADL, sports activities, or patient report of well-being compared to arthrodesis. In addition, the Cartiva group showed a higher rate of adverse outcomes (Moderate Difficulty, Extreme

Difficulty, and Unable to Do) compared to the arthrodesis group for walking for 15 min (16% vs. 0%), Up Stairs (6% vs. 0%) and Squats (19% vs. 8%). Some bias in favor of the novel motion preserving implant was also possible, as suggested by the high dropout rate in the arthrodesis group after randomization. Five-year follow-up of both the randomized and run-in patients who received an implant was reported in 2018 for 135 of 152 patients. At this time point, 21% of implants had been removed with conversion to arthrodesis. Comparison to arthrodesis at long-term follow-up is needed to determine whether the implant improves function. Corroboration of long-term results in an independent study is also needed to determine the benefits and risks of the implant. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have articular cartilage damage in joints other than the great toe who receive a synthetic cartilage implant, the evidence includes observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. No RCTs were identified. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome

Regulatory Status

The Cartiva PVA implant was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2016 for the treatment of arthritis of the MTP joint. It has been distributed commercially since 2002 with approval in Europe, Canada, and Brazil. The Cartiva Synthetic Cartilage Implant (Wright Medical, Alpharetta, GA) was approved by the FDA through the premarket approval process (P150017) for painful degenerative or posttraumatic arthritis in the first MTP joint along with hallux valgus or hallux limitus and hallux rigidus. Lesions greater than 10 mm in size and insufficient quality or quantity of bone are contraindications. Continued approval depends on a study evaluating long-term safety and effectiveness. The post-approval study will follow the subjects treated with Cartiva Synthetic Cartilage Implant for 5 years. FDA product code: PNW.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

This is no specific code(s) to the Cartiva “Hydrogel” Implant. Claims for this implant should be filed with the following unlisted code(s), which is not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

L8699 Prosthetic Implant, not otherwise specified

For implantation of a synthetic cartilage implant, the following CPT code(s) is not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

28291 Hallux rigidus correction with cheilectomy, debridement and capsular release of the first metatarsophalangeal joint; with implant

RELATED POLICIES

Not applicable

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, September 2022

Provider Update, October 2021

Provider Update, September 2020

Provider Update, September 2019

REFERENCES:

1. Gould N, Schneider W, Ashikaga T. Epidemiological survey of foot problems in the continental United States: 1978-1979. *Foot Ankle*. Jul 1980; 1(1): 8-10. PMID 6115797
2. Baker MI, Walsh SP, Schwartz Z, et al. A review of polyvinyl alcohol and its uses in cartilage and orthopedic applications. *J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater*. Jul 2012; 100(5): 1451-7. PMID 22514196

3. Baumhauer JF, Singh D, Glazebrook M, et al. Prospective, Randomized, Multi-centered Clinical Trial Assessing Safety and Efficacy of a Synthetic Cartilage Implant Versus First Metatarsophalangeal Arthrodesis in Advanced Hallux Rigidus. *Foot Ankle Int.* May 2016; 37(5): 457-69. PMID 26922669
4. Smyth NA, Murawski CD, Hannon CP, et al. The Use of a Synthetic Cartilage Implant for Hallux Rigidus: A Systematic Review. *Foot Ankle Spec.* Aug 2021; 14(4): 366-371. PMID 32618201
5. Cassinelli SJ, Chen S, Charlton TP, et al. Early Outcomes and Complications of Synthetic Cartilage Implant for Treatment of Hallux Rigidus in the United States. *Foot Ankle Int.* Oct 2019; 40(10): 1140-1148. PMID 31195830 NA
6. Glazebrook M, Younger ASE, Daniels TR, et al. Treatment of first metatarsophalangeal joint arthritis using hemiarthroplasty with a synthetic cartilage implant or arthrodesis: A comparison of operative and recovery time. *Foot Ankle Surg.* Oct 2018; 24(5): 440-447. PMID 29409199
7. Goldberg A, Singh D, Glazebrook M, et al. Association Between Patient Factors and Outcome of Synthetic Cartilage Implant Hemiarthroplasty vs First Metatarsophalangeal Joint Arthrodesis in Advanced Hallux Rigidus. *Foot Ankle Int.* Nov 2017; 38(11): 1199-1206. PMID 28820949
8. Baumhauer JF, Singh D, Glazebrook M, et al. Correlation of Hallux Rigidus Grade With Motion, VAS Pain, Intraoperative Cartilage Loss, and Treatment Success for First MTP Joint Arthrodesis and Synthetic Cartilage Implant. *Foot Ankle Int.* Nov 2017; 38(11): 1175-1182. PMID 28992721
9. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Cartiva: Post approval studies. 2016; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma_pas.cfm?c_id=4019&t_id=570803. Accessed June 24, 2022.
10. Glazebrook M, Blundell CM, O'Dowd D, et al. Midterm Outcomes of a Synthetic Cartilage Implant for the First Metatarsophalangeal Joint in Advanced Hallux Rigidus. *Foot Ankle Int.* Apr 2019; 40(4): 374-383. PMID 30501401
11. Joo PY, Baumhauer JF, Waldman O, et al. Physical Function and Pain Interference Levels of Hallux Rigidus Patients Before and After Synthetic Cartilage Implant vs Arthrodesis Surgery. *Foot Ankle Int.* Oct 2021; 42(10): 1277-1286. PMID 34024138
12. An TW, Cassinelli S, Charlton TP, et al. Radiographic and Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Symptomatic Synthetic Cartilage Implant. *Foot Ankle Int.* Jan 2020; 41(1): 25-30. PMID 31538827
13. Shi E, Todd N, Rush S, et al. First Metatarsophalangeal Joint Space Area Decreases Within 1 Month After Implantation of a Polyvinyl Alcohol Hydrogel Implant: A Retrospective Radiographic Case Series. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Nov 2019; 58(6): 1288-1292. PMID 31679683
14. Metikala S, Mahmoud K, O'Connor KM, et al. Adverse Events Related to Cartiva Hemiarthroplasty of First Metatarsal: An Analysis of Reports to the United States Food and Drug Administration. *Foot Ankle Spec.* Apr 2022; 15(2): 113-118. PMID 32723089
15. Glazebrook M, Baumhauer JF, Blundell C, et al. Letter Regarding: Early Outcomes and Complications of Synthetic Cartilage Implant for Treatment of Hallux Rigidus in the United States. *Foot Ankle Int.* Oct 2019; 40(10): 1149-1151. PMID 31600478
16. Thordarson DB, Cassinelli SJ, Charlton TP, et al. Response to "Letter Regarding: Early Outcomes and Complications of Synthetic Cartilage Implant for Treatment of Hallux Rigidus in the United States". *Foot Ankle Int.* Oct 2019; 40(10): 1152-1153. PMID 31600477

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

