Medical Coverage Policy | Noninvasive Techniques for the Evaluation and Monitoring of Patients with Chronic Liver Disease

sease



EFFECTIVE DATE: 02|01|2020 **POLICY LAST UPDATED:** 12|07|2022

OVERVIEW

Noninvasive techniques to monitor liver fibrosis are being investigated as alternatives to liver biopsy in individuals with chronic liver disease. This policy documents coverage for transient elastography imaging for individuals with chronic liver disease.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

Transient elastography (FibroScan) imaging may be considered medically necessary for the evaluation of individuals with chronic liver disease.

Transient elastography (FibroScan) imaging is considered not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial products for monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage, or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Biopsy for Chronic Liver Disease

The diagnosis of non-neoplastic liver disease is often made from needle biopsy samples. In addition to establishing a disease etiology, liver biopsy can determine the degree of inflammation present and stage the degree of fibrosis. The degree of inflammation and fibrosis may be assessed by different scoring schemes. Most of these scoring schemes grade inflammation from 0 to 4 (0 = no or minimal inflammation, 4 = severe) and fibrosis from 0 to 4 (0 = no fibrosis, 4 = cirrhosis). There are several limitations to liver biopsy, including its invasive nature, small tissue sample size, and subjective grading system. Regarding small tissue sample size, liver fibrosis can be patchy and thus missed on a biopsy sample, which includes only 0.002% of the liver tissue. A noninvasive alternative to liver biopsy would be particularly helpful, both to initially assess patients and then as a monitoring tool to assess response to therapy.

Hepatitis C Virus

Infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) can lead to permanent liver damage. Before noninvasive tests were available, liver biopsy is typically recommended before the initiation of antiviral therapy. Repeat biopsies may be performed to monitor fibrosis progression. Liver biopsies are analyzed according to a histologic scoring system; the most commonly used one for hepatitis C is the Metavir scoring system, which scores the presence and degree of inflammatory activity and fibrosis. The fibrosis is graded from F0 to F4, with a Metavir score of

F0 signifying no fibrosis and F4 signifying cirrhosis (which is defined as the presence throughout the liver of fibrous septa that subdivide the liver parenchyma into nodules and represents the final and irreversible form of disease). The stage of fibrosis is the most important single predictor of morbidity and mortality in patients with hepatitis C. Biopsies for hepatitis C are also evaluated according to the degree of inflammation present, referred to as the grade or activity level. For example, the Metavir system includes scores for necroinflammatory activity ranging from A0 to A3 (A0 = no activity, A1 = minimal activity, A2 = moderate activity, A3 = severe activity).

Hepatitis **B** Virus

Most people who become infected with hepatitis B virus recover fully, but a small portion will develop chronic hepatitis B virus, which can lead to permanent liver damage. As with HCV, identification of liver fibrosis is needed to determine timing and management of treatment, and liver biopsy is the criterion standard for staging fibrosis. The grading of fibrosis in hepatitis B virus also uses the Metavir system.

Alcoholic Liver Disease

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) is the leading cause of liver disease in most Western countries. Histologic features of ALD usually include steatosis, alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH), hepatocyte necrosis, Mallory bodies (tangled proteins seen in degenerating hepatocytes), a large polymorphonuclear inflammatory infiltrate, and, with continued alcohol abuse, fibrosis and possibly cirrhosis. The grading of fibrosis is similar to the scoring system used in hepatitis C. The commonly used Laënnec scoring system uses grades 0 to 4, with 4 being cirrhosis.

Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is defined as a condition that pathologically resembles ALD but occurs in patients who are not heavy users of alcohol. Moreover, NAFLD may be associated with a variety of conditions, including obesity, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. The characteristic feature of NAFLD is steatosis. At the benign end of the disease spectrum, there is usually no appreciable inflammation, hepatocyte death, or fibrosis. In contrast, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which shows overlapping histologic features with ALD, is an intermediate form of liver damage, and liver biopsy may show steatosis, Mallory bodies, focal inflammation, and degenerating hepatocytes. NASH can progress to fibrosis and cirrhosis. A variety of histologic scoring systems have been used to evaluate NAFLD. The NAFLD Activity Score system for NASH includes scores for steatosis (0 to 3), lobular inflammation (0 to 3), and ballooning (0 to 2). Cases with scores of 5 or greater are considered NASH, while cases with scores of 3 and 4 are considered borderline (probable or possible) NASH. The grading of fibrosis is similar to the scoring system used in hepatitis C. The commonly used Laënnec scoring system uses grades 0 to 4, with 4 being cirrhosis.

Transient elastography (FibroScan) uses a mechanical vibrator to produce mild amplitude and low-frequency (50 Hz) waves, inducing an elastic shear wave that propagates throughout the liver. Ultrasound (US) tracks the wave, measuring its speed in kilopascals, which correlates with liver stiffness. Increases in liver fibrosis also increase liver stiffness and resistance of liver blood flow. Transient elastography does not perform as well in patients with ascites, higher body mass index, or narrow intercostal margins. Although FibroScan may be used to measure fibrosis (unlike liver biopsy), it does not provide information on necroinflammatory activity and steatosis, nor is it accurate during acute hepatitis or hepatitis exacerbations.

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive transient elastography (eg, FibroScan), the evidence includes many systematic reviews of more than 50 observational studies (>10,000 patients). Transient elastography has been studied in populations with viral hepatitis, NAFLD, and ALD. There are varying cutoffs for positivity. Failures of the test are not uncommon, particularly for those with high body mass index, but these failures often went undetected in analyses of the validation studies. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it can be difficult to interpret performance characteristics. However, for the purposes of deciding whether a patient has severe fibrosis or cirrhosis, the FibroScan

results provide data sufficiently useful to determine therapy. In fact, FibroScan has been used as an alternative to biopsy to establish eligibility regarding the presence of fibrosis or cirrhosis in the participants of several RCTs. These trials showed the efficacy of HCV treatments, which in turn demonstrated that the test can identify patients who would benefit from therapy

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT code(s) are covered with one of the *ICD-10 Diagnosis Code(s) indicated below: **76981** Ultrasound, Elastography; Parenchyma (eg Organ)

91200 Liver elastography, mechanically induced shear wave (eg, vibration), without imaging, with interpretation and report

*ICD-10 Diagnosis Code(s):

K70.0 through K77 R94.5

RELATED POLICIES

Not applicable

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, February 2023 Provider Update, January 2022 Provider Update, January 2021 Provider Update, February 2020 Provider Update, January 2019

REFERENCES:

1. Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ, et al. Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCVinfection. Am J Gastroenterol. Oct 2002; 97(10): 2614-8. PMID 12385448

2. Rockey DC, Caldwell SH, Goodman ZD, et al. Liver biopsy. Hepatology. Mar 2009; 49(3): 1017-44. PMID 19243014

3. Mehta SH, Lau B, Afdhal NH, et al. Exceeding the limits of liver histology markers. J Hepatol. Jan 2009; 50(1): 36-41. PMID19012989

4. Trikalinos TA, Balion CM. Chapter 9: options for summarizing medical test performance in the absence of a "gold standard". JGen Intern Med. Jun 2012; 27 Suppl 1: S67-75. PMID 22648677

5. Crossan C, Tsochatzis EA, Longworth L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of non-invasive methods for assessment and monitoring ofliver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic liver disease: systematic review and economic evaluation. Health TechnolAssess. Jan 2015; 19(9): 1-409, v-vi. PMID 25633908

6. Houot M, Ngo Y, Munteanu M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: direct comparisons of biomarkers for the diagnosisof fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C and B. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. Jan 2016; 43(1): 16-29. PMID 26516104

7. Imbert-Bismut F, Ratziu V, Pieroni L, et al. Biochemical markers of liver fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C virus infection: aprospective study. Lancet. Apr 07 2001; 357(9262): 1069-75. PMID 11297957

Poynard T, McHutchison J, Manns M, et al. Biochemical surrogate markers of liver fibrosis and activity in a randomized trial ofpeginterferon alfa-2b and ribavirin. Hepatology. Aug 2003; 38(2): 481-92. PMID 12883493
Poynard T, Munteanu M, Imbert-Bismut F, et al. Prospective analysis of discordant results between biochemical markers andbiopsy in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Clin Chem. Aug 2004; 50(8): 1344-55. PMID 15192028

10. Afdhal NH, Nunes D. Evaluation of liver fibrosis: a concise review. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2004; 99(6): 1160-74. PMID15180741

11. Lichtinghagen R, Bahr MJ. Noninvasive diagnosis of fibrosis in chronic liver disease. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. Sep 2004; 4(5):715-26. PMID 15347264

12. Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl JMed. Apr 17 2014; 370(16): 1483-93. PMID 24725238

13. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. N Engl J Med. May 152014; 370(20): 1889-98. PMID 24725239

14. Curry MP, O'Leary JG, Bzowej N, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV in Patients with Decompensated Cirrhosis. N Engl JMed. Dec 31 2015; 373(27): 2618-28. PMID 26569658

15. Foster GR, Afdhal N, Roberts SK, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV Genotype 2 and 3 Infection. N Engl J Med. Dec 312015; 373(27): 2608-17. PMID 26575258

16. Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. NEngl J Med. May 15 2014; 370(20): 1879-88. PMID 24720702

17. Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin in HCV genotypes 2 and 3. N Engl J Med. May 22 2014;370(21): 1993-2001. PMID 24795201

18. Naveau S, Raynard B, Ratziu V, et al. Biomarkers for the prediction of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic alcoholic liverdisease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. Feb 2005; 3(2): 167-74. PMID 15704051

19. Ratziu V, Massard J, Charlotte F, et al. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (FibroTest-FibroSURE) for the prediction ofliver fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMC Gastroenterol. Feb 14 2006; 6: 6. PMID 16503961

20. Lassailly G, Caiazzo R, Hollebecque A, et al. Validation of noninvasive biomarkers (FibroTest, SteatoTest, and NashTest) forprediction of liver injury in patients with morbid obesity. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. Jun 2011; 23(6): 499-506. PMID 21499110

21. Poynard T, Ratziu V, Charlotte F, et al. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (NashTest) for the prediction of non alcoholosteato hepatitis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. BMC Gastroenterol. Nov 10 2006; 6: 34. PMID 17096854

22. Mohamadnejad M, Montazeri G, Fazlollahi A, et al. Noninvasive markers of liver fibrosis and inflammation in chronic hepatitisB-virus related liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol. Nov 2006; 101(11): 2537-45. PMID 17029616

23. Zeng MD, Lu LG, Mao YM, et al. Prediction of significant fibrosis in HBeAg-positive patients with chronic hepatitis B by anoninvasive model. Hepatology. Dec 2005; 42(6): 1437-45. PMID 16317674

24. Park MS, Kim BK, Cheong JY, et al. Discordance between liver biopsy and FibroTest in assessing liver fibrosis in chronichepatitis B. PLoS One. 2013; 8(2): e55759. PMID 23405210

25. Salkic NN, Jovanovic P, Hauser G, et al. FibroTest/Fibrosure for significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis B: ameta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. Jun 2014; 109(6): 796-809. PMID 24535095

----- CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

