

Medical Coverage Policy | Breast Ductal Lavage for Detection of Breast Cancer



EFFECTIVE DATE: 09|01|2001

POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 01|07|2026

OVERVIEW

Ductal lavage is a method of collecting breast ductal epithelial cells for cytological analysis. It can be used as a risk assessment tool in women with a higher risk of breast cancer. Breast Ductal Lavage is sometimes called the “breast pap smear.”

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans

Breast ductal lavage is considered not covered as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes in comparison to more established techniques for acquisition and evaluation of breast cytology.

Fiberoptic ductoscopy is considered not covered for the detection, diagnosis, or treatment of breast cancer as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes or to permit scientific conclusion regarding the role of breast duct endoscopy in the evaluation and management of patients with known or suspected breast cancer.

Commercial Products

Breast ductal lavage is considered not medically necessary, as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes in comparison to more established techniques for acquisition and evaluation of breast cytology.

Fiberoptic ductoscopy is considered not medically necessary for the detection, diagnosis, or treatment of breast cancer as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes or to permit scientific conclusion regarding the role of breast duct endoscopy in the evaluation and management of patients with known or suspected breast cancer.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

All ductal and lobular breast cancers originate in a single layer of epithelial cells that line the ductal/lobular system of all milk ducts. Ductal lavage enables the retrieval of these cells using a microcatheter inserted into the milk ducts through the nipple orifices. A saline solution is flushed through the catheter into the ducts to wash out cells for cytological examination. The ductal lavage technique is directed at patients identified as being at high risk for breast cancer utilizing the Gail index, a personal history of breast cancer, or evidence of BRCA mutation and have no mammographic abnormality.

The gold standard for examination of these cells has been nipple aspiration with cytopathological examination of the specimen. Ductal lavage is designed to harvest an increased number of cells with the ability to gather

cells from individual milk ducts. Each breast has 6 to 8 milk ducts. The technique is based upon decades of research indicating that breast cancer originates in the epithelial lining of the milk ducts and involves a series of molecular changes from normal to abnormal to malignant. As long as the abnormal cells are contained within the ducts or lobules, they are termed preinvasive disease. Once they have invaded surrounding tissue, they are considered invasive cancer.

The procedure has been dubbed “breast pap smear” because like the test for cervical cancer, it is a nonsurgical approach to identifying abnormal cells prior to their development into cancer. The HALO® Breast Pap Test (Halo Healthcare, Inc, Irvine, CA) is a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved, noninvasive device that is positioned on the nipple and acquires ductal fluid by applying heat, cyclic compression and suction. This device is discussed in one small study funded by the manufacturer and concludes that although the device can collect the duct fluid noninvasively, well-designed randomized controlled studies are required to determine the utility of cytological analysis of breast ductal fluid (Proctor, 2007). Mammography is the standard for early detection for breast cancer. However, by the time an abnormality is detected via mammography, the lesion has grown to a size of 1 to 2 cm and may have been present for 6 to 8 years.

The value of cytopathological examination of specimens from the breast, especially from nipple aspiration is well documented. However, no studies utilizing cells acquired via ductal lavage have been reported. There is as yet no consensus among practicing cytopathologists as to the criteria for interpreting specimens obtained by ductal lavage. While the technique may be theoretically interesting and even promising in early studies, it has yet to be the subject of sufficient controlled studies to allow for a determination of its effectiveness, accuracy and safety in both an absolute sense and in comparison to more established techniques for acquisition and evaluation of breast cytology. It is not presently known how the technique will modify management of the high-risk patient.

Fiberoptic ductoscopy is a technique that provides direct visual examination of the breast ducts through nipple orifice cannulation and exploration. It has been explored in the following clinical situations:

- Diagnostic technique in women with spontaneous nipple discharge (as potential alternative to surgical exploration)
- A follow-up to atypical cytology from ductal lavage specimen
- Delineation of ductal disease to define margins for surgical resection
- Direct delivery of therapeutic agents

There is minimal published information about how fiberoptic ductoscopy would be used in the management of the patients either in determining the need for other diagnostic tests, such as mammography or ductography, determining the need for biopsy or excision, or determining the extent of surgical excision. Although ductoscopy may be a useful technique for diagnosing ductal carcinoma in situ, (DCIS) prior to surgery, there is no data reporting on how the results of ductoscopy influences either the decision to undergo biopsy or excision or the extent of the excision. The data is insufficient to permit scientific conclusion regarding the role of breast duct endoscopy in the evaluation and management of patients with known or suspected breast cancer.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

There is no specific CPT code(s) for Breast Ductal Lavage or Fiberoptic Ductoscopy. Claims should be filed with the following Unlisted CPT code below:

19499 Unlisted procedure, breast

RELATED POLICIES

Unlisted Procedures

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, March 2026

Provider Update, March 2025
Provider Update, April 2024
Provider Update, April 2023
Provider Update, May 2022

REFERENCES

1. Dooley WC, Ljung BM, Veronesi U et al. Ductal lavage for detection of cellular atypia in women at high risk for breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; 93(21):1624-32.
2. Wrensch MR, Petrakis NL, King EB et al. Breast cancer incidence in women with abnormal cytology in nipple aspirates of breast fluid. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992; 135(2):130-41.
3. Wrensch MR, Petrakis NL, Miike R et al. Breast cancer risk in women with abnormal cytology in nipple aspirates of breast fluid. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2001; 93(23):1791-8.
4. Fabian CJ, Kimler BF, Zalles CM et al. Short-term breast cancer prediction by random periareolar fine-needle aspiration cytology and the Gail risk model. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000; 92(15):1217-21.
5. Dupont WD, Page DL. Risk factors for breast cancer in women with proliferative breast disease. *N Engl J Med* 1985; 312(3):146-51.
6. Port ER, Montgomery LL, Heerdt AS et al. Patient reluctance toward tamoxifen use for breast cancer primary prevention. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2001; 8(7):580-5.
7. Fisher B, Costantino JP, Wickerham DL et al. Tamoxifen for prevention of breast cancer: report of the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project P-1 Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998; 90(18):1371-88.
8. Cytoc Corporation FirstCyte Web site. www.producthealth.com
9. Morrow M, Vogel V, Ljung BM et al. Evaluation and management of the woman with an abnormal ductal lavage. *J Am Coll Surg* 2002; 194(5):648-56.
10. 2002 TEC Assessments; Tab 1.
11. Khan SA, Wiley EL, Rodriguez N et al. Ductal lavage findings in women with known breast cancer undergoing mastectomy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004; 96(20):1510-7.
12. Khan SA, Wolfman JA, Segal L et al. Ductal lavage findings in women with mammographic microcalcifications undergoing biopsy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2005;12(9):689-96.
13. Brogi E, Robson M, Panageas KS et al. Ductal lavage in patients undergoing mastectomy for mammary carcinoma: a correlative study. *Cancer* 2003; 98(10):2170-6.
14. Johnson-Maddux A, Ashfaq R, Cler L et al. Reproducibility of cytologic atypia in repeat nipple duct lavage. *Cancer* 2005; 103(6):1129-36.
15. O'Shaughnessy JA. Ductal lavage: clinical utility and future promise. *Surg Clin North Am* 2003; 83(4):753-69.
16. Newman LA. Ductal lavage: what we know and what we don't. *Oncology* 2004; 18(2):179-85.
17. Fabian CJ, Kimler BF, Mayo MS. Ductal lavage for early detection – what doesn't come out in the wash. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2004; 96(2):1488-9.
18. The American Society of Breast Surgeons. Official statement. Ductal lavage and cell-based risk assessment. Available at: http://www.breastsurgeons.org/statements/Ductal_Cell.pdf. Last viewed May 2009.
19. Visvanathan K, Santor D, Ali SZ et al. The importance of cytologic intrarater and interrater reproducibility: the case of ductal lavage. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2006; 15(12):2553-6.
20. Patil DB, Lankes HA, Nayar R et al. Reproducibility of ductal lavage cytology and cellularity over a six month interval in high risk women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007 Dec 21. [Epub ahead of print]
21. Arun B, Valero V, Logan C et al. Comparison of ductal lavage and random periareolar fine needle aspiration as tissue acquisition methods in early breast cancer prevention trials. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007; 13(16):4943-8.
22. Bushnaq ZI, Ashfaq R, Leitch AM et al. Patient variables that predict atypical cytology by nipple duct lavage. *Cancer* 2007; 109(7):1247-54.
23. Visvanathan K, Santor D, Ali SZ et al. The reliability of nipple aspirate and ductal lavage in women at increased risk for breast cancer--a potential tool for breast cancer risk assessment and biomarker evaluation. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007; 16(5):950-5.
24. Khan SA, Lankes HA, Patil DB et al. Ductal lavage is an inefficient method of biomarker measurement in high-risk women. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila Pa)* 2009; 2(3):265-73.

25. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology™, Breast cancer screening and diagnosis guidelines v.1.2009. Available at: http://www.nccn.org/professional/physician_gls?PDF/breast-screening.pdf.
26. Love SM, Barsky SH. Breast-duct endoscopy to study stages of cancerous breast disease. *Lancet* 1996; 348(9033):997-9.
27. Shen KW, Wu J, Lu JS et al. Fiberoptic ductoscopy for patients with nipple discharge. *Cancer* 2000; 89(7):1512-9.
28. Shen KW, Wu J, Lu JS et al. Fiberoptic ductoscopy for breast cancer patients with nipple discharge. *Surg Endosc* 2001; 15(11):1340-5.
29. Dooley WC. Routine operative breast endoscopy during lumpectomy. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2003; 10(1):38-42.
30. Dooley WC, Francescatti D, Clark L et al. Office-based breast ductoscopy for diagnosis. *Am J Surg* 2004; 188(4):415-8.
31. Matsunaga T, Kawakami Y, Namba K et al. Intraductal biopsy for diagnosis and treatment of intraductal lesions of the breast. *Cancer* 2004; 101(10):2164-9.
32. Sauter ER, Ehya H, Schlatter L et al. Ductoscopic cytology to detect breast cancer. *Cancer J* 2004; 10(1):33-41.
33. Moncrief RM, Nayar R, Diaz LK et al. A comparison of ductoscopy-guided and conventional surgical excision in women with spontaneous nipple discharge. *Ann Surg* 2005; 241(4):575-81.
34. Sauter ER, Ehya H, Klein-Szanto AJ et al. Fiberoptic ductoscopy findings in women with and without spontaneous nipple discharge. *Cancer* 2005; 103(5):914-21.
35. Grunwald S, Bojahr B, Schwesinger G et al. Mammary ductoscopy for the evaluation of nipple discharge and comparison with standard diagnostic techniques. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol* 2006; 13(5):418-23.
36. Al Sarakbi W, Salhab M, Mokbel K. Does mammary ductoscopy have a role in clinical practice? *Int Semin Surg Oncol* 2006; 3:16.
37. Sharma R, Dietz J, Wright H et al. Comparative analysis of minimally invasive microductectomy versus major duct excision in patients with pathologic nipple discharge. *Surgery* 2005; 138(4):591-7.
38. 13. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Breast cancer screening and diagnosis guidelines. V.1.2006; http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/breast-screening.pdf
39. Louie LD, Crowe JP, Dawson AE et al. Identification of breast cancer in patients with pathologic nipple discharge: does ductoscopy predict malignancy? *Am J Surg* 2006; 192(4):530-3.
40. Hunerbein M, Dubowy A, Raubach M et al. Gradient index ductoscopy and intraductal biopsy of intraductal breast lesions. *Am J Surg* 2007; 194(4):511-4.
41. Grunwald S, Heyer H, Paepke S et al. Diagnostic value of ductoscopy in the diagnosis of nipple discharge and intraductal proliferations in comparison to standard methods. *Onkologie* 2007; 30(5):243-8.
42. Liu GY, Lu JS, Shen KW et al. Fiberoptic ductoscopy combined with cytology testing in the patients of spontaneous nipple discharge. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2008; 108(2):271-7.
43. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology. Breast cancer screening and diagnosis guidelines. V.1.2008; http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/PDF/breast-screening.pdf. (Accessed June 13, 2008)
44. Jacobs VR, Paepke S, Ohlinger R et al. Breast ductoscopy: technical development from a diagnostic to an interventional procedure and its future perspective. *Onkologie* 2007; 30(11):545-9.

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee or payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

