

EFFECTIVE DATE: 05 | 01 | 2026

POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 01 | 21 | 2026

OVERVIEW

Several adjunctive technologies and tests are available for screening, surveillance, and risk stratification of Barrett esophagus (BE). TissueCypher is a tissue systems pathology test that analyzes biopsy samples to predict the risk of progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma in patients with BE. EsoCheck is a non-endoscopic cell collection device used in conjunction with EsoGuard, a DNA methylation test, to detect BE and esophageal dysplasia. Esopredict is a DNA methylation assay that assesses the risk of progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma in patients with BE. These technologies and tests are intended to complement standard procedures in the screening, surveillance, and risk stratification of individuals with BE or at risk of developing BE.

The following test(s) are addressed in this policy:

- TissueCypher® Barrett's Esophagus Assay (Cernostics/Castle BioSciences) – CPT code 0108U
- EsoCheck®/EsoGuard™ (Lucid Diagnostics) – CPT code 0114U
- Esopredict® Barrett's Esophagus Risk Classifier Assay (Capsulomics, Inc d/b/a Previsse) – CPT code 0398U

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

Unless otherwise noted, for any test filed with an Unlisted CPT code, the medical necessity criteria in the Proprietary Laboratory Analyses (PLA) and Multianalyte Assays with Algorithmic Analyses (MAAA) policy would be used. Please see the Related Policies section.

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following tests are considered not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products as the evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome:

- EsoCheck and EsoGuard for the screening and surveillance of Barrett esophagus and esophageal dysplasia.
- TissueCypher for assessing the risk of progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma in individuals with Barrett esophagus.
- Esopredict for assessing the risk of progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma in individuals with Barrett esophagus.

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

There is no specific CPT coding for some of the services referenced in this policy. Therefore, an Unlisted CPT code should be used (see Coding Section for details). All Unlisted genetic testing CPT codes require prior authorization to determine what service is being rendered and if the service is covered or not medically necessary. See the Related Policies section.

Prior authorization is required for Medicare Advantage Plans and recommended for Commercial Products and is obtained via the online tool for participating providers. See the Related Policies section.

Note: Laboratories are not allowed to obtain clinical authorization or participate in the authorization process on behalf of the ordering physician. Only the ordering physician shall be involved in the authorization, appeal or other administrative processes related to prior authorization/medical necessity.

In no circumstance shall a laboratory or a physician/provider use a representative of a laboratory or anyone with a relationship to a laboratory and/or a third party to obtain authorization on behalf of the ordering physician, to facilitate any portion of the authorization process or any subsequent appeal of a claim where the authorization process was not followed and/or a denial for clinical appropriateness was issued, including any element of the preparation of necessary documentation of clinical appropriateness. If a laboratory or a third party is found to be supporting any portion of the authorization process, BCBSRI will deem the action a violation of this policy and severe action will be taken up to and including termination from the BCBSRI provider network. If a laboratory provides a laboratory service that has not been authorized, the service will be denied as the financial liability of the participating laboratory and may not be billed to the member.

Commercial Products

Some genetic testing services are not covered and a contract exclusion for any self-funded group that has excluded the expanded coverage of biomarker testing related to the state mandate, R.I.G.L. §27-19-81 described in the Biomarker Testing Mandate policy. For these groups, a list of which genetic testing services are covered with prior authorization, are not medically necessary or are not covered because they are a contract exclusion can be found in the Coding section of the Genetic Testing Services and Proprietary Laboratory Analyses policies. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet to determine whether the member's plan has customized benefit coverage. Please refer to the list of Related Policies for more information.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage, or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Barrett Esophagus

Barrett esophagus (BE) is a condition in which the squamous epithelium that normally lines the esophagus is replaced by specialized columnar-type epithelium known as intestinal metaplasia in response to irritation and injury caused by gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). Barrett esophagus occurs in the distal esophagus. It may involve any length of the esophagus, be focal or circumferential, and is visualized on endoscopy with a different color than background squamous mucosa. Confirmation of BE requires a biopsy of the columnar epithelium and microscopic identification of intestinal metaplasia. The prevalence of BE in the United States is estimated at 5.6%. Risk factors associated with the development of BE include GERD, male gender, central obesity, and age over 50 years. The diagnosis of GERD is associated with a 10% to 15% risk of BE. However, a population-based analysis from Sweden observed that 40% of the study cohort with esophageal cancer reported no prior history of GERD symptoms.

Cancer Risk and Management

Intestinal metaplasia is a precursor to esophageal adenocarcinoma, and patients with BE are at a 40-fold increased risk for developing this disease compared to the general population. However, there are few data to guide recommendations about management and surveillance, and many issues are controversial. Guidelines from the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) and a consensus statement from an international group of experts (Benign Barrett's and Cancer Taskforce) on the management of BE are published. The ACG recommendations for surveillance are stratified by the presence and grade of dysplasia.

When no dysplasia is detected, ACG has reported the estimated risk of progression to cancer ranges from 0.2% to 0.5% per year and endoscopic surveillance every 3 to 5 years is recommended. For low-grade

dysplasia, the estimated risk of progression is 0.7% per year, and endoscopic therapy is preferred; however, endoscopic surveillance every 12 months is considered an acceptable alternative. It is recommended that both options are discussed with the patient. Precise estimates of cancer risk are not available for individuals with low-grade dysplasia due to large disparities among studies on its natural history. Interobserver variability in the diagnosis of low-grade dysplasia with standard biopsy may be responsible, with expert pathologists commonly downgrading initial diagnoses made by community pathologists.

The Benign Barrett's and CAncer Taskforce consensus group did not endorse routine surveillance for people without dysplasia and was unable to agree on surveillance intervals for low-grade dysplasia.

For high-grade dysplasia, the estimated risk of progression is about 7% per year, and ACG has recommended endoscopic eradication therapy, with the type of procedure dependent on patient age and life expectancy, comorbidities, the extent of dysplasia, local expertise in surgery and endoscopy, and patient preference. Approximately 40% of patients with high-grade dysplasia on biopsy are found to have associated carcinoma in the resection specimen.

For patients who are indefinite for dysplasia, a repeat endoscopy should be performed at 3 to 6 months following optimization of acid suppressive medications. A surveillance interval of 12 months is recommended if an indefinite for dysplasia reading is confirmed on repeat endoscopy in these individuals. Many patients who are indefinite for dysplasia show regression to nondysplastic BE with subsequent endoscopic evaluation. It is unclear whether some cases of regression are observed due to sampling error.

Regulatory Status

On May 31, 2019, the FDA approved Lucid Diagnostics Inc.'s EsoCheck Cell Collection Device for use in collecting and retrieving surface cells of the esophagus in adults and adolescents aged 22 years and older. An update to the PMA was posted on February 7, 2023 which provided a revised indication for the use in the collection and retrieval of surface cells of the esophagus in the general population of adults and adolescents, 12 years of age and older.

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). EsoGuard (Lucid Diagnostics) and TissueCypher (Castle BioSciences) are available under the auspices of the CLIA. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

For individuals at increased risk of BE who undergo screening with adjunctive EsoGuard and EsoCheck, the evidence includes observational studies of diagnostic accuracy and clinical utility. Relevant outcomes are test validity, overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and quality of life. Studies have reported sensitivities of 85% to 92.9% and specificities of 72.2% to 85% for detecting BE and BE-related neoplasia. Clinical utility studies have shown high concordance (97.9% to 98.8%) between EsoGuard results and endoscopy referral decisions, but lack comprehensive follow-up data on confirmatory endoscopy outcomes. In cases where BE or esophageal adenocarcinoma were identified by EsoGuard, management changes included referral for invasive confirmatory procedures, but health outcomes from these changes were not reported. Risks associated with overdiagnosis and overtreatment require elucidation. No direct evidence of clinical utility was identified. Because EsoGuard and EsoCheck are intended to guide patient management decisions regarding referral for confirmatory endoscopy and potentially replace or supplement current screening standards, direct evidence of improvement in health outcomes is required. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with non-dysplastic, indefinite dysplasia, or low-grade dysplasia BE who undergo standard screening with adjunctive TissueCypher, the evidence includes multiple clinical validity studies and physician

impact studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and quality of life. Clinical validity studies have reported sensitivities ranging from 29% to 71% and specificities between 79% to 95% for predicting progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma. Hazard ratios for high-risk versus low-risk groups ranged from 3.23 to 5.26, indicating increased progression risk for individuals classified as high-risk by TissueCypher. The assay showed improved risk stratification compared to expert pathologist reviews in several studies. Clinical utility studies have focused on the impact of TissueCypher results on patient management decisions. One author found that TissueCypher results influenced more than half of management decisions, leading to both upstaging and downstaging of treatment approaches. Another study reported that incorporating TissueCypher results significantly increased the percentage of patients receiving guideline-appropriate management compared to pathology review alone. A randomized trial using simulated patients found that physicians with access to TissueCypher results were more likely to correctly assess progression risk and offer guideline-concordant treatment. However, these studies primarily relied on simulated cases or management decision changes, and long-term patient outcomes resulting from TissueCypher-guided management have not been directly assessed. The use of adjunct TissueCypher is intended to classify individuals with BE based on their risk of progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma, this can change patient management decisions regarding the initiation of treatment such as esophageal eradication therapy or enhanced surveillance. Therefore, direct evidence of improvement in health outcomes is required. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have Barrett esophagus who receive standard prognostic techniques plus Esopredict, the evidence included multiple clinical validity studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, overall survival, disease-specific survival, change in disease status, and quality of life. Clinical validity studies have reported sensitivities ranging from 63% to 100% and specificities between 54% to 75% for predicting progression to high-grade dysplasia or esophageal adenocarcinoma. There is no evidence evaluating the clinical utility of the Esopredict test for assessing Barrett esophagus thus, there is no evidence that Esopredict testing for prognosis of Barrett esophagus adds incremental value to current prognostic assessments. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT code(s) are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

This code can be used for TissueCypher® Barrett's Esophagus Assay test:

0108U Gastroenterology (Barrett's esophagus), whole slide-digital imaging, including morphometric analysis, computer-assisted quantitative immunolabeling of 9 protein biomarkers (p16, AMACR, p53, CD68, COX-2, CD45RO, HIF1a, HER-2, K20) and morphology, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue, algorithm reported as risk of progression to high-grade dysplasia or cancer

This code can be used for EsoCheck®/EsoGuard™ test:

0114U Gastroenterology (Barrett's esophagus), VIM and CCNA1 methylation analysis, esophageal cells, algorithm reported as likelihood for Barrett's esophagus

This code can be used for Esopredict® Barrett's Esophagus Risk Classifier Assay test:

0398U Gastroenterology (Barrett esophagus), P16, RUNX3, HPP1, and FBN1 DNA methylation analysis using PCR, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue, algorithm reported as risk score for progression to high-grade dysplasia or cancer

RELATED POLICIES

Biomarker Testing Mandate

Proprietary Laboratory Analyses (PLA) and Multianalyte Assays with Algorithmic Analyses (MAAA)

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, March 2026

Provider Update, May 2025

REFERENCES

1. Spechler SJ, Sharma P, Souza RF, et al. American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on the management of Barrett's esophagus. *Gastroenterology*. Mar 2011; 140(3): 1084-91. PMID 21376940
2. Hirota WK, Loughney TM, Lazas DJ, et al. Specialized intestinal metaplasia, dysplasia, and cancer of the esophagus and esophagogastric junction: prevalence and clinical data. *Gastroenterology*. Feb 1999; 116(2): 277-85. PMID 9922307
3. Shaheen NJ, Falk GW, Iyer PG, et al. ACG Clinical Guideline: Diagnosis and Management of Barrett's Esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jan 2016; 111(1): 30-50; quiz 51. PMID 26526079
4. Lagergren J, Bergstrom R, Lindgren A, et al. Symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux as a risk factor for esophageal adenocarcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. Mar 18 1999; 340(11): 825-31. PMID 10080844
5. Bennett C, Moayyedi P, Corley DA, et al. BOB CAT: A Large-Scale Review and Delphi Consensus for Management of Barrett's Esophagus With No Dysplasia, Indefinite for, or Low-Grade Dysplasia. *Am J Gastroenterol*. May 2015; 110(5): 662-82; quiz 683. PMID 25869390
6. Curvers WL, ten Kate FJ, Krishnadath KK, et al. Low-grade dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus: overdiagnosed and underestimated. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jul 2010; 105(7): 1523-30. PMID 20461069
7. Fayter D, Corbett M, Heirs M, et al. A systematic review of photodynamic therapy in the treatment of pre-cancerous skin conditions, Barrett's oesophagus and cancers of the biliary tract, brain, head and neck, lung, oesophagus and skin. *Health Technol Assess*. Jul 2010; 14(37): 1-288. PMID 20663420
8. Sinh P, Anaparthi R, Young PE, et al. Clinical outcomes in patients with a diagnosis of "indefinite for dysplasia" in Barrett's esophagus: a multicenter cohort study. *Endoscopy*. Aug 2015; 47(8): 669-74. PMID 25910065
9. Yantiss RK. Diagnostic challenges in the pathologic evaluation of Barrett esophagus. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. Nov 2010; 134(11): 1589-600. PMID 21043812
10. CDx Diagnostics. WATS3D. 2024; <https://www.cdxdiagnostics.com/wats3d>. Accessed October 20, 2025.
11. Qumseya B, Sultan S, Bain P, et al. ASGE guideline on screening and surveillance of Barrett's esophagus. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Sep 2019; 90(3): 335-359.e2. PMID 31439127
12. DeMeester S, Smith C, Severson P, et al. Multicenter randomized controlled trial comparing forceps biopsy sampling with wide-area transepithelial sampling brush for detecting intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia during routine upper endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Jun 2022; 95(6): 1101-1110.e2. PMID 34902373
13. Codipilly DC, Krishna Chandar A, Wang KK, et al. Wide-area transepithelial sampling for dysplasia detection in Barrett's esophagus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Jan 2022; 95(1): 51-59.e7. PMID 34543648
14. Gross SA, Smith MS, Kaul V. Increased detection of Barrett's esophagus and esophageal dysplasia with adjunctive use of wide-area transepithelial sample with three-dimensional computer-assisted analysis (WATS). *United European Gastroenterol J*. May 2018; 6(4): 529-535. PMID 29881608
15. Smith MS, Ikonomi E, Bhuta R, et al. Wide-area transepithelial sampling with computer-assisted 3-dimensional analysis (WATS) markedly improves detection of esophageal dysplasia and Barrett's esophagus: analysis from a prospective multicenter community-based study. *Dis Esophagus*. Mar 01 2019; 32(3). PMID 30541019
16. Anandasabapathy S, Sontag S, Graham DY, et al. Computer-assisted brush-biopsy analysis for the detection of dysplasia in a high-risk Barrett's esophagus surveillance population. *Dig Dis Sci*. Mar 2011; 56(3): 761-6. PMID 20978843
17. Vennalaganti PR, Kaul V, Wang KK, et al. Increased detection of Barrett's esophagus-associated neoplasia using wide-area trans-epithelial sampling: a multicenter, prospective, randomized trial. *Gastrointest Endosc*. Feb 2018; 87(2): 348-355. PMID 28757316

18. Trindade AJ, Odze RD, Smith MS, et al. Benefit of adjunctive wide-area transepithelial sampling with 3-dimensional computer-assisted analysis plus forceps biopsy based on Barrett's esophagus segment length. *Gastrointest Endosc.* Sep 2023; 98(3): 316-325. PMID 37023868
19. Corbett FS, Odze RD, McKinley MJ. Utility of wide-area transepithelial sampling with 3-dimensional computer-assisted analysis as an adjunct to forceps biopsy sampling in the surveillance of patients with Barrett's esophagus after endoscopic eradication therapy. *iGIE.* December 2022; 1(1): 33-43. DOI: 10.1016/j.igie.2022.10.011.
20. van Munster SN, Leclercq P, Haidry R, et al. Wide-area transepithelial sampling with computer-assisted analysis to detect high grade dysplasia and cancer in Barrett's esophagus: a multicenter randomized study. *Endoscopy.* Apr 2023; 55(4): 303-310. PMID 36150646
21. Shaheen NJ, Odze RD, Singer ME, et al. Adjunctive Use of Wide-Area Transepithelial Sampling-3D in Patients With Symptomatic Gastroesophageal Reflux Increases Detection of Barrett's Esophagus and Dysplasia. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Oct 01 2024; 119(10): 1990-2001. PMID 38635377
22. Shaheen NJ, Smith MS, Odze RD. Progression of Barrett's esophagus, crypt dysplasia, and low-grade dysplasia diagnosed by wide-area transepithelial sampling with 3-dimensional computer-assisted analysis: a retrospective analysis. *Gastrointest Endosc.* Mar 2022; 95(3): 410-418.e1. PMID 34537193
23. Singer ME, Smith MS. Wide Area Transepithelial Sampling with Computer-Assisted Analysis (WATS 3D) Is Cost-Effective in Barrett's Esophagus Screening. *Dig Dis Sci.* May 2021; 66(5): 1572-1579. PMID 32578042
24. Kaul V, Gross S, Corbett FS, et al. Clinical utility of wide-area transepithelial sampling with three-dimensional computer-assisted analysis (WATS3D) in identifying Barrett's esophagus and associated neoplasia. *Dis Esophagus.* Dec 07 2020; 33(12). PMID 32607543
25. Moinova HR, Verma S, Dumot J, et al. Multicenter, Prospective Trial of Nonendoscopic Biomarker-Driven Detection of Barrett's Esophagus and Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. *Am J Gastroenterol.* May 21 2024. PMID 38686933
26. Lucid Diagnostics. Lucid Diagnostics Launches Next-Generation EsoGuard Esophageal DNA Test. 2023; <https://ir.luciddx.com/2023-11-09-Lucid-Diagnostics-Launches-Next-Generation-EsoGuard-R-Esophageal-DNA-Test-and-Announces-Upcoming-Investor-Day>. Accessed October 20, 2025.
27. Greer KB, Blum AE, Faulx AL, et al. Non-endoscopic screening for Barrett's esophagus and Esophageal Adenocarcinoma in at risk Veterans. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Jul 11 2024. PMID 38989889
28. Englehardt R, Samarasena JB, Bildzukewicz NA, et al. Real world experience and clinical utility of esoguard - interim data from the lucid registry. *medRxiv.* 2023:2023.2009.2026.23296162. doi:10.1101/2023.09.26.23296162
29. Hamblin R, Lee VT, deGuzman BJ, Verma S, Aklog L. Clinical utility of esoguard as an efficient triage test for diagnosing barretts esophagus in on-duty firefighters. *medRxiv.* 2023:2023.2008.2016.23294176. doi:10.1101/2023.08.16.23294176
30. Lister D, Fine A, Maheshwari S, et al. Clinical utility study of esoguard on samples collected with esocheck as a triage test for endoscopy to identify barretts esophagus interim data of the first 275 subjects. *medRxiv.* 2023:2023.2008.2031.23294916. doi:10.1101/2023.08.31.23294916
31. Lister D, Fine A, Maheshwari S, et al. Real-World Clinical Utility of a Methylated DNA Biomarker Assay on Samples Collected with a Swallowable Capsule-Balloon for Detection of Barrett's Esophagus (BE). *Medicina (Kaunas).* Dec 13 2024; 60(12). PMID 39768931
32. Castle Biosciences. Tissue Cypher Sample Report v2.011/23. 2023; https://castlebiosciences.com/Sample%20Report%20and%20Order%20Form/TC-Sample-Report_watermark.pdf. Accessed October 20, 2025.
33. Davison JM, Goldblum J, Grewal US, et al. Independent Blinded Validation of a Tissue Systems Pathology Test to Predict Progression in Patients With Barrett's Esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol.* Jun 2020; 115(6): 843-852. PMID 32079863
34. Frei NF, Konte K, Bossart EA, et al. Independent Validation of a Tissue Systems Pathology Assay to Predict Future Progression in Nondysplastic Barrett's Esophagus: A Spatial-Temporal Analysis. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol.* Oct 2020; 11(10): e00244. PMID 33108124

35. Khoshiwal AM, Frei NF, Pouw RE, et al. The Tissue Systems Pathology Test Outperforms Pathology Review in Risk Stratifying Patients With Low-Grade Dysplasia. *Gastroenterology*. Nov 2023; 165(5): 1168-1179.e6. PMID 37657759
36. Diehl DL, Khara HS, Akhtar N, et al. TissueCypher Barrett's esophagus assay impacts clinical decisions in the management of patients with Barrett's esophagus. *Endosc Int Open*. Mar 2021; 9(3): E348-E355. PMID 33655033
37. Duits LC, Khoshiwal AM, Frei NF, et al. An Automated Tissue Systems Pathology Test Can Standardize the Management and Improve Health Outcomes for Patients With Barrett's Esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Nov 01 2023; 118(11): 2025-2032. PMID 37307529
38. Peabody JW, Cruz JDC, Ganesan D, et al. A Randomized Controlled Study on Clinical Adherence to Evidence-Based Guidelines in the Management of Simulated Patients With Barrett's Esophagus and the Clinical Utility of a Tissue Systems Pathology Test: Results From Q-TAB. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. Jan 01 2024; 15(1): e00644. PMID 37767993
39. Previs. Esopredict. Information for Healthcare Providers. <https://previsedx.com/our-test/esopredict-for-providers/>. Accessed October 21, 2025.
40. Jin Z, Cheng Y, Gu W, et al. A multicenter, double-blinded validation study of methylation biomarkers for progression prediction in Barrett's esophagus. *Cancer Res*. May 15 2009; 69(10): 4112-5. PMID 19435894
41. Laun SE, Kann L, Braun J, et al. Spatiotemporal Study of a Risk-Stratification Epigenetic-Based Biomarker Assay in Patients With Barrett Esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Feb 12 2025; 120(6): 1285-1295. PMID 39933887
42. Laun SE, Kann L, Braun J, et al. Validation of an Epigenetic Prognostic Assay to Accurately Risk-Stratify Patients With Barrett Esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Aug 14 2024; 120(6): 1296-1306. PMID 39140473
43. Laun S, Pierre F, Kim S, et al. Analytical Validation of Esopredict, an Epigenetic Prognostic Assay for Patients with Barrett's Esophagus. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. Sep 10 2024; 14(18). PMID 39335682
44. Shaheen NJ, Falk GW, Iyer PG, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Barrett's Esophagus: An Updated ACG Guideline. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Apr 01 2022; 117(4): 559-587. PMID 35354777
45. Muthusamy VR, Wani S, Gyawali CP, et al. AGA Clinical Practice Update on New Technology and Innovation for Surveillance and Screening in Barrett's Esophagus: Expert Review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Dec 2022; 20(12): 2696-2706.e1. PMID 35788412
46. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers (v.4.2025). August 22, 2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/esophageal.pdf. Accessed October 20, 2025.
47. Docimo S, Al-Mansour M, Tsuda S. SAGES TAVAC safety and efficacy analysis WATS 3D (CDx Diagnostics, Suffern, NY). *Surg Endosc*. Sep 2020; 34(9): 3743-3747. PMID 32162125
48. Interpace Diagnostics. BarreGen Esophageal Cancer Risk Classifier. 2023. <https://barregen.com/>. Accessed June 25, 2024.
49. Khara HS, Jackson SA, Nair S, et al. Assessment of mutational load in biopsy tissue provides additional information about genomic instability to histological classifications of Barrett's esophagus. *J Gastrointest Cancer*. Jun 2014; 45(2): 137-45. PMID 24402860
50. Eluri S, Brugge WR, Daglilar ES, et al. The Presence of Genetic Mutations at Key Loci Predicts Progression to Esophageal Adenocarcinoma in Barrett's Esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jun 2015; 110(6): 828-34. PMID 26010308
51. Shaheen NJ, Falk GW, Iyer PG, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Barrett's Esophagus: An Updated ACG Guideline. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Apr 01 2022; 117(4): 559-587. PMID 35354777
52. Muthusamy VR, Wani S, Gyawali CP, et al. AGA Clinical Practice Update on New Technology and Innovation for Surveillance and Screening in Barrett's Esophagus: Expert Review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Dec 2022; 20(12): 2696-2706.e1. PMID 35788412

DRAFT

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

