

EFFECTIVE DATE: 10|01|2015

POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 04|01|2026

OVERVIEW

Patient-controlled stretching devices are used in the home to increase range of motion (ROM) in individuals who have impaired functional status due to ROM. There are 2 commercially available types of devices. Static progressive stretch (SPS) devices (e.g., Joint Active Systems (JAS), Static-Pro) provide low- to moderate-intensity stretching with a crank or ratchet that progressively increases the stretch within each session and serial stretch devices (e.g., End Range of Motion Improvement (ERMI)) devices use hydraulics to alternate between periods of higher intensity stretch and relaxation.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans

Patient-controlled end range of motion stretching devices are not covered as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Commercial Products

Patient-controlled end range of motion stretching devices are considered not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups/contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage, or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary/not covered benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Range of Motion Impairments

Loss of full ROM occurs in a significant proportion of patients following surgical procedures around the joint, such as total knee arthroplasty (TKA) or anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction. The most common cause for severe postoperative motion loss is the development of intra-articular or extra-articular arthrofibrosis. Arthrofibrosis, characterized by periarticular fibrosis and bands of scar tissue, is described as a painful loss of end range of motion compared with the normal contralateral side. Loss of knee ROM can lead to impairments in walking, sitting rising from a chair, and navigating stairs. In 2010, Stephenson et al estimated that based on the annual rates of TKA and ACL reconstruction, the number of major knee surgery patients affected by arthrofibrosis in the United States would be at least 85,000 per year, and approximately 21,000 patients each year would be at risk of requiring additional surgery.

Treatment

Treatment of arthrofibrosis may include physical therapy, manipulation under anesthesia, arthroscopic or open lysis of adhesions, or revision surgery. Conservative treatment typically consists of postoperative physical therapy with pressure stretching techniques and home exercises. When rehabilitation has failed, serial casting, static braces, or dynamic splints that provide low-load prolonged stretch may be used. Dynamic splints use spring loading or elastic bands to provide low-intensity tension (less than that exerted by a physical

therapist) and are designed to be worn over relatively long periods (i.e., 6-8 hours or overnight). The efficacy of a stretching regimen to permanently remodel tissue is considered to be a function of the intensity, length of the session, number of sessions per day, and number of days per week that stretching is performed.

Static Progressive Stretch Devices

This policy focuses on patient-controlled mechanical devices that provide either moderate- to high-intensity stretch or static progressive stretch in the home. The efficacy of a stretching regimen to permanently remodel tissue is considered to be a function of the intensity, length of the session, number of session per day, and number of days per week that stretching is performed. SPS devices provide a low- to moderate-intensity force to hold a joint at its end range and gradually increase the stretch. In contrast to the long periods of low-intensity stretch provided by dynamic splinting devices, patient-controlled serial stretch and SPS devices are designed to be used for periods of 15 to 30 minutes, in up to 8 sessions per day.

SPS devices are available for the knee, shoulder, ankle, wrist, and for pronation and supination. Individuals are typically instructed to use the devices for 30 minutes, 3 times a day. During each session, individuals adjust their device by turning a ratchet or turn-buckle to the maximum tolerated position of end range stretch. Each position is held for several minutes to allow for tissue relaxation to occur, and the device is then advanced to a new position of stretch. It is proposed that the systems unload the joint to reduce joint surface pressures during the stretch. Devices that provide SPS include JAS® (Joint Active Systems), Static-Pro® (DeRoy), Stat-A-Dyne® (Ortho-Innovations), AliMed® Turnbuckle Orthosis (AliMed), and Mayo Aircast® (DJO).

Serial Stretch Devices

The purpose of serial stretch devices in individuals who have functional limitations in range of motion is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies. Serial stretch devices (eg, ERMI) use hydraulics to alternate between periods of higher intensity stretch and relaxation.

Outcome Measures

Improvement in functional outcomes, such as the ability to perform activities of daily living, is the primary goal of this intervention. Joint ROM is an intermediate outcome. According to the knee examination form developed by the International Knee Documentation Committee (2000), an extension deficit of 6° to 10° or a flexion deficit of 16° to 25° when compared with the noninvolved knee is categorized “abnormal,” and an extension deficit of more than 10° or a flexion deficit of more than 25° when compared with the noninvolved knee is categorized “severely abnormal.” One small study (2000) by Rowe et al correlated knee ROM with functional parameters and concluded that 110° is considered the functional ROM necessary to allow patients to perform common activities of daily living such as navigating stairs, rising from a low chair or commode, entering or exiting from a car, or tying one’s shoes. This threshold of ROM is therefore used as a measure of treatment success for individual patients. Loss of knee ROM of more than 15°, which occurs in about 1% to 2% of patients after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, has been associated with loss of quadriceps muscle strength and the development of osteoarthritis. According to the knee examination form developed by the International Knee Documentation Committee (2000), an extension deficit of 6° to 10° or a flexion deficit of 16° to 25° when compared with the noninvolved knee is categorized “abnormal,” and an extension deficit of more than 10° or a flexion deficit of more than 25° when compared with the noninvolved knee is categorized “severely abnormal.” ROM thresholds in joints other than the knee have been less clearly defined.

For individuals who have functional limitations in ROM who receive SPS devices and physical therapy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), a systematic review, and case series. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, and quality of life. Four RCTs have evaluated static progressive stretch devices but comparators in each differed (physical therapy, a dynamic splint, and a serial stretch device). The evidence on static progressive stretch devices does not currently support an improvement in pain and function with static progressive stretch compared to alternative treatments. One RCT found greater improvements in range of motion and Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) scores with serial stretch devices for the knee compared with static progressive stretch devices. Another RCT evaluating static progressive stretch for

shoulder adhesive capsulitis found significant differences in shoulder range of motion compared with physical therapy alone at the end of 4 weeks of treatment, with no difference in pain and function. A trial reported results of 34 participants with adhesive capsulitis that compared static progressive stretch to physical therapy alone or the combination of stretch and physical therapy. Although significant improvements with static stretching were found compared with placebo in terms of range of motion, differences between groups were generally similar. A fourth RCT found comparable improvements in most outcomes for the static progressive stretch device compared with dynamic splinting, and a systematic review of case reports and series found similar clinical efficacy for increasing elbow range of motion between static progressive stretch devices and dynamic splints. Dynamic splints are used for 8 to 24 hours per day while static progressive stretch devices require several 30-minute sessions. It is not known whether patient compliance is higher with static progressive stretch devices. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have functional limitations in ROM who receive serial stretch devices and physical therapy, the evidence includes an RCT and observational studies. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, change in disease status, functional outcomes, and quality of life. The best evidence consists of serial stretching with ERMI devices used to treat knee range of motion. One small RCT and a larger retrospective comparative study have reported that high-intensity stretching with ERMI devices improved range of motion more than lower intensity stretching devices in patients who were post-injury or surgery. Other available data consist of retrospective case series that have demonstrated improved range of motion in patients whose range had plateaued with physical therapy. The clinical significance of gains in this surrogate outcome measure is unclear. Further high-quality comparative trials are needed to determine whether these patient-controlled devices improve functional outcomes better than alternative treatments and identify the patient populations that might benefit. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following HCPCS codes are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

- E1801** Static progressive stretch/patient actualized serial stretch elbow device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1806** Static progressive stretch wrist device, flexion and/or extension, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1811** Static progressive stretch/patient actualized serial stretch knee device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1816** Static progressive stretch/patient actualized serial stretch ankle device, flexion and/or extension, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1818** Static progressive stretch/patient actualized serial stretch forearm pronation / supination device, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1831** Static progressive stretch toe device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1832** Static progressive stretch finger device, extension and/or flexion, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories
- E1841** Static progressive stretch/patient actualized serial stretch shoulder device, with or without range of motion adjustment, includes all components and accessories

RELATED POLICIES

None

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, June 2026

Provider Update, May 2025

Provider Update, June 2024

REFERENCES

1. Stephenson JJ, Quimbo RA, Gu T. Knee-attributable medical costs and risk of re-surgery among patients utilizing non-surgical treatment options for knee arthrofibrosis in a managed care population. *Curr Med Res Opin.* May 2010; 26(5): 1109-18. PMID 20225995
2. Jacobs CA, Sciascia AD. Factors that influence the efficacy of stretching programs for patients with hypomobility. *Sports Health.* Nov 2011; 3(6): 520-3. PMID 23016052
3. Rowe PJ, Myles CM, Walker C, et al. Knee joint kinematics in gait and other functional activities measured using flexible electrogoniometry: how much knee motion is sufficient for normal daily life?. *Gait Posture.* Oct 2000; 12(2): 143-55. PMID 10998612
4. Shelbourne KD, Gray T. Minimum 10-year results after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: how the loss of normal knee motion compounds other factors related to the development of osteoarthritis after surgery. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2009; 37(3): 471-80. PMID 19059893
5. International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC). IKDC: Knee Form. 2000; https://www.sportsmed.org/aossmimis/Staging/Research/IKDC_Forms.aspx. Accessed January 14, 2026.
6. Sodhi N, Yao B, Anis HK, et al. Patient satisfaction and outcomes of static progressive stretch bracing: a 10-year prospective analysis. *Ann Transl Med.* Feb 2019; 7(4): 67. PMID 30963062
7. Papotto BA, Mills T. Treatment of severe flexion deficits following total knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. *Orthop Nurs.* Jan-Feb 2012; 31(1): 29-34. PMID 22278649
8. Ibrahim MI, Johnson AJ, Pivec R, et al. Treatment of adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder with a static progressive stretch device: a prospective, randomized study. *J Long Term Eff Med Implants.* 2012; 22(4): 281-91. PMID 23662659
9. Ibrahim M, Donatelli R, Hellman M, et al. Efficacy of a static progressive stretch device as an adjunct to physical therapy in treating adhesive capsulitis of the shoulder: a prospective, randomized study. *Physiotherapy.* Sep 2014; 100(3): 228-34. PMID 24211154
10. Hussein AZ, Ibrahim MI, Hellman MA, et al. Static progressive stretch is effective in treating shoulder adhesive capsulitis: Prospective, randomized, controlled study with a two-year follow-up. *Eur J Physiother.* Jun 24 2015;17(3):138-147.
11. Teytelbaum DE, Kumar NS, Dent CS, et al. Efficacy of a high-intensity home stretching device and traditional physical therapy in non-operative management of adhesive capsulitis - a prospective, randomized control trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* Apr 20 2024; 25(1): 305. PMID 38643086
12. Lindenhovius AL, Doornberg JN, Brouwer KM, et al. A prospective randomized controlled trial of dynamic versus static progressive elbow splinting for posttraumatic elbow stiffness. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Apr 18 2012; 94(8): 694-700. PMID 22517385
13. Bonutti PM, McGrath MS, Ulrich SD, et al. Static progressive stretch for the treatment of knee stiffness. *Knee.* Aug 2008; 15(4): 272-6. PMID 18538574
14. Ulrich SD, Bonutti PM, Seyler TM, et al. Restoring range of motion via stress relaxation and static progressive stretch in posttraumatic elbow contractures. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Mar 2010; 19(2): 196-201. PMID 19959379
15. Muller AM, Sadoghi P, Lucas R, et al. Effectiveness of bracing in the treatment of nonosseous restriction of elbow mobility: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 13 studies. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* Aug 2013; 22(8): 1146-52. PMID 23796383
16. McGrath MS, Ulrich SD, Bonutti PM, et al. Static progressive splinting for restoration of rotational motion of the forearm. *J Hand Ther.* Jan-Mar 2009; 22(1): 3-8; quiz 9, PMID 18950990
17. McGrath MS, Ulrich SD, Bonutti PM, et al. Evaluation of static progressive stretch for the treatment of wrist stiffness. *J Hand Surg Am.* Nov 2008; 33(9): 1498-504. PMID 18984330
18. Lucado AM, Li Z, Russell GB, et al. Changes in impairment and function after static progressive splinting for stiffness after distal radius fracture. *J Hand Ther.* Oct-Dec 2008; 21(4): 319-25. PMID 19006757
19. Branch TP, Karsch RE, Mills TJ, et al. Mechanical therapy for loss of knee flexion. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ).* Apr 2003; 32(4): 195-200. PMID 12723771

20. Dempsey AL, Mills T, Karsch RM, et al. Maximizing total end range time is safe and effective for the conservative treatment of frozen shoulder patients. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. Sep 2011; 90(9): 738-45. PMID 21430510

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

