

DRAFT Medical Coverage Policy | Comprehensive Genomic Profiling for Selecting Targeted Cancer Therapies



EFFECTIVE DATE: 09|01|2026

POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 05|06|2026

OVERVIEW

Comprehensive genomic profiling offers the potential to evaluate a large number of genetic markers at a single time to identify cancer treatments that target specific biologic pathways. This policy focuses on “expanded” panels, which are defined as molecular panels that test a wide variety of genetic markers in cancers without regard for whether specific targeted treatment has demonstrated benefit. This approach may result in a treatment different from that usually selected for a patient based on the type and stage of cancer.

The following tests are addressed in this policy:

- HeproDX (GoPath Laboratories) (CPT 0006M)
- OncoTarget/OncoTreat (Darwin Health) (CPT 0019U)
- EXaCT-1 Whole Exome Testing (Weill Cornell Medicine Clinical Genomics Lab) (CPT 0036U)
- LC-MS/MS Targeted Proteomic Assay (OncoOmicDx Laboratory) (CPT 0174U)

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following tests are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products as the evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the new health outcomes:

- HeproDx
- OncoTarget/OncoTreat
- EXaCT-1 Whole Exome Testing
- LC-MS/MS Targeted Proteomic Assay

Note: Laboratories are not allowed to obtain clinical authorization or participate in the authorization process on behalf of the ordering physician. Only the ordering physician shall be involved in the authorization, appeal or other administrative processes related to prior authorization/medical necessity.

In no circumstance shall a laboratory or a physician/provider use a representative of a laboratory or anyone with a relationship to a laboratory and/or a third party to obtain authorization on behalf of the ordering physician, to facilitate any portion of the authorization process or any subsequent appeal of a claim where the authorization process was not followed and/or a denial for clinical appropriateness was issued, including any element of the preparation of necessary documentation of clinical appropriateness. If a laboratory or a third party is found to be supporting any portion of the authorization process, BCBSRI will deem the action a violation of this policy and severe action will be taken up to and including termination from the BCBSRI provider network. If a laboratory provides a laboratory service that has not been authorized, the service will be denied as the financial liability of the participating laboratory and may not be billed to the member.

Commercial Products

Some genetic testing services are not covered and a contract exclusion for any self-funded group that has excluded the expanded coverage of biomarker testing related to the state mandate, R.I.G.L. §27-19-81 described in the Biomarker Testing Mandate policy. For these groups, a list of which genetic testing services are covered with prior authorization, are not medically necessary or are not covered because they are a contract exclusion can be found in the Coding section of the Genetic Testing Services or Proprietary Laboratory Analyses policies. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet to determine whether the member's plan has customized benefit coverage. Please refer to the list of Related Policies for more information.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable laboratory and not medically necessary benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Traditional Therapeutic Approaches to Cancer

Tumor location, grade, stage, and the patient's underlying physical condition have traditionally been used in clinical oncology to determine the therapeutic approach to a specific cancer, which could include surgical resection, ionizing radiation, systemic chemotherapy, or combinations thereof. Currently, some 100 different types are broadly categorized according to the tissue, organ, or body compartment in which they arise. Most treatment approaches in clinical care were developed and evaluated in studies that recruited subjects and categorized results based on this traditional classification scheme.

This traditional approach to cancer treatment does not reflect the wide diversity of cancer at the molecular level. While treatment by organ type, stage, and grade may demonstrate statistically significant therapeutic efficacy overall, only a subgroup of patients may derive clinically significant benefit. It is unusual for a cancer treatment to be effective for all patients treated in a traditional clinical trial. Spear et al (2001) analyzed the efficacy of major drugs used to treat several important diseases. They reported heterogeneity of therapeutic responses, noting a low rate of 25% for cancer chemotherapeutics, with response rates for most drugs falling in the range of 50% to 75%. The low rate for cancer treatments is indicative of the need for better identification of characteristics associated with treatment response and better targeting of treatment to have higher rates of therapeutic responses.

Targeted Cancer Therapy

Much of the variability in clinical response may result from genetic variations. Within each broad type of cancer, there may be a large amount of variability in the genetic underpinnings of the cancer. Targeted cancer treatment refers to the identification of genetic abnormalities present in the cancer of a particular patient, and the use of drugs that target the specific genetic abnormality. The use of genetic markers allows cancers to be further classified as "pathways" defined at the molecular level. An expanding number of genetic markers have been identified. These may be categorized into 3 classes, (1) genetic markers that have a direct impact on care for the specific cancer of interest, (2) genetic markers that may be biologically important but are not currently actionable, and (3) genetic markers of uncertain importance.

A smaller number of individual genetic markers fall into the first category (ie, have established utility for a particular cancer type). The utility of these markers has been demonstrated by randomized controlled trials that select patients with the marker and report significant improvements in outcomes with targeted therapy compared with standard therapy. Testing for these individual variants with established utility is not covered in this evidence review. In some cases, limited panels may be offered that are specific to one type of cancer (eg, a panel of several markers for NSCLC). This review is also not intended to address the use of cancer-specific panels that include a few variants. Rather, this review addresses expanded panels that test for many potential variants that do not have established efficacy for the specific cancer in question.

When advanced cancers are tested with expanded molecular panels, most patients are found to have at least one potentially pathogenic variant. The number of variants varies widely by types of cancers, different variants included in testing, and different testing methods among the available studies. In a study by

Schwaederle et al (2015), 439 patients with diverse cancers were tested with a 236-gene panel. A total of 1813 molecular alterations were identified, and almost all patients (420/439 [96%]) had at least 1 molecular alteration. The median number of alterations per patient was 3, and 85% of patients (372/439) had 2 or more alterations. The most common alterations were in the TP53 (44%), KRAS (16%), and PIK3CA (12%) genes.

Some evidence is available on the generalizability of targeted treatment based on a specific variant among cancers that originate from different organs. There are several examples of variant-directed treatment that is effective in one type of cancer but ineffective in another. For example, targeted therapy for epidermal growth factor receptor variants have been successful in non-small-cell lung cancer but not in trials of other cancer types. Treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors based on variant testing has been effective for renal cell carcinoma but has not demonstrated effectiveness for other cancer types tested. “Basket” studies, in which tumors of various histologic types that share a common genetic variant are treated with a targeted agent, also have been performed. One such study was published in 2015 by Hyman et al. In this study, 122 patients with BRAF V600 variants in nonmelanoma cancers were treated with vemurafenib. The authors reported that there appeared to be antitumor activity for some but not all cancers, with the most promising results seen for non-small-cell lung cancer, Erdheim-Chester disease, and Langerhans cell histiocytosis.

NGS for Somatic (Acquired) and Germline (Inherited) Cancer

Clinical laboratory diagnostic tests can include tests that, for example, predict the risk associated with one or more genetic variations. In addition, *in vitro* companion diagnostic laboratory tests provide a report of test results of genetic variations and are essential for the safe and effective use of a corresponding therapeutic product. Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) is one technique that can measure one or more genetic variations as a laboratory diagnostic test, such as when used as a companion *in vitro* diagnostic test.

Patients with cancer can have recurrent, relapsed, refractory, metastatic, and/or advanced stages III or IV of cancer. Clinical studies show that genetic variations in a patient’s cancer can, in concert with clinical factors, predict how each individual responds to specific treatments.

In application, a report of results of a diagnostic laboratory test using NGS (i.e., information on the cancer’s genetic variations) can contribute to predicting a patient’s response to a given drug: good, bad, or none at all. Applications of NGS to predict a patient’s response to treatment occurs ideally prior to initiation of such treatment.

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) has determined that Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) as a diagnostic laboratory test is reasonable and necessary and covered nationally, when performed in a CLIA-certified laboratory, when ordered by a treating physician and when the criteria above are met.

The evidence for cancers of the breast and ovary suggests that the use of NGS can identify germline mutations which will lead to better treatment and health outcomes for patients with inherited cancers of the breast and ovary. The evidence for cancer of the breast and ovary indicates that NGS as a diagnostic tool can identify the germline mutations most likely to be targeted by a treatment regimen tailored to certain germline mutation. It is likely that the identification of such tailored treatment regimens in the clinical management of inherited cancers of the breast and ovary diagnosed by NGS will improve health outcomes of Medicare beneficiaries. Use of NGS as a diagnostic test has utility for patients in the discovery of new targeted therapies for inherited cancers and in the physician management of inherited cancers of the breast and ovary in Medicare beneficiaries.

For individuals who have advanced cancer that is being considered for targeted therapy who receive comprehensive genomic profiling of tumor tissue, using some of the tests referenced in this policy, the evidence includes a randomized controlled trial, nonrandomized trials, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, test validity, and quality of life. A large number of variants and many types of cancer preclude determination of the clinical validity of the panels as a whole, and clinical utility has not been demonstrated for the use of expanded molecular panels to direct targeted cancer treatment. The 1 published randomized controlled trial (SHIVA trial) that used an expanded

panel reported no difference in progression-free survival compared with standard treatment. Additional randomized and nonrandomized trials for drug development, along with systematic reviews of these trials, have compared outcomes in patients who received molecularly targeted treatment with patients who did not. Generally, trials in which therapy was targeted to a gene variant resulted in improved response rates, progression-free survival, and overall survival compared to patients in trials who did not receive targeted therapy. A major limitation in the relevance of these studies for comprehensive genomic profiling is that treatment in these trials was guided both by the tissue source and the molecular target for drug development, rather than being matched solely by the molecular marker (ie, basket trials). As a result, these types of studies do not provide evidence of the benefit of broad molecular profiling compared to more limited genetic assessments based on known tumor-specific variants. Basket trials that randomize patients with various tumor types to a strategy of comprehensive genomic profiling followed by targeted treatment are needed, and several are ongoing. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT codes are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

This code can be used for Hepro Dx:

0006M Oncology (hepatic), mRNA expression levels of 161 genes, utilizing fresh hepatocellular carcinoma tumor tissue, with alpha-fetoprotein level, algorithm reported as a risk classifier

This code can be used for OncoTarget/OncoTreat:

0019U Oncology, RNA, gene expression by whole transcriptome sequencing, formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue or fresh frozen tissue, predictive algorithm reported as potential targets for therapeutic agents

This code can be used for EXaCT-1 Whole Exome Testing:

0036U Exome (ie, somatic mutations), paired formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissue and normal specimen, sequence analyses

This code can be used for LC-MS/MS Targeted Proteomic Assay:

0174U Oncology (solid tumor), mass spectrometric 30 protein targets, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue, prognostic and predictive algorithm reported as likely, unlikely, or uncertain benefit of 39 chemotherapy and targeted therapeutic oncology agents

RELATED POLICIES

Biomarker Testing Mandate

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, July 2026

Provider Update, July 2025

Provider Update, January 2025

Provider Update, November 2023

Provider Update, June 2023

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Covered Determination (NCD) for Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) (90.2)
2. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. National Coverage Analysis (NCA) - Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) for Medicare Beneficiaries with Advanced Cancer (CAG-00450N) - Decision Memo. (2018, March 16). <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncacal-decision-memo.aspx?proposed=N&ncaid=290>

3. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 8.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf. Accessed September 16, 2025.
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Cutaneous Melanoma. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cutaneous_melanoma.pdf. Accessed September 17, 2025.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Uveal Melanoma. Version 1.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uveal.pdf. Accessed September 18, 2025.
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Breast Cancer. Version 4.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf. Accessed September 19, 2025.
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Colon Cancer. Version 4.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2025.
8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Rectal Cancer. Version 3.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/rectal.pdf. Accessed September 21, 2025.
9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Ovarian Cancer. Version 3.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Accessed September 22, 2025.
10. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Biliary Tract Cancers. Version 2.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/btc.pdf. Accessed September 23, 2025.
11. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Version 1.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hcc.pdf. Accessed September 24, 2025.
12. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer. Version 2.2026; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf. Accessed September 25, 2025.
13. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf. Accessed September 26, 2025.
14. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Esophageal and Esophagogastric Junction Cancers. Version 4.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/esophageal.pdf. Accessed September 27, 2025.
15. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Gastric Cancer. Version 3.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf. Accessed September 28, 2025.
16. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Testicular Cancer. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/testicular.pdf. Accessed August 29, 2025.
17. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Small Bowel Adenocarcinoma. Version 3.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/small_bowel.pdf. Accessed August 30, 2025.
18. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors. Version 2.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf. Accessed September 14, 2025.
19. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Ampullary Adenocarcinoma. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ampullary.pdf. Accessed September 13, 2025.

20. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Occult Primary. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/occult.pdf. Accessed September 12, 2025.
21. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Small Cell Lung Cancer. Version 2.2026; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sclc.pdf. Accessed September 11, 2025.
22. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Uterine Neoplasms. Volume 3.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf. Accessed September 10, 2025.
23. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Version 2.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/all.pdf. Accessed September 9, 2025.
24. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Acute Myeloid Leukemia. Version 1.2026. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/aml.pdf. Accessed September 8, 2025.
25. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Bone Cancer. Version 1.2026; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bone.pdf. Accessed September 7, 2025.
26. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Central Nervous System Cancers. Version 2.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cns.pdf. Accessed September 6, 2025.
27. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Head and Neck Cancer. Version 5.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf. Accessed September 5, 2025.
28. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Mesothelioma Pleural. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/meso_peritoneal.pdf. Accessed September 3, 2025.
29. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Mesothelioma Peritoneal. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/meso_peritoneal.pdf. Accessed September 4, 2025.
30. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Histiocytic Neoplasms. Volume 1.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/histiocytic_neoplasms.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2025.
31. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Neuroblastoma. Version 1.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroblastoma.pdf. Accessed September 1, 2025.
32. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Penile Cancer. Version 2.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/penile.pdf. Accessed August 31, 2025.
33. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Vaginal Cancer. Version 5.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/vaginal.pdf. Accessed August 28, 2025.
34. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Vulvar Cancer. Version 1.2025; https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/vulvar.pdf. Accessed August 27, 2025.
35. Spear BB, Heath-Chiozzi M, Huff J. Clinical application of pharmacogenetics. *Trends Mol Med*. May 2001; 7(5): 201-4. PMID 11325631
36. Choi M, Scholl UI, Ji W, et al. Genetic diagnosis by whole exome capture and massively parallel DNA sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Nov 10 2009; 106(45): 19096-101. PMID 19861545
37. Bell CJ, Dinwiddie DL, Miller NA, et al. Carrier testing for severe childhood recessive diseases by next-generation sequencing. *Sci Transl Med*. Jan 12 2011; 3(65): 65ra4. PMID 21228398

38. Foo JN, Liu J, Tan EK. Next-generation sequencing diagnostics for neurological diseases/disorders: from a clinical perspective. *Hum Genet.* Jul 2013; 132(7): 721-34. PMID 23525706
39. Lin X, Tang W, Ahmad S, et al. Applications of targeted gene capture and next-generation sequencing technologies in studies of human deafness and other genetic disabilities. *Hear Res.* Jun 2012; 288(1-2): 67-76. PMID 22269275
40. Raymond FL, Whittaker J, Jenkins L, et al. Molecular prenatal diagnosis: the impact of modern technologies. *Prenat Diagn.* Jul 2010; 30(7): 674-81. PMID 20572117
41. Simen BB, Yin L, Goswami CP, et al. Validation of a next-generation-sequencing cancer panel for use in the clinical laboratory. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* Apr 2015; 139(4): 508-17. PMID 25356985
42. Yohe S, Hauge A, Bunjer K, et al. Clinical validation of targeted next-generation sequencing for inherited disorders. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* Feb 2015; 139(2): 204-10. PMID 25611102
43. Sivakumaran TA, Husami A, Kissell D, et al. Performance evaluation of the next-generation sequencing approach for molecular diagnosis of hereditary hearing loss. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* Jun 2013; 148(6): 1007-16. PMID 23525850
44. Hiraki S, Rinella ES, Schnabel F, et al. Cancer risk assessment using genetic panel testing: considerations for clinical application. *J Genet Couns.* Aug 2014; 23(4): 604-17. PMID 24599651
45. Yorczyk A, Robinson LS, Ross TS. Use of panel tests in place of single gene tests in the cancer genetics clinic. *Clin Genet.* Sep 2015; 88(3): 278-82. PMID 25318351
46. Dienstmann R, Rodon J, Barretina J, et al. Genomic medicine frontier in human solid tumors: prospects and challenges. *J Clin Oncol.* May 20 2013; 31(15): 1874-84. PMID 23589551
47. Drilon A, Wang L, Arcila ME, et al. Broad, Hybrid Capture-Based Next-Generation Sequencing Identifies Actionable Genomic Alterations in Lung Adenocarcinomas Otherwise Negative for Such Alterations by Other Genomic Testing Approaches. *Clin Cancer Res.* Aug 15 2015; 21(16): 3631-9. PMID 25567908
48. Johnson DB, Dahlman KH, Knol J, et al. Enabling a genetically informed approach to cancer medicine: a retrospective evaluation of the impact of comprehensive tumor profiling using a targeted next-generation sequencing panel. *Oncologist.* Jun 2014; 19(6): 616-22. PMID 24797823
49. Schwaederle M, Daniels GA, Piccioni DE, et al. On the Road to Precision Cancer Medicine: Analysis of Genomic Biomarker Actionability in 439 Patients. *Mol Cancer Ther.* Jun 2015; 14(6): 1488-94. PMID 25852059
50. O'Brien CP, Taylor SE, O'Leary JJ, et al. Molecular testing in oncology: problems, pitfalls and progress. *Lung Cancer.* Mar 2014; 83(3): 309-15. PMID 24472389
51. Hyman DM, Puzanov I, Subbiah V, et al. Vemurafenib in Multiple Nonmelanoma Cancers with BRAF V600 Mutations. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 20 2015; 373(8): 726-36. PMID 26287849
52. Kazmi F, Shrestha N, Liu TFD, et al. Next-generation sequencing for guiding matched targeted therapies in people with relapsed or metastatic cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Mar 24 2025; 3(3): CD014872. PMID 40122129
53. Zerdes I, Filis P, Fountoukidis G, et al. Comprehensive genome profiling for treatment decisions in patients with metastatic tumors: real-world evidence meta-analysis and registry data implementation. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Jun 01 2025; 117(6): 1117-1124. PMID 39842854
54. Limaye S, Deshmukh J, Rohatagi N, et al. Usefulness of Comprehensive Genomic Profiling in Clinical Decision-Making in Oncology: A Systematic Review. *J Immunother Precis Oncol.* Feb 2025; 8(1): 55-63. PMID 39811425
55. Labaki C, Eid M, Bakouny Z, et al. Molecularly directed therapy in cancers of unknown primary: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer.* Jun 03 2025; 222: 115447. PMID 40318263
56. Schwaederle M, Parker BA, Schwab RB, et al. Precision Oncology: The UC San Diego Moores Cancer Center PREDICT Experience. *Mol Cancer Ther.* Apr 2016; 15(4): 743-52. PMID 26873727
57. Lee J, Kim ST, Kim K, et al. Tumor Genomic Profiling Guides Patients with Metastatic Gastric Cancer to Targeted Treatment: The VIKTORY Umbrella Trial. *Cancer Discov.* Oct 2019; 9(10): 1388-1405. PMID 31315834
58. Steuten L, Goulart B, Meropol NJ, et al. Cost Effectiveness of Multigene Panel Sequencing for Patients With Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *JCO Clin Cancer Inform.* Jun 2019; 3: 1-10. PMID 31242043
59. Singal G, Miller PG, Agarwala V, et al. Association of Patient Characteristics and Tumor Genomics With Clinical Outcomes Among Patients With Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Using a Clinicogenomic Database. *JAMA.* Apr 09 2019; 321(14): 1391-1399. PMID 30964529

60. Kato S, Kim KH, Lim HJ, et al. Real-world data from a molecular tumor board demonstrates improved outcomes with a precision N-of-One strategy. *Nat Commun.* Oct 02 2020; 11(1): 4965. PMID 33009371
61. Stahler A, Stintzing S, von Einem JC, et al. Single-nucleotide variants, tumour mutational burden and microsatellite instability in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: Next-generation sequencing results of the FIRE-3 trial. *Eur J Cancer.* Sep 2020; 137: 250-259. PMID 32810748
62. Catenacci DVT, Moya S, Lomnicki S, et al. Personalized Antibodies for Gastroesophageal Adenocarcinoma (PANGEA): A Phase II Study Evaluating an Individualized Treatment Strategy for Metastatic Disease. *Cancer Discov.* Feb 2021; 11(2): 308-325. PMID 33234578
63. Krämer A, Bochtler T, Pauli C, et al. Molecularly guided therapy versus chemotherapy after disease control in unfavourable cancer of unknown primary (CUPISCO): an open-label, randomised, phase 2 study. *Lancet.* Aug 10 2024; 404(10452): 527-539. PMID 39096924
64. Hortobagyi GN, Chen D, Piccart M, et al. Correlative Analysis of Genetic Alterations and Everolimus Benefit in Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer: Results From BOLERO-2. *J Clin Oncol.* Feb 10 2016; 34(5): 419-26. PMID 26503204
65. Massard C, Michiels S, Ferte C, et al. High-Throughput Genomics and Clinical Outcome in Hard-to-Treat Advanced Cancers: Results of the MOSCATO 01 Trial. *Cancer Discov.* Jun 2017; 7(6): 586-595. PMID 28365644
66. Coleman RL, Oza AM, Lorusso D, et al. Rucaparib maintenance treatment for recurrent ovarian carcinoma after response to platinum therapy (ARIEL3): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* Oct 28 2017; 390(10106): 1949-1961. PMID 28916367
67. Sicklick JK, Kato S, Okamura R, et al. Molecular profiling of cancer patients enables personalized combination therapy: the I-PREDICT study. *Nat Med.* May 2019; 25(5): 744-750. PMID 31011206
68. Tuxen IV, Rohrberg KS, Oestrup O, et al. Copenhagen Prospective Personalized Oncology (CoPPO)-Clinical Utility of Using Molecular Profiling to Select Patients to Phase I Trials. *Clin Cancer Res.* Feb 15 2019; 25(4): 1239-1247. PMID 30274980
69. Sultova E, Westphalen CB, Jung A, et al. Implementation of Precision Oncology for Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer in an Interdisciplinary MTB Setting. *Diagnostics (Basel).* Apr 20 2021; 11(4). PMID 33924134
70. Hlevnjak M, Schulze M, Elgaafary S, et al. CATCH: A Prospective Precision Oncology Trial in Metastatic Breast Cancer. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2021; 5. PMID 34036222
71. Schwaederle M, Zhao M, Lee JJ, et al. Impact of Precision Medicine in Diverse Cancers: A Meta-Analysis of Phase II Clinical Trials. *J Clin Oncol.* Nov 10 2015; 33(32): 3817-25. PMID 26304871
72. Jardim DL, Schwaederle M, Wei C, et al. Impact of a Biomarker-Based Strategy on Oncology Drug Development: A Meta-analysis of Clinical Trials Leading to FDA Approval. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* Nov 2015; 107(11). PMID 26378224
73. Kopetz S, Murphy DA, Pu J, et al. Molecular profiling of BRAF-V600E-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer in the phase 3 BEACON CRC trial. *Nat Med.* Nov 2024; 30(11): 3261-3271. PMID 39313594
74. Ciardiello D, Boscolo Bielo L, Pietrantonio F, et al. Comprehensive genomic profiling by liquid biopsy in refractory metastatic colorectal cancer patients who are candidate for anti-EGFR rechallenge therapy: findings from the CAVE-2 GOIM trial. *ESMO Open.* Jul 2025; 10(7): 105491. PMID 40555076
75. Trédan O, Pouessel D, Penel N, et al. Broad versus limited gene panels to guide treatment in patients with advanced solid tumors: a randomized controlled trial. *Nat Med.* May 2025; 31(5): 1502-1508. PMID 40195451
76. Le Tourneau C, Kamal M, Trédan O, et al. Designs and challenges for personalized medicine studies in oncology: focus on the SHIVA trial. *Target Oncol.* Dec 2012; 7(4): 253-65. PMID 23161020
77. Le Tourneau C, Delord JP, Gonçalves A, et al. Molecularly targeted therapy based on tumour molecular profiling versus conventional therapy for advanced cancer (SHIVA): a multicentre, open-label, proof-of-concept, randomised, controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* Oct 2015; 16(13): 1324-34. PMID 26342236
78. Belin L, Kamal M, Mauborgne C, et al. Randomized phase II trial comparing molecularly targeted therapy based on tumor molecular profiling versus conventional therapy in patients with refractory cancer: cross-over analysis from the SHIVA trial. *Ann Oncol.* Mar 01 2017; 28(3): 590-596. PMID 27993804
79. Zimmer K, Kocher F, Spizzo G, et al. Treatment According to Molecular Profiling in Relapsed/Refractory Cancer Patients: A Review Focusing on Latest Profiling Studies. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* 2019; 17: 447-453. PMID 31007870

80. Wheler JJ, Janku F, Naing A, et al. Cancer Therapy Directed by Comprehensive Genomic Profiling: A Single Center Study. *Cancer Res.* Jul 01 2016; 76(13): 3690-701. PMID 27197177
81. Dowdell AK, Meng RC, Vita A, et al. Widespread Adoption of Precision Anticancer Therapies After Implementation of Pathologist-Directed Comprehensive Genomic Profiling Across a Large US Health System. *JCO Oncol Pract.* Nov 2024; 20(11): 1523-1532. PMID 39531849
82. Tsimberidou AM, Hong DS, Ye Y, et al. Initiative for Molecular Profiling and Advanced Cancer Therapy (IMPACT): An MD Anderson Precision Medicine Study. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2017; 2017. PMID 29082359
83. Belcaid L, Højgaard M, Tuxen I, et al. Copenhagen Prospective Personalized Oncology (CoPPO)-impact of comprehensive genomic profiling in more than 2000 patients in a phase I setting. *Ann Oncol.* Sep 2025; 36(9): 1078-1087. PMID 40246201
84. Murciano-Goroff YR, Drilon A, Stadler ZK. The NCI-MATCH: A National, Collaborative Precision Oncology Trial for Diverse Tumor Histologies. *Cancer Cell.* Jan 11 2021; 39(1): 22-24. PMID 33434511
85. Damodaran S, Zhao F, Deming DA, et al. Phase II Study of Copanlisib in Patients With Tumors With PIK3CA Mutations: Results From the NCI-MATCH ECOG-ACRIN Trial (EAY131) Subprotocol Z1F. *J Clin Oncol.* May 10 2022; 40(14): 1552-1561. PMID 35133871
86. Kalinsky K, Hong F, McCourt CK, et al. Effect of Capivasertib in Patients With an AKT1 E17K-Mutated Tumor: NCI-MATCH Subprotocol EAY131-Y Nonrandomized Trial. *JAMA Oncol.* Feb 01 2021; 7(2): 271-278. PMID 33377972
87. Salama AKS, Li S, Macrae ER, et al. Dabrafenib and Trametinib in Patients With Tumors With BRAF V600E Mutations: Results of the NCI-MATCH Trial Subprotocol H. *J Clin Oncol.* Nov 20 2020; 38(33): 3895-3904. PMID 32758030
88. American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) TAPUR Study Analysis Plan and Current Status <https://old-prod.asco.org/research-data/tapur-study/study-results> Accessed September 28, 2023.
89. Hoes LR, van Berge Henegouwen JM, van der Wijngaart H, et al. Patients with Rare Cancers in the Drug Rediscovery Protocol (DRUP) Benefit from Genomics-Guided Treatment. *Clin Cancer Res.* Apr 01 2022; 28(7): 1402-1411. PMID 35046062
90. Chakravarty D, Johnson A, Sklar J, et al. Somatic Genomic Testing in Patients With Metastatic or Advanced Cancer: ASCO Provisional Clinical Opinion. *J Clin Oncol.* Apr 10 2022; 40(11): 1231-1258. PMID 35175857
91. Bartley AN, Mills AM, Konnick E, et al. Mismatch Repair and Microsatellite Instability Testing for Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor Therapy: Guideline From the College of American Pathologists in Collaboration With the Association for Molecular Pathology and Fight Colorectal Cancer. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* Oct 01 2022; 146(10): 1194-1210. PMID 35920830
92. Lindeman NI, Cagle PT, Aisner DL, et al. Updated Molecular Testing Guideline for the Selection of Lung Cancer Patients for Treatment With Targeted Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors: Guideline From the College of American Pathologists, the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *J Thorac Oncol.* Mar 2018; 13(3): 323-358. PMID 29396253

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

