

Medical Coverage Policy | Multimarker Serum Testing Related to Ovarian Cancer



EFFECTIVE DATE: 09 | 01 | 2026

POLICY LAST REVIEWED: 05 | 06 | 2026

OVERVIEW

A variety of serum biomarkers have been studied in association with ovarian cancer. Of particular interest have been tests that integrate results from multiple analytes into a risk score to predict the presence of disease. Three tests based on this principle, OVA1, Overa (the second-generation OVA1 test), and Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm (ROMA) have been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The intended use of OVA1 and Overa is as an aid to further assess whether malignancy is present with an ovarian adnexal mass who has not yet been referred to an oncologist, even when the physician's independent clinical and radiologic evaluation does not indicate malignancy. The intended use of ROMA is as an aid, in conjunction with clinical assessment, to assess whether a premenopausal or a postmenopausal woman who presents with an ovarian adnexal mass is at a high or low likelihood of finding malignancy on surgery.

The following test(s) are addressed in this policy:

- Overa (OVA1 Next Generation) (Aspira Labs, Inc, Vermillion, Inc.) – CPT code 0003U
- ROMA™ (Fujirebio Diagnostics) – CPT code 81500

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

Effective 9/1/2026, the following test(s) are considered medically necessary when the medical criteria in the online authorization tool for participating providers is met:

- OVA1™ (Aspira Labs) – CPT code 81503

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

Prior authorization is recommended for Commercial Products via the online tool for participating providers for the following tests:

- OVA1™ (Aspira Labs)

POLICY STATEMENT

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

Effective 9/1/2026, the following test(s) may be considered medically necessary when the medical criteria in the online authorization tool for participating providers is met:

- OVA1™ (Aspira Labs)

All uses of the Overa and ROMA tests are not covered for Medicare Advantage Plans and not medically necessary for Commercial Products, including but not limited to the following, as the evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome:

- Preoperative evaluation of adnexal masses to triage for malignancy, or
- Screening for ovarian cancer, or
- Selecting individuals for surgery for an adnexal mass, or
- Evaluation of individuals with clinical or radiologic evidence of malignancy, or
- Evaluation of individuals with nonspecific signs or symptoms suggesting possible malignancy, or
- Postoperative testing and monitoring to assess surgical outcome and/or to detect recurrent malignant disease following treatment

Note: Laboratories are not allowed to obtain clinical authorization or participate in the authorization process on behalf of the ordering physician. Only the ordering physician shall be involved in the authorization, appeal or other administrative processes related to prior authorization/medical necessity.

In no circumstance shall a laboratory or a physician/provider use a representative of a laboratory or anyone with a relationship to a laboratory and/or a third party to obtain authorization on behalf of the ordering physician, to facilitate any portion of the authorization process or any subsequent appeal of a claim where the authorization process was not followed and/or a denial for clinical appropriateness was issued, including any element of the preparation of necessary documentation of clinical appropriateness. If a laboratory or a third party is found to be supporting any portion of the authorization process, BCBSRI will deem the action a violation of this policy and severe action will be taken up to and including termination from the BCBSRI provider network. If a laboratory provides a laboratory service that has not been authorized, the service will be denied as the financial liability of the participating laboratory and may not be billed to the member.

Some genetic testing services are not covered and a contract exclusion for any self-funded group that has excluded the expanded coverage of biomarker testing related to the state mandate, R.I.G.L. §27-19-81 described in the Biomarker Testing Mandate policy. For these groups, a list of which genetic testing services are covered with prior authorization, are not medically necessary or are not covered because they are a contract exclusion can be found in the Coding section of the Genetic Testing Services or Proprietary Laboratory Analyses policies. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet to determine whether the member's plan has customized benefit coverage. Please refer to the list of Related Policies for more information.

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate section of the Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for services not medically necessary.

BACKGROUND

Epithelial Ovarian Cancer

The term *epithelial ovarian cancer* collectively includes high-grade serous epithelial ovarian, fallopian tubal, and peritoneal carcinomas due to their shared pathogenesis, clinical presentation, and treatment. We use epithelial ovarian cancer to refer to this group of malignancies in the discussion that follows. There is currently no serum biomarker that can distinguish between these types of carcinoma. An estimated 19,710 women in the U.S. were expected to be diagnosed with ovarian cancer in 2023, and approximately 13,270 were expected to die of the disease. The mortality rate depends on 3 variables: (1) patient characteristics; (2) tumor biology (grade, stage, type); and (3) treatment quality (nature of staging, surgery, and chemotherapy used). In particular, comprehensive staging and completeness of tumor resection appear to have a positive impact on patient outcomes. Racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in management and outcomes are prominent in patients with ovarian cancer. Compared to non-Hispanic White and Asian patients, Hispanic and non-Hispanic Black patients are more likely to be diagnosed with advanced disease, and are less likely to undergo optimal primary surgery and adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients with ovarian cancer from racial and ethnic minorities are also less likely to be enrolled in clinical trials. These are among the contributing factors to worsened overall survival among these racial and ethnic groups. Patients with impediments to access healthcare (eg, those living in underserved areas, with low household income, and/or who are underinsured or uninsured), which frequently intersect with racial and ethnic determinants, also experience longer time to diagnosis, suboptimal treatment, and worse outcomes.

Adult women presenting with an adnexal mass have an estimated 68% likelihood of having a benign lesion. About 6% of women with masses have borderline tumors; 22% possess invasive malignant lesions, and 3% have metastatic disease. Surgery is the only way to diagnose ovarian cancer; this is because biopsy of an ovary with suspected ovarian cancer is usually not performed due to the risk of spreading cancer cells. Most clinicians agree that women with masses that have a high likelihood of malignancy should undergo surgical staging by a gynecologic oncologist. However, women with clearly benign masses do *not* require a referral to see a specialist. Therefore, criteria and tests that help differentiate benign from malignant pelvic masses are desirable.

In 2016, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists updated a practice bulletin that addressed criteria for referring women with adnexal masses to gynecologic oncologists. Separate criteria were developed for premenopausal and postmenopausal women because the specificity and positive predictive value of cancer antigen 125 (CA 125) are higher in postmenopausal women. Prior guidance, which was based on expert opinion, recommended a CA 125 >200 U/mL for referring premenopausal women with an adnexal mass to a gynecologic oncologist. The current guidance advises using very elevated CA 125 levels with other clinical factors such as ultrasound findings, ascites, a nodular or fixed pelvic mass, or evidence of abdominal or distant metastasis for referral. The referral criteria for postmenopausal women are similar, except that a lower threshold for an elevated CA 125 test is used (35 U/mL). The practice bulletin states that serum biomarker panels are alternatives to CA 125 levels when deciding about a gynecologic oncologist referral.

Three multimer serum-based tests specific to ovarian cancer have been cleared by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) with the intended use of triaging patients with adnexal masses (see Regulatory Status section). The proposed use of the tests is to identify women with a substantial likelihood of malignant disease who may benefit from referral to a gynecologic oncology specialist. Patients with positive results may be considered candidates for referral to a gynecologic oncologist for treatment. The tests have been developed and evaluated only in patients with adnexal masses and planned surgeries. Other potential uses, such as selecting patients to have surgery, screening asymptomatic patients, and monitoring treatment, have not been investigated. Furthermore, the tests are not intended to be used as stand-alone tests, but in conjunction with clinical assessment. Other multimer panels and longitudinal screening algorithms are under development; however, these are not yet commercially available.

In September 2011, the Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm (ROMA™ test; Fujirebio Diagnostics [Sequin, TX]) was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. The intended use of ROMA™ is as an aid, in conjunction with clinical assessment, in assessing whether a premenopausal or postmenopausal woman who presents with an ovarian adnexal mass is at high or low likelihood of finding malignancy on surgery.

Black Box Warning

In December 2011, the FDA amended its regulation for classifying ovarian adnexal mass assessment score test systems. The change required that off-label risks be highlighted using a black box warning. The warning is intended to mitigate the risk to health associated with off-label use as a screening test, stand-alone diagnostic test, or as a test to determine whether to proceed with surgery. Considering the history and currently unmet medical needs for ovarian cancer testing, the FDA concluded that there is a risk of off-label use of this device. To address this risk, the FDA requires that manufacturers provide notice concerning the risks of off-label uses in the labeling, advertising, and promotional material of ovarian adnexal mass assessment score test systems. Manufacturers must address the following risks:

- Women without adnexal pelvic masses (ie, for cancer “screening”) are not part of the intended use population for the ovarian adnexal mass assessment score test systems. Public health risks associated with false-positive results for ovarian cancer screening tests are well described in the medical literature and include morbidity or mortality associated with unneeded testing and surgery. The risk from false-negative screening results also includes morbidity and mortality due to failure to detect and treat ovarian malignancy.
- Analogous risks, adjusted for prevalence and types of disease, arise if test results are used to determine the need for surgery in patients who are known to have ovarian adnexal masses.
- If used outside the “OR” rule that is described in this special control guidance, results from ovarian adnexal mass assessment score test systems pose a risk for morbidity and mortality due to nonreferral for oncologic evaluation and treatment.

For individuals who have adnexal mass(es) undergoing surgery for possible ovarian cancer who receive multimarker serum testing with clinical assessment preoperatively to assess ovarian cancer risk, the evidence includes studies assessing the technical performance and diagnostic accuracy. Relevant outcomes are overall survival and test accuracy. OVA1 and Overa are intended for use in patients for whom clinical assessment does not indicate cancer. When used in this manner, sensitivity for ovarian malignancy was 92% and specificity was 42% with OVA1; with Overa, sensitivity was 94% and specificity was 65%. ROMA is intended for use with clinical assessment, but no specific method has been defined. One study, which used clinical assessment and ROMA results, showed a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 67%. However, the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines recommend (category 2A) that all patients with suspected ovarian cancer should be evaluated by an experienced gynecologic oncologist. Given the National Comprehensive Cancer Network recommendation, direct evidence will be required to demonstrate that the use of U.S. FDA-cleared multimarker serum testing to inform decisions regarding referral to a gynecologic oncology specialist for surgery has clinical usefulness. Direct evidence of clinical usefulness is provided by studies that have compared health outcomes for patients managed with and without the FDA-cleared multimarker serum testing. Because these are intervention studies, the preferred evidence would be from randomized controlled trials. No trials were identified that have evaluated whether referral based on FDA-cleared multimarker serum testing improves health outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

CODING

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT codes may be considered medically necessary for Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products when the medical criteria in the online authorization tool for participating providers is met:

This CPT code can be used for the OVA1™ test:

81503 Oncology (ovarian), biochemical assays of five proteins (CA-125, apolipoprotein A1, beta-2 microglobulin, transferrin, and pre-albumin), utilizing serum, algorithm reported as a risk score

Medicare Advantage Plans and Commercial Products

The following CPT code(s) are considered not covered for Medicare Advantage Plan and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

This CPT code can be used for the ROMA™ test:

81500 Oncology (ovarian), biochemical assays of two proteins (CA-125 and HE4), utilizing serum, with menopausal status, algorithm reported as a risk score

This CPT code can be used for the Overa (OVA1 Next Generation) test:

0003U Oncology (ovarian) biochemical assays of five proteins (apolipoprotein A-1, CA 125 II, follicle stimulating hormone, human epididymis protein 4, transferrin), utilizing serum, algorithm reported as a likelihood score

RELATED POLICIES

Biomarker Testing Mandate

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, July 2026

Provider Update, June 2025

Provider Update, December 2024

Provider Update, April/November 2023

Provider Update, April 2022

REFERENCES

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2020). Multimarker serum tests related to ovarian cancer testing (Local Coverage Determination L38371). Medicare Coverage Database. Retrieved April 22, 2026, from <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdId=38371&ver=12>
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2020). Billing and coding: Multimarker serum tests related to ovarian cancer testing (Article A57020). Medicare Coverage Database. Retrieved April 22, 2026, from <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleId=57020&ver=5>
3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2026). Molecular pathology procedures (Local Coverage Determination L35000). Medicare Coverage Database. Retrieved April 22, 2026, from <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdId=35000&ver=152>
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (2020). Billing and coding: Multimarker serum tests related to ovarian cancer testing (Article A57020). Medicare Coverage Database. Retrieved April 22, 2026, from <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleId=57020&ver=5>
5. Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Program. SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Ovarian Cancer. n.d.; <https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/ovary.html>. Accessed October 29, 2025.
6. du Bois A, Rochon J, Pfisterer J, et al. Variations in institutional infrastructure, physician specialization and experience, and outcome in ovarian cancer: a systematic review. *Gynecol Oncol*. Feb 2009; 112(2): 422-36. PMID 18990435
7. Matthews BJ, Qureshi MM, Fiascone SJ, et al. Racial disparities in non-recommendation of adjuvant chemotherapy in stage II-III ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol*. Jan 2022; 164(1): 27-33. PMID 34785030
8. Zhang C, Zhang C, Wang Q, et al. Differences in Stage of Cancer at Diagnosis, Treatment, and Survival by Race and Ethnicity Among Leading Cancer Types. *JAMA Netw Open*. Apr 01 2020; 3(4): e202950. PMID 32267515
9. Joslin CE, Brewer KC, Davis FG, et al. The effect of neighborhood-level socioeconomic status on racial differences in ovarian cancer treatment in a population-based analysis in Chicago. *Gynecol Oncol*. Nov 2014; 135(2): 285-91. PMID 25173584
10. Mattei LH, Robb L, Banning K, et al. Enrollment of Individuals From Racial and Ethnic Minority Groups in Gynecologic Cancer Precision Oncology Trials. *Obstet Gynecol*. Oct 01 2022; 140(4): 654-661. PMID 36075065
11. Zhong P, Yang B, Pan F, et al. Temporal trends in Black-White disparities in cancer surgery and cancer-specific survival in the United States between 2007 and 2015. *Cancer Med*. Aug 15 2022. PMID 35968573
12. Ellis L, Canchola AJ, Spiegel D, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Cancer Survival: The Contribution of Tumor, Sociodemographic, Institutional, and Neighborhood Characteristics. *J Clin Oncol*. Jan 01 2018; 36(1): 25-33. PMID 29035642
13. Albright BB, Nasioudis D, Craig S, et al. Impact of Medicaid expansion on women with gynecologic cancer: a difference-in-difference analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Feb 2021; 224(2): 195.e1-195.e17. PMID 32777264
14. Bodurtha Smith AJ, Applebaum J, Fader AN. Association of the Affordable Care Act's Medicaid Expansion With 1-Year Survival Among Patients With Ovarian Cancer. *Obstet Gynecol*. Jun 01 2022; 139(6): 1123-1129. PMID 35675609
15. Smick AH, Holbert M, Neff R. Association of Physician Densities and Gynecologic Cancer Outcomes in the United States. *Obstet Gynecol*. Nov 01 2022; 140(5): 751-757. PMID 36201771
16. Van Holsbeke C, Van Belle V, Leone FP, et al. Prospective external validation of the 'ovarian crescent sign' as a single ultrasound parameter to distinguish between benign and malignant adnexal pathology. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. Jul 2010; 36(1): 81-7. PMID 20217895
17. Eskander R, Berman M, Keder L. Practice Bulletin No. 174: Evaluation and Management of Adnexal Masses. *Obstet Gynecol*. Nov 2016; 128(5): e210-e226. PMID 27776072
18. Simmons AR, Clarke CH, Badgwell DB, et al. Validation of a Biomarker Panel and Longitudinal Biomarker Performance for Early Detection of Ovarian Cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Jul 2016; 26(6): 1070-7. PMID 27206285
19. Yanaranop M, Tiyaon J, Siricharoenchai S, et al. Rajavithi-ovarian cancer predictive score (R-OPS): A new scoring system for predicting ovarian malignancy in women presenting with a pelvic mass. *Gynecol Oncol*. Jun 2016; 141(3): 479-484. PMID 26996662

20. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff - Class II Special Controls Guidance Document: Ovarian Adnexal Mass Assessment Score Test System. Updated February 27, 2018. <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/class-ii-special-controls-guidance-document-ovarian-adnexal-mass-assessment-score-test-system>. Accessed October 29, 2025.
21. Fung ET. A recipe for proteomics diagnostic test development: the OVA1 test, from biomarker discovery to FDA clearance. *Clin Chem*. Feb 2010; 56(2): 327-9. PMID 20110452
22. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Substantial Equivalence Determination Decision Summary: OVA1™ Test (K081754) n.d.; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K081754.pdf. Accessed October 28, 2025.
23. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 510(k) Substantial Equivalence Determination Decision Summary: OVA1™ Next Generation Test (K150588). n.d.; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K150588.pdf. Accessed October 29, 2025.
24. Grenache DG, Heichman KA, Werner TL, et al. Clinical performance of two multi-marker blood tests for predicting malignancy in women with an adnexal mass. *Clin Chim Acta*. Jan 01 2015; 438: 358-63. PMID 25283731
25. Bristow RE, Smith A, Zhang Z, et al. Ovarian malignancy risk stratification of the adnexal mass using a multivariate index assay. *Gynecol Oncol*. Feb 2013; 128(2): 252-9. PMID 23178277
26. Moore RG, Brown AK, Miller MC, et al. The use of multiple novel tumor biomarkers for the detection of ovarian carcinoma inpatients with a pelvic mass. *Gynecol Oncol*. Feb 2008; 108(2): 402-8. PMID 18061248
27. Moore RG, Miller MC, Disilvestro P, et al. Evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of the risk of ovarian malignancy algorithm in women with a pelvic mass. *Obstet Gynecol*. Aug 2011; 118(2 Pt 1): 280-288. PMID 21775843
28. Suri A, Perumal V, Ammalli P, et al. Diagnostic measures comparison for ovarian malignancy risk in Epithelial ovarian cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. Aug 27 2021; 11(1): 17308. PMID 34453074
29. Dayyani F, Uhlig S, Colson B, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm Against CA125 and HE4 in Connection With Ovarian Cancer: A Meta-analysis. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. Nov 2016; 26(9): 1586-1593. PMID 27540691
30. Wang J, Gao J, Yao H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serum HE4, CA125 and ROMA in patients with ovarian cancer: a meta-analysis. *Tumour Biol*. Jun 2014; 35(6): 6127-38. PMID 24627132
31. Al Musalhi K, Al Kindi M, Al Aisary F, et al. Evaluation of HE4, CA-125, Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm (ROMA) and Risk of Malignancy Index (RMI) in the Preoperative Assessment of Patients with Adnexal Mass. *Oman Med J*. Sep 2016; 31(5): 336-44. PMID 27602187
32. Cho HY, Park SH, Park YH, et al. Comparison of HE4, CA125, and Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm in the Prediction of Ovarian Cancer in Korean Women. *J Korean Med Sci*. Dec 2015; 30(12): 1777-83. PMID 26713052
33. Terlikowska KM, Dobrzycka B, Witkowska AM, et al. Preoperative HE4, CA125 and ROMA in the differential diagnosis of benign and malignant adnexal masses. *J Ovarian Res*. Jul 19 2016; 9(1): 43. PMID 27436085
34. Shen Y, Zhao L, Lu S. Diagnostic performance of HE4 and ROMA among Chinese women. *Clin Chim Acta*. Jan 2020; 500: 42-46. PMID 31626761
35. Shin KH, Kim HH, Kwon BS, et al. Clinical Usefulness of Cancer Antigen (CA) 125, Human Epididymis 4, and CA72-4 Levels and Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm Values for Diagnosing Ovarian Tumors in Korean Patients With and Without Endometriosis. *Ann Lab Med*. Jan 2020; 40(1): 40-47. PMID 31432638
36. Dunton C, Bullock RG, Fritsche H. Multivariate Index Assay Is Superior to CA125 and HE4 Testing in Detection of Ovarian Malignancy in African-American Women. *Biomark Cancer*. 2019; 11: 1179299X19853785. PMID 31236012
37. Han KH, Park NH, Kim JJ, et al. The power of the Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm considering menopausal status: a comparison with CA 125 and HE4. *J Gynecol Oncol*. Nov 2019; 30(6): e83. PMID 31576682
38. Carreras-Dieguez N, Glickman A, Munmany M, et al. Comparison of HE4, CA125, ROMA and CPH-I for Preoperative Assessment of Adnexal Tumors. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. Jan 17 2022; 12(1). PMID 35054393

39. Chacon E, Dasi J, Caballero C, et al. Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm versus Risk Malignancy Index-I for Preoperative Assessment of Adnexal Masses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Gynecol Obstet Invest.* 2019; 84(6): 591-598. PMID31311023
40. Davenport C, Rai N, Sharma P, et al. Menopausal status, ultrasound and biomarker tests in combination for the diagnosis of ovarian cancer in symptomatic women. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Jul 26 2022; 7: CD011964. PMID 35879201
41. Moore RG, Hawkins DM, Miller MC, et al. Combining clinical assessment and the Risk of Ovarian Malignancy Algorithm for the prediction of ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* Dec 2014; 135(3): 547-51. PMID 25449569
42. Committee Opinion No. 716: The Role of the Obstetrician-Gynecologist in the Early Detection of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer in Women at Average Risk. *Obstet Gynecol.* Sep 2017; 130(3): e146-e149. PMID 28832487
43. National Center for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Ovarian cancer: recognition and initial management [CG122]. 2011. Updated October 2, 2023; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg122>. Accessed October 29, 2025.
44. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Ovarian Cancer Including Fallopian Tub Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer. Version 3.2025. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Accessed October 29, 2025.
45. Grossman DC, Curry SJ, Owens DK, et al. Screening for Ovarian Cancer: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA.* Feb 13 2018; 319(6): 588-594. PMID 29450531

CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

