

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 06|06|2017

**POLICY LAST UPDATED:** 06|06|2017

## OVERVIEW

Computer-assisted navigation (CAN) in orthopedic procedures describes the use of computer-enabled tracking systems to facilitate alignment in a variety of surgical procedures, including fixation of fractures, ligament reconstruction, osteotomy, tumor resection, preparation of the bone for joint arthroplasty, and verification of the intended implant placement.

## MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

## PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

## POLICY STATEMENT

### BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

Computer-assisted musculoskeletal surgical navigational orthopedic procedures are covered services but providers will not be separately reimbursed for the services.

## COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups/contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Evidence of Coverage, Subscriber Agreement, or Benefit Booklet for limitations of benefits/coverage for applicable surgery services.

## BACKGROUND

### COMPUTER-ASSISTED NAVIGATION

The goal of computer-assisted navigation (CAN) is to increase surgical accuracy and reduce the chance of malposition. For total knee arthroplasty (TKA), malalignment is commonly defined as a variation of more than 3° from the targeted position. Proper implant alignment is believed to be an important factor for minimizing long-term wear, risk of osteolysis, and loosening of the prosthesis. In addition to reducing the risk of substantial malalignment, CAN may improve soft tissue balance and patellar tracking. CAN is also being investigated for surgical procedures with limited visibility such as placement of the acetabular cup in total hip arthroplasty, resection of pelvic tumors, and minimally invasive orthopedic procedures. Other potential uses of CAN for surgical procedures of the appendicular skeleton include screw placement for fixation of femoral neck fractures, high tibial osteotomy, and tunnel alignment during reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament.

CAN devices may be image-based or non-image-based. Image-based devices use preoperative computed tomography (CT) scans and operative fluoroscopy to direct implant positioning. Newer nonimage-based devices use information obtained in the operating room, typically with infrared probes. For TKA, specific anatomic reference points are made by fixing signaling transducers with pins into the femur and tibia. Signal-emitting cameras (e.g., infrared) detect the reflected signals and transmit the data to a dedicated computer. During the surgery, multiple surface points are taken from the distal femoral surfaces, tibial plateaus, and medial and lateral epicondyles. The femoral head center is typically calculated by kinematic methods that involve movement of the thigh through a series of circular arcs, with the computer producing a 3-dimensional (3D) model that includes the mechanical, transepicondylar, and tibial rotational axes. CAN systems direct the positioning of the cutting blocks and placement of the prosthetic implants based on the digitized surface

points and model of the bones in space. The accuracy of each step of the operation (cutting block placement, saw cut accuracy, seating of the implants) can be verified, thereby allowing adjustments to be made during surgery.

Navigation involves 3 steps: data acquisition, registration, and tracking.

### **Data Acquisition**

Data can be acquired in 3 ways: fluoroscopically, guided by CT scan or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or guided by imageless systems. These data are then used for registration and tracking.

### **Registration**

Registration refers to the ability of relating images (ie, radiographs, CT scans, MRI, or patients' 3D anatomy) to the anatomic position in the surgical field. Registration techniques may require the placement of pins or "fiducial markers" in the target bone. A surface-matching technique can also be used in which the shapes of the bone surface model generated from preoperative images are matched to surface data points collected during surgery.

### **Tracking**

Tracking refers to the sensors and measurement devices that can provide feedback during surgery regarding the orientation and relative position of tools to bone anatomy. For example, optical or electromagnetic trackers can be attached to regular surgical tools, which then provide real-time information of the position and orientation of tool alignment with respect to the bony anatomy of interest.

VERASENSE (OrthoSense) is a single-use device that replaces the standard plastic tibial trial spacer used in TKA. The device contains microprocessor sensors that quantify load and contact position of the femur on the tibia after resections have been made. The wireless sensors send the data to a graphic user interface that depicts the load. The device is intended to provide quantitative data on the alignment of the implant and on soft tissue balancing in place of intraoperative "feel."

iASSIST (Zimmer) is an accelerometer-based alignment system with a user interface built into disposable electronic pods that attach onto the femoral and tibial alignment and resection guides. For the tibia, the alignment guide is fixed between the tibial spines and a claw on the malleoli. The relation between the electronic pod of the digitizer and the bone reference is registered by moving the limb into abduction, adduction, and neutral position. Once the information has been registered, the digitizer is removed and the registration data are transferred to the electronic pod on the cutting guide. The cutting guide can be adjusted for varus/valgus alignment and tibial slope. A similar process is used for the femur. The pods use wireless exchange of data and display the alignment information to the surgeon within the surgical field. A computer controller must also be present in the operating room.

## **REGULATORY STATUS**

Because computer-assisted navigation (CAN) is a surgical information system in which the surgeon is only acting on the information that is provided by the navigation system, surgical navigation systems generally are subject only to 510(k) clearance from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). As such, FDA does not require data documenting the intermediate or final health outcomes associated with CAN. (In contrast, robotic procedures, in which the actual surgery is robotically performed, are subject to the more rigorous requirement of the premarket approval application process.)

A variety of surgical navigation procedures have cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process with broad labeled indications. The following is an example:

"The OEC FluoroTrak 9800 Plus provides the physician with fluoroscopic imaging during diagnostic, surgical and interventional procedures. The surgical navigation feature is intended as an aid to the surgeon for

locating anatomical structures anywhere on the human body during either open or percutaneous procedures. It is indicated for any medical condition that may benefit from the use of stereotactic surgery and which provides a reference to rigid anatomical structures such as sinus, skull, long bone or vertebra visible on fluoroscopic images.”

Several navigation systems (eg, PiGalileo™ Computer-Assisted Orthopedic Surgery System, PLUS Orthopedics; OrthoPilot® Navigation System, Braun; Navitrack® Navigation System, ORTHOsoft) have received FDA clearance specifically for total knee arthroplasty. FDA-cleared indications for the PiGalileo™ system are representative. This system “is intended to be used in computer-assisted orthopedic surgery to aid the surgeon with bone cuts and implant positioning during joint replacement. It provides information to the surgeon that is used to place surgical instruments during surgery using anatomical landmarks and other data specifically obtained intraoperatively (eg, ligament tension, limb alignment). Examples of some surgical procedures include but are not limited to:

- Total knee replacement supporting both bone referencing and ligament balancing techniques
- Minimally invasive total knee replacement.”

In 2013, the VERASENSE™ Knee System (OrthoSensor) and the iASSIST™ Knee (Zimmer) were cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process.

For individuals who are undergoing orthopedic surgery for trauma or fracture, ligament reconstruction, hip arthroplasty and periacetabular osteotomy, or total knee arthroplasty who receive computer-assisted navigation (CAN), the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and nonrandomized comparative studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, morbid events, and functional outcomes. Overall, the literature supports a decrease in variability of alignment with CAN, particularly with respect to the number of outliers. Although some observational data have suggested that malalignment may increase the probability of early failure, recent RCTs with short- to mid-term follow-up have not shown improved clinical outcomes with CAN. Given the low short-term revision rates associated with conventional procedures and the inadequate power of the available studies to detect changes in function using CAN, studies are needed that assess health outcomes using CAN in a larger number of subjects with longer follow-up to permit greater certainty on the impact of this technology. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the procedure on health outcomes.

## CODING

### BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

The following codes are covered and providers will not be separately reimbursed:

- 20985** Computer-assisted surgical navigational procedure for musculoskeletal procedures; image-less (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- 0054T** Computer-assisted musculoskeletal surgical navigational orthopedic procedure, with image guidance based on fluoroscopic images (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- 0055T** Computer-assisted musculoskeletal surgical navigational orthopedic procedure, with image guidance based on CT/MRI images (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
- 0396T** Intra-operative use of kinetic balance sensor for implant stability during knee replacement arthroplasty (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

## RELATED POLICIES

Non Reimbursable Health Service Codes

## PUBLISHED

Provider Update, August 2017  
Provider Update, January 2017  
Provider Update, August 2015  
Provider Update, January 2015  
Provider Update, June 2013

Provider Update, May 2012  
Provider Update, April 2011  
Provider Update, May 2010

## REFERENCES

1. Kim YH, Kim JS, Choi Y et al. Computer-assisted surgical navigation does not improve the alignment and orientation of the components in total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009; 91(1):14-9
2. Meuffels DE, Reijman M, Scholten RJ et al. Computer assisted surgery for knee ligament reconstruction. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; (6):CD007601.
3. Manzotti A, Cerveri P, De Momi E et al. Does computer-assisted surgery benefit leg length restoration in total hip replacement? Navigation versus conventional freehand. *Int Orthop* 2011; 35(1):19-24.
4. Liebergall M, Ben-David D, Weil Y et al. Computerized navigation for the internal fixation of femoral neck fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006; 88(8):1748-54.
5. Lass R, Kubista B, Olischar B et al. Total hip arthroplasty using imageless computer-assisted hip navigation: a prospective randomized study. *J Arthroplasty* 2014; 29(4):786-91.
6. Rebal BA, Babatunde OM, Lee JH et al. Imageless computer navigation in total knee arthroplasty provides superior short term functional outcomes: a meta-analysis. *J Arthroplasty* 2014; 29(5):938-44.
7. Gothesen O, Espehaug B, Havelin LI et al. Functional outcome and alignment in computer-assisted and conventionally operated total knee replacements: a multicentre parallel-group randomised controlled trial. *Bone Joint J* 2014; 96-B(5):609-18.
8. Blakeney WG, Khan RJ, Palmer JL. Functional outcomes following total knee arthroplasty: a randomised trial comparing computer-assisted surgery with conventional techniques. *Knee* 2014; 21(2):364-8.
9. Lutzner J, Dixel J, Kirschner S. No difference between computer-assisted and conventional total knee arthroplasty: five-year results of a prospective randomised study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2013; 21(10):2241-7.
10. Parratte S, Argenson JN. Validation and usefulness of a computer-assisted cup-positioning system in total hip arthroplasty. A prospective, randomized, controlled study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2007; 89(3):494-9
11. Song EK, Agrawal PR, Kim SK, et al. A randomized controlled clinical and radiological trial about outcomes of navigation-assisted TKA compared to conventional TKA: long-term follow-up. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Nov 2016;24(11):3381-3386. PMID 26831857
12. Dyrhovden GS, Fenstad AM, Furnes O, et al. Survivorship and relative risk of revision in computer-navigated versus conventional total knee replacement at 8-year follow-up. *Acta Orthop*. Dec 2016;87(6):592-599. PMID 27775460

**CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS**

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

