Medical Coverage Policy | Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Percutaneous Neruomodulation Therapy



EFFECTIVE DATE: 04 | 18 | 2017

POLICY LAST UPDATED: 04 | 18 | 2017

OVERVIEW

Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) and percutaneous neuromodulation therapy (PNT) combine the features of electroacupuncture and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. PENS is performed with needle electrodes while PNT uses very fine needle-like electrode arrays placed in close proximity to the painful area to stimulate peripheral sensory nerves in the soft tissue for the treatment of chronic pain conditions.

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Product

Percutaneous electrical neurostimulation (PEN) or percutaneous neuromodulation therapy (PNT) for the treatment of chronic pain conditions is considered not medically necessary as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

COVERAGE

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

Benefits may vary between groups/contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Evidence of Coverage, Subscriber Agreement, or Benefit Booklet for limitations of benefits/coverage when services are not medically necessary.

BACKGROUND

Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) and percutaneous neuromodulation therapy (PNT) been evaluated for the treatment of a variety of chronic musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain conditions including low back pain, neck pain, diabetic neuropathy, chronic headache, and surface hyperalgesia. Chronic pain presents a substantial burden to patients, adversely affecting function and quality of life. These chronic pain conditions have typically failed other treatments, and the goal of treatment with PENS and PNT is to relieve unremitting pain.

PENS is similar in concept to transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation but differs in that needles are inserted either around or immediately adjacent to the nerves serving the painful area and are then stimulated. PENS is generally reserved for patients who fail to get pain relief from TENS. PENS is also distinguished from acupuncture with electrical stimulation. In electrical acupuncture, needles are also inserted just below the skin, but the placement of needles is based on specific theories regarding energy flow throughout the human body. In PENS, the location of stimulation is determined by proximity to the pain.

PNT is a variant of PENS in which fine filament electrode arrays are placed near the area causing pain. Some use the terms PENS and PNT interchangeably. It is proposed that PNT inhibits pain transmission by

creating an electrical field that hyperpolarizes C fibers, thus preventing action potential propagation along the pain pathway.

For individuals who have chronic pain conditions (eg, back, neck, neuropathy, headache, hyperalgesia, knee osteoarthritis) who receive PENS, the evidence includes primarily small controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and medication use. In the highest quality trial of PENS conducted to date, no difference in outcomes was found between the active (30 minutes of stimulation with 10 needles) and the sham (5 minutes of stimulation with 2 needles) treatments. Smaller trials, which have reported positive results, are limited by unclear blinding and short-term follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have chronic pain conditions (eg, back, neck, neuropathy, headache, hyperalgesia, knee osteoarthritis) who receive PNT, the evidence consists of 1 randomized controlled trial. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and medication use. The single trial is limited by lack of investigator blinding, unclear participant blinding, and short-term follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

REGULATORY STATUS

In 2002, the Percutaneous Neuromodulation TherapyTM (Vertis Neuroscience) was cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. The labeled indication is: "Percutaneous neuromodulation therapy (PNT) is indicated for the symptomatic relief and management of chronic or intractable pain and/or as an adjunctive treatment in the management of post-surgical pain and post-trauma pain." In 2006, the Deepwave® Percutaneous Neuromodulation Pain Therapy System (Biowave) was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process. FDA determined that this device was substantially equivalent to the Vertis neuromodulation system and a Biowave neuromodulation therapy unit. The Deepwave® system includes a sterile single-use percutaneous electrode array that contains 1014 microneedles in a 1.5-inch diameter area. The needles are 736 μm (0.736 mm) in length; the patch is reported to feel like sandpaper or Velcro. FDA product code: NHI.

CODING

BlueCHiP for Medicare and Commercial Products

There is not a specific code for PENS or PNT, use the unlisted code below following the unlisted process 64999: Unlisted procedure, nervous system

RELATED POLICIES

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Interferential Current Stiumlation Peripheral Subcutaneous Field Stimulation Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation and Auricular Electrostimulation

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, June 2017

REFERENCES

- 1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) or percutaneous electric nerve stimulation (PENS) in the treatment of chronic and postoperative pain TEC Assessments. 1996;Volume 11:Tab 21.
- 2. Weiner DK, Perera S, Rudy TE, et al. Efficacy of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and therapeutic exercise for older adults with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. Pain. Nov 30 2008;140(2):344-357. PMID 18930352

- 3. Weiner DK, Rudy TE, Glick RM, et al. Efficacy of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for the treatment of chronic low back pain in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. May 2003;51(5):599-608. PMID 12752833
- 4. Yokoyama M, Sun X, Oku S, et al. Comparison of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation with transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for long-term pain relief in patients with chronic low back pain. Anesth Analg. Jun 2004;98(6):1552-1556, table of contents. PMID 15155304
- 5. Ghoname EA, White PF, Ahmed HE, et al. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: an alternative to TENS in the management of sciatica. Pain. Nov 1999;83(2):193-199. PMID 10534590
- 6. Ghoname EA, Craig WF, White PF, et al. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for low back pain: a randomized crossover study. JAMA. Mar 3 1999;281(9):818-823. PMID 10071003
- 7. Hamza MA, Ghoname EA, White PF, et al. Effect of the duration of electrical stimulation on the analgesic response in patients with low back pain. Anesthesiology. Dec 1999;91(6):1622-1627. PMID 10598602
- 8. Ghoname ES, Craig WF, White PF, et al. The effect of stimulus frequency on the analgesic response to percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in patients with chronic low back pain. Anesth Analg. Apr 1999;88(4):841-846. PMID 10195535
- 9. White PF, Craig WF, Vakharia AS, et al. Percutaneous neuromodulation therapy: does the location of electrical stimulation effect the acute analgesic response? Anesth Analg. Oct 2000;91(4):949-954. PMID 11004055
- 10. Hamza MA, White PF, Craig WF, et al. Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation: a novel analgesic therapy for diabetic neuropathic pain. Diabetes Care. Mar 2000;23(3):365-370. PMID 10868867
- 11. Ahmed HE, White PF, Craig WF, et al. Use of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) in the short-term management of headache. Headache. Apr 2000;40(4):311-315. PMID 10759936
- 12. Raphael JH, Raheem TA, Southall JL, et al. Randomized double-blind sham-controlled crossover study of short-term effect of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in neuropathic pain. Pain Med. Oct 2011;12(10):1515-1522. PMID 21883874
- 13. Condon JE, Borg-Stein J, Revord J, et al. A multicenter trial of percutaneous neuromodulation therapy for low back pain patients with a subacute duration of lower extremity pain. Paper presented at: American Academy of Pain Medicine Annual Meeting; 2002; San Francisco, CA.
- 14. Kang RW, Lewis PB, Kramer A, et al. Prospective randomized single-blinded controlled clinical trial of percutaneous neuromodulation pain therapy device versus sham for the osteoarthritic knee: a pilot study. Orthopedics. Jun 2007;30(6):439-445. PMID 17598487
- 15. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for refractory neuropathic pain [IPG 450]. 2013; http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg450. Accessed February 17, 2017.
- 16. Bril V, England J, Franklin GM, et al. Evidence-based guideline: Treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy: report of the American Academy of Neurology, the American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Neurology. May 17 2011;76(20):1758-1765. PMID 21482920

- 17. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Anesthesiology. Apr 2010;112(4):810-833. PMID 20124882
- 18. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. Ann Intern Med. Oct 2 2007;147(7):478-491. PMID 17909209
- 19. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Assessing Patient's Suitability for ELECTRICAL NERVE STIMULATION Therapy (160.7.1). 2006;http://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=63&ncdver=2&CoverageSelection=National&KeyWord=Electrical+Nerve+Stimulatio n&KeyWordLookUp=Title&KeyWordSearchType=And&list_type=ncd&bc=gAAAABAAAAA&. Accessed February 17, 2017.

----- CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.

