Medical Coverage Policy | Prostatic Urethral Lifts



EFFECTIVE DATE:01|01|2017 **POLICY LAST UPDATED:** 09|20|2016

OVERVIEW

Benign prostatic hyperplasia is a common condition in older men that can lead to increased urinary frequency, urgency, nocturia, hesitancy, and weak urinary stream. The prostatic urethral lift (PUL) procedure involves the insertion of 1 or more permanent implants into the prostate, which retract prostatic tissue and maintain an expanded urethral lumen.

MEDICAL CRITERIA

Not applicable

PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Not applicable

POLICY STATEMENT

Blue CHiP for Medicare

The prostatic urethral lift procedure is considered medically necessary when used for the treatment of symptomatic BPH in a beneficiary with well documented voiding symptoms consistent with prostatic hypertrophy and is a poor candidate for other surgical interventions for BPH due to underlying disease (e.g. cardiac disease, pulmonary disease, etc.) and/or at high risk of bleeding and/or the beneficiary has opted for PUL based on likelihood of preserving sexual function and/or there is another documented reason for opting for PUL.

Note: BCBSRI must follow CMS (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services) guidelines, such as national coverage determinations or local coverage determinations for all BlueCHiP for Medicare policies. Therefore, BlueCHiP for Medicare policies may differ from Commercial Products. In some instances, benefits for BlueCHiP for Medicare may be greater than what is allowed by the CMS.

Commercial Products

The prostatic urethral lift procedure is considered not medically necessary for all indications as the evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes

COVERAGE

Benefits may vary between groups and contracts. Please refer to the appropriate Benefit Booklet, Evidence of Coverage or Subscriber Agreement for applicable not medically necessary benefits/coverage.

BACKGROUND

Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common disorder among older men that results from hyperplastic nodules in the periurethral or transitional zone of the prostate. BPH prevalence increases with age and is present in more than 80% of men ages 70 to 79.1 The clinical manifestations of BPH include increased urinary frequency, urgency, nocturia, hesitancy, and weak stream. The urinary tract symptoms often progress with worsening hypertrophy and may lead to acute urinary retention, incontinence, renal insufficiency, and/or urinary tract infection.

Prostatic Urethral Lift

The prostatic urethral lift (PUL) procedure involves placement of 1 or more implants in the lateral lobes of the prostate using a transurethral delivery device. The implant device is designed to retract the prostate to

allow expansion of the prostatic urethra. The implants are retained in the prostate to maintain an expanded urethral lumen.

For individuals who have lower urinary tract obstruction symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia who receive PUL, the evidence includes systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and noncomparative studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The LIFT study was an RCT comparing PUL with sham control that reported the PUL procedure is associated with greater improvements in lower urinary tract symptoms than medical management, without worsened sexual function. One publication from this trial reported that functional improvements were durable over 3- and 4-year follow-ups in a subset of patients, but this conclusion is limited because only treated patients were included in the longer follow-up and there was a high loss to follow-up in the treated group. Another RCT, the BPH6 study, compared the PUL procedure with transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) and reported that PUL was noninferior for the study's composite end point, which included multiple measures of symptoms and complications combined into a single score. While TURP was associated with greater improvements in urinary tract obstruction symptom outcomes, it was also associated with greater declines in sexual function than PUL. This small trial was limited by unequal dropout rates between groups after enrollment, and uncertainty about the validity of its primary composite outcome measure. The composite measure was composed mostly of safety items, and may have therefore favored the PUL group. Because of limitations with the BPH6 trial, its results do not definitively demonstrate the noninferiority of PUL to TURP; more evidence is needed to corroborate these results. In addition, follow-up in the available studies was inadequate to identify longer term adverse events. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes

One device, the NeoTract UroLift System, has been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (see Regulatory Status section). The device has 2 main components: the delivery device and the implant. Each delivery device comes preloaded with 1 UroLift implant.

One implantable transprostatic tissue retractor system has been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. In December 2013, the NeoTract UroLift® System UL400 (NeoTract, Pleasanton, CA) was cleared (after receiving clearance through FDA's de novo classification process in March 2013; K130651/DEN130023). In March 2016, FDA determined that the UL500 was substantially equivalent to existing devices (UL400) for the treatment of symptoms of urinary flow obstruction secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia in men age 50 years and older. FDA product code: PEW

Blue CHiP for Medicare

Guidance from the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE, 2014) states: "Current evidence on the efficacy and safety of insertion of prostatic urethral lift implants to treat lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to BPH is adequate to support the use of this procedure provided that normal arrangements are in place for clinical governance, consent and audit."

Based on this review of literature and guidelines as well as comments received from specialists in the field NGS has determined that Medicare coverage is available when certain criteria are met. These criteria are derived, in part, from the inclusion criteria of the comparative clinical trials and include a requirement that there be a beneficiary specific reason for choosing PUL instead of TURP or other tissue destructive approach. Beneficiary specific reasons for choosing PUL include comorbid medical illness which increases the risk associated with TURP, risk of bleeding rendering a less invasive approach preferred, or a beneficiary's desire to maintain sexual function (since the clinical trials have shown an advantage in this regard).

Indications:

NGS considers prostatic urethral lift procedures reasonable and necessary when the ALL of the following criteria are met:

- The UroLift device is used for the treatment of symptomatic BPH in a beneficiary with well documented voiding symptoms consistent with prostatic hypertrophy; and
- AUA symptom index (AUASI) score greater than or equal to 13; and
- Peak urine flow rate (Qmax) less than or equal to 12 cc/sec on a voided volume that is greater than 125 cc; and
- The beneficiary has had an adequate trial of, but is refractory to or intolerant of, usual BPH medication; and
- The prostate volume is less than or equal to 80 cc without an obstructive median lobe; and
- There are no signs, symptoms, or diagnostic evidence of an active urinary infection and no history of bacterial prostatitis in the past three (3) months; and
- The beneficiary is a poor candidate for other surgical interventions for BPH due to underlying disease (e.g. cardiac disease, pulmonary disease, etc.) and/or at high risk of bleeding and/or the beneficiary has opted for PUL based on likelihood of preserving sexual function and/or there is another documented reason for opting for PUL.

CODING

The following codes are covered for Blue CHiP for Medicare and not medically necessary for Commercial Products:

52441 Cystourethroscopy, with insertion of permanent adjustable transprostatic implant; single implant 52442 each additional permanent adjustable transprostatic implant (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)

RELATED POLICIES

None

PUBLISHED

Provider Update, January 2017

REFERENCES:

1. Sarma AV, Wei JT. Clinical practice. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms. N Engl J Med. Jul 19 2012;367(3):248-257. PMID 22808960

2. Barry MJ, Fowler FJ, Jr., O'Leary MP, et al. The American Urological Association symptom index for benign prostatic hyperplasia. The Measurement Committee of the American Urological Association. J Urol. Nov 1992;148(5):1549-1557; discussion 1564. PMID 1279218

3. O'Leary M P. Validity of the "bother score" in the evaluation and treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. Rev Urol. Winter 2005;7(1):1-10. PMID 16985801

4. (AUA) AUA. American Urological Association Guideline: Management of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH). 2010; https://www.auanet.org/common/pdf/education/clinical-guidance/Benign-Prostatic-Hyperplasia.pdf. Accessed July 22, 2015.

5. Reich O, Gratzke C, Bachmann A, et al. Morbidity, mortality and early outcome of transurethral resection of the prostate: a prospective multicenter evaluation of 10,654 patients. J Urol. Jul 2008;180(1):246-249. PMID 18499179

6. Rosen RC, Catania JA, Althof SE, et al. Development and validation of four-item version of Male Sexual Health Questionnaire to assess ejaculatory dysfunction. Urology. May 2007;69(5):805-809. PMID 17482908 7. Cappelleri JC, Rosen RC. The Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM): a 5-year review of research and clinical experience. Int J Impot Res. Jul-Aug 2005;17(4):307-319. PMID 15875061

8. Barry MJ, Williford WO, Chang Y, et al. Benign prostatic hyperplasia specific health status measures in clinical research: how much change in the American Urological Association symptom index and the benign prostatic hyperplasia impact index is perceptible to patients? J Urol. Nov 1995;154(5):1770-1774. PMID 7563343

9. Barry MJ, Fowler FJ, Jr., O'Leary MP, et al. Measuring disease-specific health status in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Measurement Committee of The American Urological Association. Med Care. Apr 1995;33(4 Suppl):AS145-155. PMID 7536866

10. Perera M, Roberts MJ, Doi SA, et al. Prostatic urethral lift improves urinary symptoms and flow while preserving sexual function for men with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Urol. Apr 2015;67(4):704-713. PMID 25466940

11. Garrido Abad P, Coloma Del Peso A, Sinues Ojas B, et al. Urolift(R), a new minimally invasive treatment for patients with low urinary tract symptoms secondary to BPH. Preliminary results. Arch Esp Urol. Jul-Aug 2013;66(6):584-591. PMID 23985459

12. Hoffman RM, Monga M, Elliott SP, et al. Microwave thermotherapy for benign prostatic hyperplasia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;9:CD004135. PMID 22972068

13. Shore N, Freedman S, Gange S, et al. Prospective multi-center study elucidating patient experience after prostatic urethral lift. Can J Urol. Feb 2014;21(1):7094-7101. PMID 24529008

14. McNicholas TA, Woo HH, Chin PT, et al. Minimally invasive prostatic urethral lift: surgical technique and multinational experience. Eur Urol. Aug 2013;64(2):292-299. PMID 23357348

15. Chin PT, Bolton DM, Jack G, et al. Prostatic urethral lift: two-year results after treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Urology. Jan 2012;79(1):5-11. PMID 22202539

16. Woo HH, Bolton DM, Laborde E, et al. Preservation of sexual function with the prostatic urethral lift: a novel treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Sex Med. Feb 2012;9(2):568-575. PMID 22172161

17. Woo HH, Chin PT, McNicholas TA, et al. Safety and feasibility of the prostatic urethral lift: a novel, minimally invasive treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). BJU Int. Jul 2011;108(1):82-88. PMID 21554526

18. Cantwell AL, Bogache WK, Richardson SF, et al. Multicentre prospective crossover study of the 'prostatic urethral lift' for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. BJU Int. Apr 2014;113(4):615-622. PMID 24765680

19. Roehrborn CG, Gange SN, Shore ND, et al. The prostatic urethral lift for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms associated with prostate enlargement due to benign prostatic hyperplasia: the L.I.F.T. Study. J Urol. Dec 2013;190(6):2161-2167. PMID 23764081

20. McVary KT, Gange SN, Shore ND, et al. Treatment of LUTS secondary to BPH while preserving sexual function: randomized controlled study of prostatic urethral lift. J Sex Med. Jan 2014;11(1):279-287. PMID 24119101

21. Jones P, Rajkumar GN, Rai BP, et al. Medium-term outcomes of Urolift (minimum 12 months followup): evidence from a systematic review. Urology. May 18 2016. PMID 27208817

22. Bozkurt A, Karabakan M, Keskin E, et al. Prostatic urethral lift: a new minimally invasive treatment for lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Urol Int. 2016;96(2):202-206. PMID 26613256

23. Sonksen J, Barber NJ, Speakman MJ, et al. Prospective, randomized, multinational study of prostatic urethral lift versus transurethral resection of the prostate: 12-month results from the BPH6 study. Eur Urol. Oct 2015;68(4):643-652. PMID 25937539

24. Ray A, Morgan H, Wilkes A, et al. The Urolift System for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia: a NICE Medical Technology Guidance. Appl Health Econ Health Policy. Jan 30 2016. PMID 26832146

25. Roehrborn CG, Rukstalis DB, Barkin J, et al. Three year results of the prostatic urethral L.I.F.T. study. Can J Urol. Jun 2015;22(3):7772-7782. PMID 26068624

26. Roehrborn CG. Prostatic urethral lift: a unique minimally invasive surgical treatment of male lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. Urol Clin North Am. Aug 2016;43(3):357-369. PMID 27476128

27. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Nice Interventional Procedural Guidance IPG475: Insertion of prostatic urethral lift implants to treat lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. 2014; http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg475/chapter/1-recommendations. Accessed July 22, 2015.

28. https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/lcd-details. Prostatic Urethral Lift (PUL) (L36601)

-- CLICK THE ENVELOPE ICON BELOW TO SUBMIT COMMENTS

This medical policy is made available to you for informational purposes only. It is not a guarantee of payment or a substitute for your medical judgment in the treatment of your patients. Benefits and eligibility are determined by the member's subscriber agreement or member certificate and/or the employer agreement, and those documents will supersede the provisions of this medical policy. For information on member-specific benefits, call the provider call center. If you provide services to a member which are determined to not be medically necessary (or in some cases medically necessary services which are non-covered benefits), you may not charge the member for the services unless you have informed the member and they have agreed in writing in advance to continue with the treatment at their own expense. Please refer to your participation agreement(s) for the applicable provisions. This policy is current at the time of publication; however, medical practices, technology, and knowledge are constantly changing. BCBSRI reserves the right to review and revise this policy for any reason and at any time, with or without notice. Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Rhode Island is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association.